



भारत का राजपत्र The Gazette of India

प्राधिकार से प्रकाशित
PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

साप्ताहिक
WEEKLY

सं. 3]
No. 3]

नई दिल्ली, जनवरी 13—जनवरी 19, 2008, शनिवार/पौष 23—पौष 29, 1929
NEW DELHI, JANUARY 13—JANUARY 19, 2008, SATURDAY/PAUSA 23—PAUSA 29, 1929

इस भाग में भिन्न पृष्ठ संख्या दी जाती है जिससे कि यह पुश्तक संकलन के रूप में रखा जा सके
Separate Paging is given to this Part in order that it may be filed as a separate compilation

भाग II—खण्ड 3—उप-खण्ड (ii)
PART II—Section 3—Sub-section (ii)

भारत सरकार के मंत्रालयों (रक्षा मंत्रालय को छोड़कर) द्वारा जारी किए गए सांविधिक आदेश और अधिसूचनाएं
Statutory Orders and Notifications Issued by the Ministries of the Government of India
(Other than the Ministry of Defence)

विधि और न्याय मंत्रालय
(विधि कार्य विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 19 दिसम्बर, 2007

का.आ. 85.—केन्द्रीय सरकार, दंड प्रक्रिया संहिता, 1973 (1974 का 2) की धारा 24 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, श्रीमती रुमा अनिल नवाले, अधिवक्ता को मुंबई उच्च न्यायालय में भारत संघ या केन्द्रीय सरकार के किसी विभाग या कार्यालय द्वारा या उसके विरुद्ध सभी दंडिक मामलों का, जिनके अंतर्गत दंडिक रिट याचिकाएं, दंडिक अपीलें, दंडिक पुनरीक्षण, दंडिक निर्देश और आपराधिक आवेदन भी हैं, संचालन करने के प्रयोजन के लिए इस शर्त के अधीन रहते हुए कि श्रीमती रुमा अनिल नवाले, अधिवक्ता अपर लोक अभियोजक के रूप में अपनी नियुक्ति की अवधि के दौरान भारत संघ या केन्द्रीय सरकार के किसी विभाग या कार्यालय के विरुद्ध ऊपर निर्दिष्ट किसी आपराधिक मामले में मुंबई उच्च न्यायालय में उपसजात नहीं होंगी, तत्काल प्रभाव से दो वर्ष की

अवधि के लिए या अगले आदेश होने तक, इनमें से जो भी पूर्वतर हो, अपर लोक अभियोजक के रूप में नियुक्त करती है।

[फा. सं. 23(2)/2007—न्यायिक]

एम. ए. खान युसुफी, संयुक्त सचिव और सरकारी काउंसिलर

MINISTRY OF LAW AND JUSTICE

(Department of Legal Affairs)

New Delhi, the 19th December, 2007

S.O. 85.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 24 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (2 of 1974), the Central Government hereby appoints of Mrs. Ruma Anil Navale, Advocate as Additional Public Prosecutor for the purpose of conducting all criminal cases including criminal writ petitions, criminal appeals, criminal revisions, criminal references and criminal applications by or against the Union of India or any Department or Office of the Central Government, in the High Court of Judicature at Mumbai, with immediate effect

for a period of two years or until further orders, whichever is earlier, subject to the condition that Mrs. Ruma Anil Navale, Advocate shall not appear against the Union of India or any Department or Office of the Central Government in any criminal case referred to above in the High Court of Judicature at Mumbai during the period of her appointment.

[F. No. 23(2)/2007-Judl.]

M. A. KHAN YUSUFI, Jt. Secy. and G.C.

वित्त मंत्रालय

(वित्तीय सेवाएं विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 7 जनवरी, 2008

का.आ. 86.—भारतीय निर्यात-आयात बैंक अधिनियम, 1981 (1981 का 28) की धारा 6 की उपधारा (1) के खंड (ड) के उप-खंड (इ) के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार, एतद्वारा डॉ. के.सी. चक्रवर्ती, अध्यक्ष एवं प्रबंध निदेशक, पंजाब नेशनल बैंक को उनके पद ग्रहण करने की तारीख से तीन वर्ष की अवधि के लिए अथवा अगले आदेश होने तक जो भी पहले हो, भारतीय निर्यात आयात बैंक के निदेशक मंडल में अंशकालिक गैर-सरकारी निदेशक के पद पर नियुक्त करती है।

[फा. सं. 24/27/2002-आईएफ-1]

रमन कुमार गौड़, अवर सचिव

MINISTRY OF FINANCE

(Department of Financial Services)

New Delhi, the 7th January, 2008

S.O. 86.—In pursuance of sub-clause (ii) of clause (e) of sub-section (1) of Section 6 of the Export Import Bank of India Act, 1981 (28 of 1981), Central Government hereby appoints Dr. K.C. Chakrabarty, Chairman & Managing Director, Punjab National Bank as part-time non-official Director, on the Board of Directors of Exim Bank for a period of three years from the date of taking over charge of the post or until further orders, whichever is earlier.

[F. No. 24/27/2002-IF-1]

RAMAN KUMAR GAUR, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 7 जनवरी, 2008

का.आ. 87.—सरकारी स्थान (अप्राधिकृत अधिभोगियों की बेदखली) अधिनियम, 1971 (1971 का 40) की धारा 3 द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए और दिनांक 12-2-2001 के भारत सरकार, वित्त मंत्रालय, आर्थिक कार्य विभाग, (बैंकिंग प्रभाग) की अधिसूचना सं. 13-1-2001-बीओए का अधिक्रमण करते हुए, केन्द्र सरकार, एतद्वारा, सरकार के राजपत्रित अधिकारी के स्तर के समकक्ष अधिकारी होने पर निम्नलिखित सारणी के कालम (2) में उल्लिखित अधिकारियों को उक्त अधिनियम के प्रयोजन के लिए सम्पदा अधिकारियों के रूप में नियुक्त करती है, जो सारणी के कालम (3) में उल्लिखित सरकारी स्थानों के संबंध में उक्त अधिनियम द्वारा या

उसके अधीन सम्पदा अधिकारियों को प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करेंगे और उन्हें सौंपे गए कर्तव्यों को पूरा करेंगे :-

सारणी

| क्रम सं. | अधिकारी का पदनाम | सरकारी स्थानों की श्रेणियां तथा क्षेत्राधिकार की स्थानीय सीमाएं |
|----------|---|---|
| (1) | (2) | (3) |
| 1. | सहायक महाप्रबंधक, (सामान्य प्रशा.), यूको बैंक, 1, आर.एन. मुखर्जी रोड, कोलकाता-700001 | यूको बैंक के अपने अथवा उसके द्वारा अथवा उसकी ओर से पट्टे पर लिए गए तथा पश्चिम बंगाल राज्य में स्थित स्थान। |
| 2. | अंचल प्रबंधक, अंचल कार्यालय, लखनऊ, स्काईलार्क बिल्डिंग, 28, नवल किशोर रोड, लखनऊ-226001 | यूको बैंक के अपने अथवा उसके द्वारा अथवा उसकी ओर से पट्टे पर लिए गए तथा उत्तर प्रदेश राज्य में स्थित स्थान। |
| 3. | अंचल प्रबंधक, अंचल कार्यालय, रायपुर, 97, आनंद नगर, जी.ई. रोड, रायपुर-492001 | यूको बैंक के अपने अथवा उसके द्वारा अथवा उसकी ओर से पट्टे पर लिए गए तथा छत्तीसगढ़ राज्य में स्थित स्थान। |
| 4. | अंचल प्रबंधक, अंचल कार्यालय, बरेली, 35/ए/8, रामपुर गार्डन, प्रभा सिनेमा के सामने, बरेली-243001 | यूको बैंक के अपने अथवा उसके द्वारा अथवा उसकी ओर से पट्टे पर लिए गए तथा उत्तराखंड राज्य में स्थित स्थान। |
| 5. | अंचल प्रबंधक, अंचल कार्यालय, रांची, राजेन्द्र जवान भवन, सह सैनिक बाजार, मेन रोड, रांची-834001 | यूको बैंक के अपने अथवा उसके द्वारा अथवा उसकी ओर से पट्टे पर लिए गए तथा झारखंड राज्य में स्थित स्थान। |
| 6. | अंचल प्रबंधक, अंचल कार्यालय, यूको बैंक, 5, संसद मार्ग, नई दिल्ली-110001 | यूको बैंक के अपने अथवा उसके द्वारा अथवा उसकी ओर से पट्टे पर लिए गए तथा राष्ट्रीय राजधानी क्षेत्र दिल्ली और जम्मू एवं कश्मीर राज्य में स्थित स्थान। |
| 7. | अंचल प्रबंधक, अंचल कार्यालय, यूको बैंक, यूको बैंक बिल्डिंग, 169, थम्बू चेदटी स्ट्रीट, चेन्नई-600001 | यूको बैंक के अपने अथवा उसके द्वारा अथवा उसकी ओर से पट्टे पर लिए गए तथा तमिलनाडु राज्य तथा पांडिचेरी संघ राज्य क्षेत्र में स्थित स्थान। |
| 8. | सहायक प्रबंधक, अंचल कार्यालय, यूको बैंक, मफतलाल सेंटर (दूसरी मंजिल), नरीमन प्वाइंट, मुम्बई-400021 | यूको बैंक के अपने अथवा उसके द्वारा अथवा उसकी ओर से पट्टे पर लिए गए तथा महाराष्ट्र एवं गोवा राज्य तथा दमन एवं दीव संघ राज्य क्षेत्र में दीव क्षेत्र में स्थित स्थान। |

| (1) | (2) | (3) | (1) | (2) | (3) |
|-----|--|--|--|---|---|
| 9. | अंचल प्रबंधक, अंचल कार्यालय, यूको बैंक, "मार्कसोक", "ए" ब्लॉक, चौथी मंजिल, डाक बंगला रोड, पटना-800001 | यूको बैंक के अपने अथवा उसके द्वारा अथवा उसकी ओर से पट्टे पर लिए गए तथा बिहार राज्य में स्थित स्थान। | 17. | अंचल प्रबंधक, अंचल कार्यालय, यूको बैंक, पो.बा.सं. 59, हिमलैण्ड होटल, सर्कुलर रोड, शिमला-171001 | यूको बैंक के अपने अथवा उसके द्वारा अथवा उसकी ओर से पट्टे पर लिए गए तथा हिमाचल प्रदेश राज्य में स्थित स्थान। |
| 10. | अंचल प्रबंधक, अंचल कार्यालय, यूको बैंक, यूको बैंक बिल्डिंग, सी-2, अशोक नगर, यूनिट-2, भुवनेश्वर-751009 | यूको बैंक के अपने अथवा उसके द्वारा अथवा उसकी ओर से पट्टे पर लिए गए तथा उड़ीसा राज्य में स्थित स्थान। | 18. | अंचल प्रबंधक, अंचल कार्यालय, यूको बैंक, 8-2-64, भूतल, रोड नं. 10, बंजारा हिल्स, हैदराबाद-500034 | यूको बैंक के अपने अथवा उसके द्वारा अथवा उसकी ओर से पट्टे पर लिए गए तथा आन्ध्र प्रदेश राज्य में स्थित स्थान। |
| 11. | अंचल प्रबंधक, अंचल कार्यालय, यूको बैंक, मणिराम दीवान रोड, सिलपुखुरी, गुवाहाटी-781003 | यूको बैंक के अपने अथवा उसके द्वारा अथवा उसकी ओर से पट्टे पर लिए गए तथा असम, मेघालय, त्रिपुरा, मणिपुर, नागालैण्ड, अरुणाचल प्रदेश और मिजोरम राज्य में स्थित स्थान। | 19. | अंचल प्रबंधक, अंचल कार्यालय, यूको बैंक, टीसी 25/2286 (1), यूको बैंक बिल्डिंग, ओवर ब्रिज जंक्शन, तिरुवनंतपुरम-695001 | यूको बैंक के अपने अथवा उसके द्वारा अथवा उसकी ओर से पट्टे पर लिए गए तथा केरल राज्य में स्थित स्थान। |
| 12. | अंचल प्रबंधक, अंचल कार्यालय, यूको बैंक, यूको भवन, पो.बा.सं. 4085, सन्यास आश्रम के निकट, आश्रम रोड, अहमदाबाद-380009 | यूको बैंक के अपने अथवा उसके द्वारा अथवा उसकी ओर से पट्टे पर लिए गए तथा गुजरात राज्य तथा दमन एवं दीव संघ राज्य क्षेत्र में दमन क्षेत्र में स्थित स्थान। | [फा. सं. 65 (2)/2007-बी ओ-II] एस. गोपाल कृष्ण, अवर सचिव New Delhi, the 7th January, 2008 | | |
| 13. | अंचल प्रबंधक, अंचल कार्यालय, यूको बैंक, एससीओ 55-57, बैंक स्क्वायर, सेक्टर-17बी, चण्डीगढ़-160017 | यूको बैंक के अपने अथवा उसके द्वारा अथवा उसकी ओर से पट्टे पर लिए गए तथा पंजाब एवं हरियाणा राज्य तथा चण्डीगढ़ संघ राज्य क्षेत्र में स्थित स्थान। | S.O. 87. —In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 3 of Public Premises (Eviction of Unauthorised Occupants) Act, 1971 (40 of 1971) and in supersession of the Notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Finance, Department of Economic Affairs, No. 13/1/2001-BOA dated 12-02-2001, the Central Government hereby appoints the officers mentioned in column (2) of the Table below, being officers equivalent to the rank of a Gazetted Officer of Government to be Estate Officers for the purpose of the said Act, who shall exercise the powers conferred and perform the duties imposed on the Estate Officer by or under the said Act, in respect of the public premises specified in column (3) of the said table. | | |
| 14. | अंचल प्रबंधक, अंचल कार्यालय, यूको बैंक, आर्कैड इंटरनेशनल, अजमेर रोड सिविल लाइन्स, जयपुर-302016 (राजस्थान) | यूको बैंक के अपने अथवा उसके द्वारा अथवा उसकी ओर से पट्टे पर लिए गए तथा राजस्थान राज्य में स्थित स्थान। | TABLE | | |
| 15. | अंचल प्रबंधक, अंचल कार्यालय, यूको बैंक, ई/5, अरेरा कालोनी, भोपाल-462016 | यूको बैंक के अपने अथवा उसके द्वारा अथवा उसकी ओर से पट्टे पर लिए गए तथा मध्य प्रदेश राज्य में स्थित स्थान। | Sl. No. | Designation of the officer | Categories of Public Premises And Local Limits of Jurisdiction |
| 16. | अंचल प्रबंधक, अंचल कार्यालय, यूको बैंक, यूको बैंक बिल्डिंग, 13/22, कैंपेगोडा रोड, बेंगलूर-560009 | यूको बैंक के अपने अथवा उसके द्वारा अथवा उसकी ओर से पट्टे पर लिए गए तथा कर्नाटक राज्य में स्थित स्थान। | (1) | (2) | (3) |
| | | | 1. | Asstt. General Manager, (General Administration), UCO Bank, 1, R.N. Mukherjee Rd., Kolkata-700 001. | Premises belonging to or taken on lease by or on behalf of the UCO Bank and situated in the State of West Bengal. |
| | | | 2. | Zonal Manager, Zonal Office, Lucknow, Skylark Building, 28, Nawal Kishore Road, Lucknow-226001. | Premises belonging to or taken on lease by or on behalf of the UCO Bank and situated in the State of Uttar Pradesh. |

| (1) | (2) | (3) | (1) | (2) | (3) |
|-----|--|---|-----|--|--|
| 3. | Zonal Manager, Zonal Office, Raipur, 97, Anand Nagar, G. E. Road, Raipur-492001 | Premises belonging to or taken on lease by or on behalf of the UCO Bank and situated in the State of Chattisgarh. | 12. | Zonal Manager, Zonal Office, UCO Bank, UCO Bhawan, PB No. 4085, Near Sanyas Ashram, Ashram Road, Ahmedabad-380 009. | Premises belonging to or taken on lease by or on behalf of the UCO Bank and situated in the State of Gujarat and Daman area in the Union Territory of Daman & Diu. |
| 4. | Zonal Manager, Zonal Office, Bareilly 35/A/8-Rampur Garden Opp. Prabha Cinema Bareilly-243001 | Premises belonging to or taken on lease by or on behalf of the UCO Bank and situated in the State of Uttarakhand. | 13. | Zonal Manager, Zonal Office, UCO Bank, S.C.O. 55-57, Bank Square, Sector- 17B, Chandigarh- 160017. | Premises belonging to or taken on lease by or on behalf of the UCO Bank and situated in the State of Punjab & Haryana and in the Union Territory of Chandigarh |
| 5. | Zonal Manager, Zonal Office, Ranchi Rajendra Jawan Bhawan Cum Sainik Bazar, Main Road, Ranchi-834001. | Premises belonging to or taken on lease by or on behalf of the UCO Bank and situated in the State of Jharkhand. | 14. | Zonal Manager, Zonal Office, UCO Bank, Arcade Inter- national, Ajmer Road, Civil Lines, Jaipur- 302016, (Rajasthan). | Premises belonging to or taken on lease by or on behalf of the UCO Bank and situated in the State of Rajasthan. |
| 6. | Zonal Manager, Zonal Office, UCO Bank, 5, Sansad Marg, New Delhi-110001. | Premises belonging to or taken on lease by or on behalf of the UCO Bank and situated in the National Capital Territory of Delhi and State of Jammu & Kashmir. | 15. | Zonal Manager, Zonal Office, UCO Bank, E/5, Area Colony, Bhopal- 462016. | Premises belonging to or taken on lease by or on behalf of the UCO Bank and situated in the State of Madhya Pradesh. |
| 7. | Zonal Manager, Zonal Office, UCO Bank, UCO Bank Building, 169, Thambu, Chetty Street, Chennai-600 001. | Premises belonging to or taken on lease by or on behalf of the UCO Bank and situated in the State of Tamilnadu and in the Union Territory of Pondicherry. | 16. | Zonal Manager, Zonal Office, UCO Bank, UCO Bank Building, 13/22, Kem- pegowda Road, Bangalore-560009. | Premises belonging to or taken on lease by or on behalf of the UCO Bank and situated in the State of Karnataka. |
| 8. | Asstt. General Manager Zonal Office, UCO Bank, Mafatlal Centre (2nd floor), Nariman Point, Mumbai-400021. | Premises belonging to or taken on lease by or on behalf of the UCO Bank and situated in the States of Maharashtra & Goa and Diu area in the Union Territory of Daman & Diu. | 17. | Zonal Manager, Zonal Office, UCO Bank, P.B. No. 59, Himland Hotel, Circular Road, Shimla-171001. | Premises belonging to or taken on lease by or on behalf of the UCO Bank and situated in the State of Himachal Pradesh. |
| 9. | Zonal Manager, Zonal Office, UCO Bank, 'Mauryalok', 'A' Block, 4th floor, Dak Bungalow Road, Patna-800 001. | Premises belonging to or taken on lease by or on behalf of the UCO Bank and situated in the State of Bihar. | 18. | Zonal Manager, Zonal Office, UCO Bank, 8-2-64, Gr. Floor, Road No. 10, Banjara Hills, Hyderabad- 500034. | Premises belonging to or taken on lease by or on behalf of the UCO Bank and situated in the State of Andhra Pradesh. |
| 10. | Zonal Manager, Zonal Office, UCO Bank, UCO Bank Building, C-2, Ashok Nagar, Unit-II, Bhubaneswar-751009. | Premises belonging to or taken on lease by or on behalf of the UCO Bank and situated in the State of Orissa. | 19. | Zonal Manager, Zonal Office, UCO Bank, TC 25/2286(1), UCO Bank Building, Over Bridge Junction, Thiruvananthapuram- 695001. | Premises belonging to or taken on lease by or on behalf of the UCO Bank and situated in the State of Kerala. |
| 11. | Zonal Manager, Zonal Office, UCO Bank, Maniram Dewan Road, Silpukhuri, Guwahati-781 003. | Premises belonging to or taken on lease by or on behalf of the UCO Bank and situated in the States of Assam, Meghalaya, Tripura, Manipur, Nagaland, Arunachal Pradesh and Mizoram. | | | |

[F. No. 65 (2)/2007-BO. II]

S. GOPAL KRISHNA, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 7 दिसम्बर, 2007

का.आ. 88.—राष्ट्रीयकृत बैंक (प्रबन्ध एवं प्रकीर्ण उपबंध) स्कीम, 1970/1980 के खण्ड 3 के उपखण्ड (1) के साथ पठित, बैंककारी कंपनी (उपक्रमों का अर्जन एवं अंतरण) अधिनियम, 1970/1980 की धारा 9 की उपधारा 3(ख) और (3-क) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार, एतद्वारा, श्री सतीश गोयल को अधिसूचना की तिथि से तीन वर्ष की अवधि के लिए अथवा उनके उत्तराधिकारी की नियुक्ति होने तक अथवा अगले आदेशों तक, जो भी पहले हो, कार्पोरेशन बैंक के निदेशक मंडल में अंशकालिक गैर-सरकारी निदेशक के रूप में नियुक्त करती है।

[फा. सं. 9/22/2006-बीओ-1]

जी. बी. सिंह, उप सचिव

New Delhi, the 7th December, 2007

S.O. 88.—In exercise of the powers conferred by of sub-clause 3(h) and (3-A) of Section 9 of the Banking Companies (Acquisition & Transfer of Undertakings) Act, 1970/1980 read with sub-clause (1) of clause 3 of the Nationalized Banks (Management & Miscellaneous Provisions) Scheme, 1970/1980, the Central Government, hereby nominates Shri Satish Goel, as part time non-official director on the Board of Directors of Corporation Bank for a period of three years from the date of notification or until further orders, whichever is earlier.

[F.No. 9/22/2006-BO-1]

G. B. SINGH, Dy. Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 11 जनवरी, 2008

का.आ. 89.—निक्षेप बीमा और प्रत्यय गारंटी निगम अधिनियम, 1961 (1961 का 47) की धारा 6 की उपधारा (2) के खण्ड (ii) के साथ पठित धारा 6 की उपधारा (1) के खण्ड (घ) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार, भारतीय रिजर्व बैंक से परामर्श करने के पश्चात्, एतद्वारा, श्री उमेश चन्द्र सारंगी, अध्यक्ष, नाबार्ड को अधिसूचना जारी किए जाने की तारीख से और दिनांक 2-12-2010 तक अर्थात् नाबार्ड के अध्यक्ष के रूप में उनके कार्यकाल की समाप्ति तक अथवा अगले आदेशों तक, जो भी पहले हो, श्री वाई.एस.पी. थोराट के स्थान पर निक्षेप बीमा और प्रत्यय गारंटी निगम (डीआईसीजीसी) के निदेशक मंडल में निदेशक के रूप में नामित करती है।

[फा. सं. 7/4/2007-बीओ-1]

जी. बी. सिंह, उप सचिव

New Delhi, the 11th January, 2008

S.O. 89.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of Section 6 read with clause (ii) of sub-section 2 of Section 6 of the Deposit Insurance and Credit Guarantee Corporation Act, 1961 (47 of 1961), the Central Government in consultation with Reserve Bank of India, hereby nominates Shri Umesh Chandra Sarangi, Chairman, NABARD as a director on the Board of Directors of Deposit Insurance and Credit Guarantee Corporation

(DICGC) from the date of notification and upto 02-12-2010 i.e. the date of expiry of his tenure as Chairman, NABARD or until further orders whichever is earlier vice Shri Y.S.P. Thorat.

[F.No. 7/4/2007-BO-1]

G. B. SINGH, Dy. Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 15 जनवरी, 2008

का.आ. 90.—बैंककारी विनियमन अधिनियम, 1949 (1949 का 10) की धारा 53 द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार, भारतीय रिजर्व बैंक की सिफारिश पर, एतद्वारा घोषणा करती है कि उपरोक्त अधिनियम की धारा 13 के उपबंध, इस अधिसूचना की तारीख से पांच वर्षों के लिए बैंक आफ इंडिया पर लागू नहीं होंगे।

[फा. सं. 11/8/2007-बीओए]

डी. पी. भारद्वाज, उप सचिव

New Delhi, the 15th January, 2008

S.O. 90.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 53 of the Banking Regulation Act, 1949 (10 of 1949), the Government of India, on the recommendation of Reserve Bank of India, hereby declares that the provisions of Section 13 of the said Act shall not apply, for a period of five years from the date of this Notification, to Bank of India.

[F.No. 11/8/2007-BOA]

D. P. BHARDWAJ, Dy. Secy.

(राजस्व विभाग)

केन्द्रीय आर्थिक आसूचना ब्यूरो

(कोफेपोसा अनुभाग)

आदेश

नई दिल्ली, 8 जनवरी, 2008

का.आ. 91.—यतः संयुक्त सचिव, भारत सरकार जिन्हें विदेशी मुद्रा संरक्षण और तस्करी निवारण अधिनियम, 1974 (1974 का 52) की धारा 3 की उप-धारा (1) के अन्तर्गत विशेष रूप से शक्ति प्रदान की गई है, ने उक्त उप-धारा के अधीन आदेश फाइल सं. 673/06/2007-सी.यू.एस.-8, दिनांक 05-12-2007 को जारी किया और यह निर्देश दिया कि श्री दीपक कुमार, उर्फ दीपक बत्रा, सुपुत्र श्री ओम प्रकाश बत्रा, निवासी-1431, गोपाल स्ट्रीट, संगत रशन, पहाड़गंज, नई दिल्ली को निरुद्ध कर लिया जाए और केन्द्रीय कारागार, तिहाड़, नई दिल्ली में अभिरक्षा में रखा जाए ताकि उन्हें भविष्य में चीजों की तस्करी करने से रका जा सके।

2. अतः केन्द्रीय सरकार के पास यह विश्वास करने का कारण है कि पूर्वोक्त व्यक्ति फरार हो गया है या स्वयं को छिपा रखा है जिससे यह आदेश निष्पादित नहीं किया जा सकता।

3. अतः अब उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 7 की उप-धारा (1) के खण्ड (ख) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्ति का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्वारा पूर्वोक्त व्यक्ति को यह निर्देश देती है कि वह शासकीय

राजपत्र में इस आदेश के प्रकाशित होने के 7 दिन के भीतर पुलिस आयुक्त, दिल्ली के सम्मुख उपस्थित हो।

[फा. सं. 673/06/2007-सि.यू.एस. VIII]

बी. के. खन्ना, उप सचिव

(Department of Revenue)

(COFEPOSA SECTION)

CENTRAL ECONOMIC INTELLIGENCE BUREAU

ORDER

New Delhi, the 8th January, 2008

S.O. 91.—Whereas the Joint Secretary to the Government of India, specially empowered under sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the Conservation of Foreign Exchange and Prevention of Smuggling Activities Act, 1974 (52 of 1974) issued order F. No. 673/06/2007-Cus. VIII dated 05-12-2007 under the said sub-section directing that Shri Deepak Kumar @ Deepak Batra, S/o Shri Om Prakash Batra, R/o 1431, Gopal Street, Sangat Rashan, Pahar Ganj, New Delhi be detained and kept in custody in the Central Jail, Tihar, New Delhi with a view to preventing him from Smuggling goods in future,

2. Whereas the Central Government has reasons to believe that the aforesaid person has absconded or is concealing himself so that the order cannot be executed.

3. Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (b) of sub-section (1) of Section 7 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby directs the aforesaid person to appear before the Commissioner of Police, Delhi within 7 days of the publication of this order in the Official Gazette.

[F. No. 673/06/2007-Cus. VIII]

V. K. KHANNA, Dy. Secy.

विदेश मंत्रालय

(सी.पी.बी. प्रभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 31 दिसम्बर, 2007

का.आ. 92.—राजनयिक कौंसली अधिकारी (शपथ एवं शुल्क) अधिनियम 1948 (1948 का 41वां) के खण्ड 2 के अंक (क) के अनुसरण में केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्वारा भारत का राजदूतावास, वियेशियेन में श्री प्रवीन सी. काला, सहायक को 31-12-2007 से सहायक कौंसली अधिकारी का कार्य करने हेतु प्राधिकृत करती है।

[सं. टी-4330/01/2006]

प्रीतम लाल, अवर सचिव (कौंसुलर)

MINISTRY OF EXTERNAL AFFAIRS

(C.P.V. Division)

New Delhi, the 31st December, 2007

S.O. 92.—In pursuance of the clause (a) of the Section 2 of the Diplomatic and Consular Officers (Oaths and fees) Act, 1948, the Central Government hereby authorize Shri Praveen C. Kala, Assistant to perform the duties of

Assistant Consular Officer in the Embassy of India, Vientiane with effect from 31st December, 2007.

[No. T. 4330/1/2006]

PRITAM LAL, Under Secy. (Consular)

स्वास्थ्य और परिवार कल्याण मंत्रालय

नई दिल्ली, 7 जनवरी, 2008

का.आ. 93.—केन्द्र सरकार ने भारतीय आयुर्विज्ञान परिषद् अधिनियम, 1956 (1956 का 102) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) के खण्ड (क) के अनुसरण में और अरुणाचल प्रदेश सरकार से परामर्श करने के बाद डा. हेग लेडर, निदेशक, स्वास्थ्य सेवाएं, अरुणाचल प्रदेश, नाहरलगुन को 16-12-2007 से पांच वर्षों के लिए भारतीय आयुर्विज्ञान परिषद् के सदस्य के रूप में मनोनीत किया गया है।

अतः अब उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) के उपबंध के अनुसरण में, केन्द्र सरकार एतद्वारा भारत सरकार के तत्कालीन स्वास्थ्य मंत्रालय की दिनांक 9 जनवरी, 1960 की अधिसूचना संख्या का.आ. 138 में निम्नलिखित और संशोधन करती है, अर्थात् :-

उक्त अधिसूचना में “धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) के खण्ड (क) के अधीन मनोनीत” शीर्षक के अंतर्गत क्रम संख्या 24 और उससे संबंधित प्रविष्टियों के स्थान पर निम्नलिखित प्रविष्टियां प्रतिस्थापित की जाएंगी; अर्थात् :-

“24. डा. हेग लेडर, अरुणाचल प्रदेश सरकार”
निदेशक, स्वास्थ्य सेवाएं,
अरुणाचल प्रदेश,
नाहरलगुन

[संख्या बी-11013/2/2007-एमई (नीति-1)]

टी. जे. एस. चावला, अवर सचिव

MINISTRY OF HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE

New Delhi, the 7th January, 2008

S.O. 93.—Whereas the Central Government, in pursuance of clause (a) of sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the Indian Medical Council Act, 1956 (102 of 1956) and in consultation with the Government of Arunachal Pradesh have nominated Dr. Hage Lador, Director of Health Services, Arunachal Pradesh, Naharlagun to be a member of the Medical Council of India for five years with effect from 16-12-2007.

Now, therefore, in pursuance of the provision of sub section (1) of Section 3 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby makes the following further amendment in the Notification of the Government of India in the then Ministry of Health number S.O. 138 dated the 9th January, 1960, namely :—

In the said Notification, under the heading, “Nominated under clause (a) of sub section (1) of Section

3", for serial number 24 and the entries thereto, the following entries shall be substituted, namely :—

"24. Dr. Hage Lador Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh"
Director of Health.
Services, Arunachal
Pradesh, Naharlagun

[No. V. 11013/2/2007-ME (P-I)]

T. J. S. CHAWLA, Under Secy.

उपभोक्ता मामले, खाद्य और सार्वजनिक वितरण मंत्रालय

(उपभोक्ता मामले विभाग)

भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो

नई दिल्ली, 24 दिसम्बर, 2007

का.आ. 94.—भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो नियम, 1987 के नियम 7 के उपनियम (1) के खंड (ख) के अनुसरण में भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो एतद्वारा अधिसूचित करता है कि अनुसूची में दिए गए मानक (कों) में संशोधन किया गया/किये गए हैं :-

अनुसूची

| क्रम सं. | भारतीय मानक(कों) की संख्या वर्ष और शीर्षक | संशोधन की संख्या और तिथि | संशोधन की तिथि |
|----------|---|-----------------------------|----------------|
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| 1. | आईएस 4736 : 1986 मृदु इस्पात नलिकाओं पर तप्त निम्नजो जस्ता लेपन-विशिष्टि (पहला पुनरीक्षण) | संशोधन संख्या 4 नवम्बर 2007 | 30 नवम्बर 2007 |

इस मानक की प्रतियाँ भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो, मानक भवन, 9, बहादुर शाह जफर मार्ग, नई दिल्ली-110 002, क्षेत्रीय कार्यालयों : नई दिल्ली, कोलकाता, चण्डीगढ़, चेन्नई, मुम्बई तथा शाखा कार्यालयों : अहमदाबाद, बंगलौर, भोपाल, भुवनेश्वर, कोयम्बतूर, गुवाहाटी, हैदराबाद, जयपुर, कानपुर, नागपुर, पटना, पुणे तथा तिरुवनन्तापुरम में बिक्री हेतु उपलब्ध हैं।

[संदर्भ : एम.टी.डी. 24/टी-90]

डा. स्नेह भाटला, वैज्ञानिक 'एफ' एवं प्रमुख (एमटीडी)

MINISTRY OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS, FOOD AND
PUBLIC DISTRIBUTION

(Department of Consumer Affairs)

BUREAU OF INDIAN STANDARDS

New Delhi, the 24th December, 2007

S.O. 94.—In pursuance of clause (b) of sub-rule (1) of 7 Rule of the Bureau of Indian Standards Rules, 1987, the Bureau of Indian Standards hereby notifies that

amendments to the Indian Standards, particulars of which are given in the Schedule hereto annexed have been issued:

SCHEDULE

| Sl. No. & Year of the No. Indian Standards Established | No. and year of the amendment | Date from which the amendment shall have effect |
|--|--------------------------------|---|
| (1) | (2) | (3) |
| 1. IS 4736 : 1986—Specification for Hot-Dip Zinc Coatings on Mild Steel Tubes (First Revision) | Amendment No. 4 November, 2007 | 30 November, 2007 |

Copy of this Amendment is available for sale with the Bureau of Indian Standards, Manak Bhavan, 9, Bahadur Shah Zafar Marg, New Delhi-110 002 and Regional Offices : New Delhi, Kolkata Chandigarh, Chennai, Mumbai and also Branch Offices : Ahmedabad, Bangalore, Bhopal, Bhubaneswar, Coimbatore, Guwahati, Hyderabad, Jaipur, Kanpur, Nagpur, Patna, Pune, Thiruvananthapuram.

[Ref. MTD 24/T-90]

Dr. (Mrs.) SNEH BHATLA, Sc. 'F' & Head (MTD)

नई दिल्ली, 4 जनवरी, 2008

का.आ. 95.—भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो नियम 1987 के नियम 7 के उपनियम (1) के खंड (ख) के अनुसरण में भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो एतद्वारा अधिसूचित करता है कि नीचे अनुसूची में दिए गए मानक(कों) में संशोधन किया गया/किये गये हैं :-

अनुसूची

| क्रम संख्या मानक(कों) की संख्या वर्ष और शीर्षक | संशोधन की संख्या और तिथि | संशोधन लागू होने की तिथि |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| (1) | (2) | (3) |
| 1. आईएस 15652 : 2006, विद्युत प्रयोजनों के लिए विद्युत्तरोधी मैट-विशिष्टि | 01 नवम्बर, 2007 | 02-01-2008 |

इस संशोधन की प्रतियाँ भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो, मानक भवन, 9, बहादुरशाह जफर मार्ग, नई दिल्ली-110 002, क्षेत्रीय कार्यालयों : नई दिल्ली, कोलकाता, चण्डीगढ़, चेन्नई, मुम्बई तथा शाखा कार्यालयों : अहमदाबाद, बंगलौर, भोपाल, भुवनेश्वर, कोयम्बतूर, गुवाहाटी, हैदराबाद, जयपुर, कानपुर, नागपुर, पटना, पुणे तथा तिरुवनन्तापुरम में बिक्री हेतु उपलब्ध हैं।

[संदर्भ : ईटी 02/टी-154]

पी. के. मुखर्जी, वैज्ञानिक 'एफ' एवं प्रमुख (विद्युत तकनीकी)

New Delhi, the 4th January, 2008

S.O. 95.—In pursuance of clause (b) of sub-rule (1) of Rule 7 of the Bureau of Indian Standards Rules, 1987, the Bureau of Indian Standards hereby notifies that amendments to the Indian Standards, particulars of which are given in the Schedule hereto annexed has been issued :

SCHEDULE

| Sl. No. | No. and year of the Indian Standards | No. and year of the amendment | Date from which the amendment shall have effect |
|---------|---|-------------------------------|---|
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| 1. | IS 15652 : 2006 Insulating Mats for Electrical Purposes—Specification | 01 November, 2007 | 02-01-2008 |

Copy of this Amendment is available for sale with the Bureau of Indian Standards, Manak Bhavan, 9, Bahadur Shah Zafar Marg, New Delhi-110 002 and Regional Offices : New Delhi, Kolkata Chandigarh, Chennai, Mumbai and also Branch Offices : Ahmedabad, Bangalore, Bhopal, Bhubaneswar, Coimbatore, Guwahati, Hyderabad, Jaipur, Kanpur, Nagpur, Patna, Pune, Thiruvananthapuram.

[Ref. ET 02/T-154]

P. K. MUKHERJEE, Sc. 'F' & Head (Electrotechnical)

नई दिल्ली, 7 जनवरी, 2008

का.आ. 96.—भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो नियम 1987 के नियम 7 के उपनियम (1) के खंड (ख) के अनुसरण में भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो एतद्वारा अधिसूचित करता है कि नीचे अनुसूची में दिए गए मानक(कों) में संशोधन किया गया/किये गये हैं :-

अनुसूची

| क्रम संख्या | संशोधित भारतीय मानक(कों) की संख्या और वर्ष | संशोधन की संख्या और तिथि | संशोधन लागू होने की तिथि |
|-------------|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| 1. | आई एस 12634 : 1989 | 1 दिसम्बर, 2007 | 31 दिसम्बर, 2007 |
| 2. | आई एस 13047 : 1991 | 1 दिसम्बर, 2007 | 31 दिसम्बर, 2007 |

इन भारतीय संशोधन की प्रतियाँ भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो, मानक भवन, 9, बहादुरशाह जफर मार्ग, नई दिल्ली-110 002, क्षेत्रीय कार्यालयों : नई दिल्ली, कोलकाता, चण्डीगढ़, चेन्नई, मुम्बई तथा शाखा कार्यालयों : अहमदाबाद, बंगलौर, भोपाल, भुवनेश्वर, कोयम्बतूर, गुवाहाटी, हैदराबाद, जयपुर, कानपुर, नागपुर, पटना, पुणे तथा तिरुवनन्तापुरम में बिक्री हेतु उपलब्ध हैं।

[संदर्भ : सीईडी/राजपत्र]

ए. के. सैनी, वैज्ञानिक 'एफ' एवं प्रमुख (सिविल इंजीनियरी)

New Delhi, the 7th January, 2008

S.O. 96.—In pursuance of clause (b) of sub-rule (1) of Rule 7 of the Bureau of Indian Standards Rules, 1987, the Bureau of Indian Standards hereby notifies that amendments to the Indian Standards, particulars of which are given in the Schedule hereto annexed have been issued :

SCHEDULE

| Sl. No. | No. and year of the Indian Standards | No. and year of the amendment | Date from which the amendment shall have effect |
|---------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| 1. | IS 12634 : 1989 | 1 December, 2007 | 31 December, 2007 |
| 1. | IS 13047 : 1991 | 1 December, 2007 | 31 December, 2007 |

Copy of this Standard is available for sale with the Bureau of Indian Standards, Manak Bhavan, 9, Bahadur Shah Zafar Marg, New Delhi-110 002 and Regional Offices : New Delhi, Kolkata Chandigarh, Chennai, Mumbai and also Branch Offices : Ahmedabad, Bangalore, Bhopal, Bhubaneswar, Coimbatore, Guwahati, Hyderabad, Jaipur, Kanpur, Nagpur, Patna, Pune, Thiruvananthapuram.

[Ref. CED/Gazette]

A. K. SAINI, Sc. 'F' & Head (Civil Engg.)

नई दिल्ली, 7 जनवरी, 2008

का.आ. 97.—भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो नियम, 1987 के नियम 7 के उपनियम (1) के खंड (ख) के अनुसरण में भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो एतद्वारा अधिसूचित करता है कि जिन भारतीय मानकों के विवरण नीचे अनुसूची में दिए गए हैं, वे स्थापित हो गए हैं :-

अनुसूची

| क्रम संख्या | स्थापित भारतीय मानक(कों) की संख्या, वर्ष और शीर्षक | नये भारतीय मानक द्वारा अतिक्रमित भारतीय मानक अथवा मानकों, यदि कोई हो, की संख्या और वर्ष | स्थापित तिथि |
|-------------|--|--|------------------|
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| 1. | आईएस/आईएसओ 1925 : 2001 यांत्रिक कंपन-संतुलन-शब्दावली | आईएस 13274 : 1992/आईएसओ 1925 : 1990 यांत्रिक कंपन-तुलन-शब्दावली | 30 सितम्बर, 2007 |
| 2. | आईएस/आईएसओ 5344 : 2004 विद्युत गतिक कंपन जनित्र प्रणाली-कार्यकारिता अभिलक्षण (पहला पुनरीक्षण) | आईएस/आईएसओ 5344 : 1980 विकंपन उत्पन्न करने के लिए विद्युत-गतिकीय परीक्षण उपस्कर-उपस्कर के लक्षण बताने की पद्धतियां | 31 अक्टूबर, 2007 |
| 3. | आईएस/आईएसओ 5982 : 2001 यांत्रिक कंपन और शॉक-उर्ध्वाधर कंपन के अंतर्गत बैठी स्थिति में शरीर अनुक्रिया अभिलक्षणों को अंकित करने हेतु आदर्शकृत भागों की रेंज | आईएस 14730 : 2000/आईएसओ 5982 : 1981 कंपन और प्रधात-मनुष्य के यांत्रिक चालन केन्द्र की प्रतिबाधा | 31 अक्टूबर, 2007 |
| 4. | आईएस/आईएसओ 5349-1 : 2001 यांत्रिक कंपन-हस्त संचरित कंपन का मानव पर प्रभाव का मापन और मूल्यांकन : भाग 1 सामान्य अपेक्षाएं (पहला पुनरीक्षण) | आईएस/आईएसओ 5349 : 1986 यांत्रिक कंपन-मानव शरीर में हाथ के माध्यम से हुए विकम्पन के मापन और मूल्यांकन के मार्गदर्शी सिद्धांत | 30 नवम्बर, 2007 |
| 5. | आईएस/आईएसओ 5349-2 : 2001 यांत्रिक कंपन-हस्त संचरित कंपन का मानव पर प्रभाव का मापन और मूल्यांकन : भाग 2 कार्यस्थलों पर मापन की व्यावहारिक मार्गदर्शिका (पहला पुनरीक्षण) | आईएस/आईएसओ 5349 : 1986 यांत्रिक कंपन-मानव शरीर में हाथ के माध्यम से हुए विकम्पन के मापन और मूल्यांकन के मार्गदर्शी सिद्धांत | 30 नवम्बर, 2007 |
| 6. | आईएस/आईएसओ 7475 : 2002 यांत्रिक कंपन-संतुलन मशीनें-मापन स्टेशन के लिए अहाते और अन्य संरक्षी उपाय | आईएस 14734 : 1999/आईएसओ 7475 : 1984 संतुलन मशीन-आवेष्टन और अन्य सुरक्षा उपाय | 31 अक्टूबर, 2007 |
| 7. | आईएस/आईएसओ 10055 : 1996 यांत्रिक कंपन-शिपबोर्ड के उपस्करों और मशीनरी के घटकों की कंपन परीक्षण अपेक्षाएं | - | 31 अक्टूबर, 2007 |
| 8. | आईएस 3615 : 2007 प्रशीतन और वातानुकूलन सम्बन्धी शब्दावली (पहला पुनरीक्षण) | आईएस 3615 : 1967 प्रशीतन और वातानुकूलन संबंधी शब्दावली | 30 नवम्बर, 2007 |
| 9. | आईएस/आईएसओ 7131 : 1997 मिट्टी उठाने की मशीनरी-लोडर-शब्दावली और व्यापारिक विशिष्टियां | आईएस 11114 (भाग 4) : 1993/आईएसओ 7131 : 1984 मिट्टी उठाने की मशीनरी-आयामों और सूचकों की परिभाषाएं; भाग 4 लोडर-शब्दावली और व्यापारिक विशिष्टियां | 31 अक्टूबर, 2007 |

इस भारतीय मानक की प्रतियाँ भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो, मानक भवन, 9, बहादुर शाह जफर मार्ग, नई दिल्ली-110002, क्षेत्रीय कार्यालयों: नई दिल्ली, कोलकाता, चण्डीगढ़, चेन्नई, मुम्बई तथा शाखा कार्यालयों : अहमदाबाद, बंगलौर, भोपाल, भुवनेश्वर, कोयम्बतूर, गुवाहाटी, हैदराबाद, जयपुर, कानपुर, नागपुर, पटना, पुणे तथा तिरुवनन्तापुरम में बिक्री हेतु उपलब्ध हैं।

[संदर्भ : एम.ई.डी./जी-2 : 1]

सी. के. वेदा, वैज्ञानिक 'एफ' एवं प्रमुख (यांत्रिक इंजीनियरिंग)

New Delhi, the 7th January, 2008

S.O. 97.—In pursuance of clause (b) of sub-rule (1) of Rule 7 of the Bureau of Indian Standard Rules, 1987, the Bureau of Indian Standards hereby notifies that the Indian Standards, particulars of which are given in the Schedule hereto annexed have been established on the date indicated against each :

SCHEDULE

| Sl. No. | No. & Year of the Indian Standards Established | No. & year of Indian Standards, if any, Superseded by the New Indian Standard | Date of Established |
|---------|---|---|---------------------|
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| 1. | IS/ISO 1925 : 2001 Mechanical vibration—balancing-Vocabulary | IS 13274 : 1992/ISO 1925 : 1990 Mechanical vibration-balancing-Vocabulary | 30 September, 2007 |
| 2. | IS/ISO 5344 : 2004 Electrodynamic vibration generating systems—Performance characteristics (First Revision) | IS/ISO 5344 : 1980 Electrodynamic test equipment for generating Vibration—Method of describing equipment characteristics | 31 October, 2007 |
| 3. | IS/ISO 5982 : 2001 Mechanical vibration and shock—Range of idealized values to characterize seated-body biodynamic response under vertical vibration | IS 14730 : 2000/ISO 5982 : 1981 vibration and shock—Mechanical driving point impedance of the human body | 31 October, 2007 |
| 4. | IS/ISO 5349-1 : 2001 Mechanical vibration—Measurement and evaluation of human exposure to hand-transmitted vibration; Part 1 General requirements (First revision) | IS/ISO 5349 : 1986 Mechanical vibration—Guidelines for measurement and the assessment of human exposure to hand-transmitted vibration | 30 November, 2007 |
| 5. | IS/ISO 5349-2 : 2001 Mechanical vibration—Measurement and evaluation of human exposure to hand-Transmitted vibration; Part 2 Practical guidance for measurement at the workplace (First revision) | IS/ISO 5349 : 1986 Mechanical vibration—Guidelines for measurement and the assessment of human exposure to hand-transmitted vibration | 30 November, 2007 |
| 6. | IS/ISO 7475 : 2002 Mechanical vibration—Blancing machines-Enclosures and other protective measures for the measuring station | IS 14734 : 1999/ISO 7475 : 1984 Balancing machines—Enclosures and other safety measures | 31 October, 2007 |
| 7. | IS/ISO 10055 : 1996 Mechanical vibration—Vibration testing requirements for ship-board equipment and machinery components | — | 31 October, 2007 |
| 8. | IS 3615 : 2007 Glossary of terms used in refrigeration and air conditioning (First Revision) | IS 3615 : 1967 Glossary of terms used in refrigeration and air conditioning | 30 November, 2007 |
| 9. | IS/ISO 7131 : 1997 Earth-moving machinery Loaders—Terminology and commercial specifications | IS 11114 (Part 4) : 1993/ISO 7131 : 1984 Earth moving machinery—Definition of dimensions and symbols; Part 4 Loaders—Terminology and commerical specifications (First revision) | 31 October, 2007 |

Copy of these Standards is available for sale with the Bureau of Indian Standards, Manak Bhavan, 9, Bahadur Shah Zafar Marg, New Delhi-110002 and Regional Offices : New Delhi, Kolkata Chandigarh, Chennai, Mumbai and also Branch Offices : Ahmedabad, Bangalore, Bhopal, Bhubaneshwar, Coimbatore, Guwahati, Hyderabad, Jaipur, Kanpur, Nagpur, Patna, Pune, Thiruvananthapuram.

[Ref. MED/G-2 : 1]

C. K. VEDA, Sc. 'F' & Head (Mechanical Engineering)

नई दिल्ली, 9 जनवरी, 2008

का.आ. 98.—भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो नियम, 1987 के नियम 7 के उपनियम (1) के खंड (ख) के अनुसरण में भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो एतद्वारा अधिसूचित करता है कि जिस भारतीय मानक का विवरण नीचे अनुसूची में दिया गया है, वह स्थापित हो गया है :-

अनुसूची

| क्रम संख्या | स्थापित भारतीय मानक(कों) की संख्या, वर्ष और शीर्षक | नये भारतीय मानक द्वारा अतिक्रमित भारतीय मानक अथवा मानकों, यदि कोई हो, की संख्या और वर्ष | स्थापित तिथि |
|-------------|---|---|------------------|
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| 1. | आईएस 15800 : 2007 गुणता प्रबन्ध पद्धतियां—जनता को सेवा देने वाले संगठनों द्वारा गुणता सेवा देने हेतु मार्गनिर्देश | — | 31 दिसम्बर, 2007 |

इस संशोधन की प्रतियाँ भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो, मानक भवन, 9, बहादुर शाह जफर मार्ग, नई दिल्ली-110002, क्षेत्रीय कार्यालयों: नई दिल्ली, कोलकाता, चण्डीगढ़, चेन्नई, मुम्बई तथा शाखा कार्यालयों : अहमदाबाद, बंगलौर, भोपाल, भुवनेश्वर, कोयम्बतूर, गुवाहाटी, हैदराबाद, जयपुर, कानपुर, नागपुर, पटना, पुणे तथा तिरुवनन्तापुरम में बिक्री हेतु उपलब्ध हैं।

[संदर्भ : एम एस डी/जी-8 अधिसूचना]

पी. भटनागर, वैज्ञानिक 'ई' एवं प्रमुख (प्रबन्ध एवं तंत्र)

New Delhi, the 9th January, 2008

S.O. 98.—In pursuance of clause (b) of sub-rule (1) of Rule 7 of the Bureau of Indian Standards Rules, 1987, the Bureau of Indian Standards hereby notifies that the Indian Standards, particulars of which are given in the Schedule hereto annexed has been established on the date indicated against each :

SCHEDULE

| Sl. No. | No. and year of the Indian Standard Established | No. and year of Indian Standard, if any, Superseded by the New Indian Standard | Date of Established |
|---------|---|--|---------------------|
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| 1 | IS 15800 : 2007 Quality Management Systems—Guidelines for Service Quality by Public Service Organizations | — | 31 December, 2007 |

Copy of above Standard is available for sale with the Bureau of Indian Standards, Manak Bhavan, 9, Bahadur Shah Zafar Marg, New Delhi-110 002 and its Regional Offices at Kolkata, Chandigarh, Chennai, Mumbai and also Branch Offices at Ahmedabad, Bangaluru, Bhopal, Bhubaneshwar, Coimbatore, Guwahati, Hyderabad, Jaipur, Kanpur, Nagpur, Patna, Pune and Thiruvananthapuram.

[Ref: MSD/G-8 Notification]

P. BHATNAGAR, Scientist 'E' & Head (MSD)

नई दिल्ली, 14 जनवरी, 2008

का.आ. 99.—भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो नियम, 1987 के नियम 7 के उपनियम (1) के खंड (ख) के अनुसरण में भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो एतद्वारा अधिसूचित करता है कि जिन भारतीय मानकों के विवरण नीचे अनुसूची में दिए गए हैं वे स्थापित हो गए हैं :-

अनुसूची

| क्रम संख्या | संशोधित भारतीय मानक(कों) की संख्या, वर्ष और शीर्षक | नये भारतीय मानक द्वारा अतिक्रमित भारतीय मानक अथवा मानकों, यदि कोई हों, की संख्या और वर्ष | स्थापित तिथि |
|-------------|--|--|------------------|
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| 1. | आईएस 15791 : 2007, संग्रहालय प्लाईवुड—विशिष्ट | — | 31 दिसम्बर, 2007 |

इस भारतीय मानक की प्रतियाँ भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो, मानक भवन, 9, बहादुरशाह जफर मार्ग, नई दिल्ली-110002, क्षेत्रीय कार्यालयों नई दिल्ली, कोलकाता, चण्डीगढ़, चेन्नई, मुम्बई तथा शाखा कार्यालयों अहमदाबाद, बंगलौर, भोपाल, भुवनेश्वर, कोयम्बतूर, गुवाहाटी, हैदराबाद, जयपुर, कानपुर, नागपुर, पटना, पुणे तथा तिरुवनन्तापुरम में बिक्री हेतु उपलब्ध हैं।

[संदर्भ : सीईडी/राजपत्र]

ए. के. सैनी, वैज्ञानिक 'एफ' व प्रमुख (सिविल इंजीनियरी)

New Delhi, the 14th January, 2008

S.O. 99.—In pursuance of clause (b) of sub-rule (1) of Rule 7 of the Bureau of Indian Standards Rules, 1987, the Bureau of Indian Standards hereby notifies that the Indian Standards, particulars of which are given in the Schedule hereto annexed has been established on the date indicated against each :

SCHEDULE

| Sl. No. | No. and year of the Indian Standards Established | No. and year of Indian Standards, if any, Superseded by the New Indian Standard | Date of Established |
|---------|--|---|---------------------|
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| 1. | IS 15791 : 2007 Museum Plywood — Specification | — | 31 December, 2007 |

Copy of this Standard is available for sale with the Bureau of Indian Standards, Manak Bhavan, 9, Bahadur Shah Zafar Marg, New Delhi-110 002 and its Regional Offices : New Delhi, Kolkata, Chandigarh, Chennai, Mumbai and also Branch Offices : Ahmedabad, Bangalore, Bhopal, Bhubaneswar, Coimbatore, Guwahati, Hyderabad, Jaipur, Kanpur, Nagpur, Patna, Pune, Thiruvananthapuram.

[Ref : CED/Gazette]

A. K. SAINI, Scientist 'F' & Head (Civil Engineering)

नई दिल्ली, 15 जनवरी, 2008

का.आ. 100.—भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो (प्रमाणन) विनियम, 1988 के नियम 4 के उपनियम (5) के अनुसरण में भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो एतद्वारा अधिसूचित करता है कि जिन लाइसेंसों के विवरण नीचे अनुसूची में दिए गए हैं, वे स्वीकृत कर दिए गए हैं :-

अनुसूची

नवम्बर 2007 में स्वीकृत किये गये अनुज्ञप्ति

| क्रम संख्या | लाइसेंस संख्या | लाइसेंसी का नाम तथा पता | उत्पाद का नाम तथा आई एस | अनुज्ञप्ति स्वीकृत करने की तिथि |
|-------------|----------------|--|---|---------------------------------|
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 1 | 7793605 | हरिओम ज्वैलर्स, लिम्बू पोल, रतन पोल, अहमदाबाद-380001 | स्वर्ण एवं स्वर्ण मिश्र धातुओं के आभूषणों/शिल्पकारी शुद्धता एवं मुहारांकन आई एस 1417 : 1999 | 02-11-2007 |
| 2 | 7793706 | बाबूभाई ज्वैलर्स, जी 13, पुजेर काम्पलैक्स, गोल्डन सिल्वर अपार्टमेंट के सामने, सुभनपुरा, बड़ोदा-390023 | स्वर्ण एवं स्वर्ण मिश्र धातुओं के आभूषणों/शिल्पकारी शुद्धता एवं मुहारांकन आई एस 1417 : 1999 | 02-11-2007 |
| 3 | 7793807 | मुक्तानंद आर्ट ज्वैलर्स, 12/17/72, अशोक स्तम्भ के सामने, टावर बाजार, आनंद 388001 | स्वर्ण एवं स्वर्ण मिश्र धातुओं के आभूषणों/शिल्पकारी शुद्धता एवं मुहारांकन आई एस 1417 : 1999 | 02-11-2007 |
| 4 | 7794001 | अरबुडा ज्वैलर्स, जावेरी बाजार, स्टेशन रोड, मेहसाना-384001 | स्वर्ण एवं स्वर्ण मिश्र धातुओं के आभूषणों/शिल्पकारी शुद्धता एवं मुहारांकन आई एस 1417 : 1999 | 02-11-2007 |

| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
|-----|---------|--|--|
| 5 | 7793504 | सोनल ज्वैलरी प्रा. लि., 301-303, पोडर प्लाजा, फायर स्टेशन के सामने, गोड डोड रोड, सूरत-395 001 | चांदी एवं चांदी मिश्र धातुओं के आभूषणों/शिल्पकारी शुद्धता एवं मुहरांकन आई एस 2112 : 2003 |
| 6 | 7793908 | सोनी दिलीपकुमार, गुणवंतीलाल, 365/1, चैनलाओल, मानक चौक, अहमदाबाद | चांदी एवं चांदी मिश्र धातुओं के आभूषणों/शिल्पकारी शुद्धता एवं मुहरांकन आई एस 2112 : 2003 |
| 7 | 7792195 | श्री धनुषधारी मा बिबरेइज, डी/65/5/बी, डायमंड पार्क, जी आई डी सी, नरोडा, अहमदाबाद | पैकेजबंद पेयजल 14543 : 2004 |
| 8 | 7794506 | शीलाभद्र केबल, 164/बी, साबरमती इंडस्ट्रियल एस्टेट, गुरुकुल स्टोरेज के पास, साबरमती, अहमदाबाद | पी वी सी इंसुलेटिड केबल आई एस 694 : 1990 |
| 9 | 7795306 | सोनी राजेन्द्राकुमार ठाकोरलाल, मोती बाजार चौक, पालनपुर, बंसकांटा 385 001 | स्वर्ण एवं स्वर्ण मिश्र धातुओं के आभूषणों/शिल्पकारी शुद्धता एवं मुहरांकन आई एस 1417 : 1999 |
| 10 | 7795407 | नक्षत्र ज्वैलर्स, 1 वाईट हाउस, पैलेस रोड, ग्राउंड फ्लोर, पालनपुर, बंसकांटा 385 001 | स्वर्ण एवं स्वर्ण मिश्र धातुओं के आभूषणों/शिल्पकारी शुद्धता एवं मुहरांकन आई एस 1417 : 1999 |
| 11 | 7796106 | कन्यादान ज्वैलर्स, कारोडिया पोल कृष्णा, शेरी घडीयाल पोल, एम जी रोड, बडोदा | स्वर्ण एवं स्वर्ण मिश्र धातुओं के आभूषणों/शिल्पकारी शुद्धता एवं मुहरांकन आई एस 1417 : 1999 |
| 12 | 7796914 | विनार ज्वैलर्स, 21 वृंदावन काम्पलैक्स, सुभाष चौक के पास, गुरुकुल रोड, मेमनगर, अहमदाबाद | स्वर्ण एवं स्वर्ण मिश्र धातुओं के आभूषणों/शिल्पकारी शुद्धता एवं मुहरांकन आई एस 1417 : 1999 |
| 13 | 7797007 | शिवम ज्वैलर्स, 884 गुजरात हाउसिंग बोर्ड, शिवशक्ति सोसाइटी, सेक्टर 27, ब्लाक नंबर 884, गांधीनगर-382 028 | स्वर्ण एवं स्वर्ण मिश्र धातुओं के आभूषणों/शिल्पकारी शुद्धता एवं मुहरांकन आई एस 1417 : 1999 |
| 14 | 7796005 | कन्यादान ज्वैलर्स, कारोडिया पोल कृष्णा शेरी, घडीयाली पोल, एम जी रोड, बडोदा | चांदी एवं चांदी मिश्र धातुओं के आभूषणों/शिल्पकारी शुद्धता एवं मुहरांकन आई एस 2112 : 2003 |
| 15 | 7794304 | लिंबानी इंजिनियरिंग, सर्वे नंबर 313, शिला आर्ट्स फैक्टरी के पास, राजचन्द्रा मंदिर के सामने, गैलेक्सी सिनेमा रोड, नरोडा, अहमदाबाद | सबमर्सिबल पम्पसेट आई एस 8034 : 2002 |
| 16 | 7795205 | पार्थ इंडस्ट्रीज, 17, साईनाथ एस्टेट, 105/106, जी आई डी सी प्लॉट, भगवती फाउंडरी के सामने, ओढ़व, अहमदाबाद 382415 | पम्प : रिजैनेरेटिव ओर क्लीयर, कोल्ड वाटर आई एस 8472 : 1998 |

| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
|-----|---------|--|--|------------|
| 17 | 7794203 | डैनम पम्प, 9, नीलकंठ एस्टेट, मधुसूदन टैक्सटाईल मिल के सामने, बापूनगर रोड, अहमदाबाद-380024 | ओपनवैल सबमर्सिबल पम्पसैट आई एस 14220 : 1994 | 06-11-2007 |
| 18 | 7794405 | अवधदूत इंजीनियरिंग इंडस्ट्रीज, 47/1, जी आई डी सी, विसनगर (उत्तर गुजरात) मेहसाना-384 315 | ओपनवैल सबमर्सिबल पम्पसैट आई एस 14220 : 1994 | 06-11-2007 |
| 19 | 7796712 | मैसर्स यूनीफ्लैक्स केबल लिमिटेड, 158-162, जी आई डी सी, अंबर गाँव, वलसाद-396 171 | पी वी सी इंसुलेटिड केबल आई एस 694 : 1990 | 13-11-2007 |
| 20 | 7795913 | मैसर्स अनमोल ज्वैलर्स, शॉप नंबर 1, जी एफ स्वपनिल रिंगेंसी, नारायणपुरा चार रस्ता, अहमदाबाद | स्वर्ण एवं स्वर्ण मिश्र धातुओं के आभूषणों/शिल्पकारी शुद्धता एवं मुहरांकन आई एस 1417 : 1999 | 12-11-2007 |
| 21 | 7797209 | मैसर्स अग्रवाल, ज्वैलर्स मेन बाजार, दंता, बनसकांट-385 120 | स्वर्ण एवं स्वर्ण मिश्र धातुओं के आभूषणों/शिल्पकारी शुद्धता एवं मुहरांकन आई एस 1417 : 1999 | 15-11-2007 |
| 22 | 7797310 | लीलावती ज्वैलर्स, 15, सुपर मार्केट, बस स्टेशन के पीछे, लानावाडा, पंचमहल | स्वर्ण एवं स्वर्ण मिश्र धातुओं के आभूषणों/शिल्पकारी शुद्धता एवं मुहरांकन आई एस 1417 : 1999 | 15-11-2007 |
| 23 | 7797714 | ध्रुमी केबल इंडस्ट्रीज, 26, कमल को ओप इंडस्ट्रियल एस्टेट, सुखरामनगर के पास, आजोड, डेरी रोड, रखियाल, अहमदाबाद-380 023 | पी वी सी इंसुलेटिड (एच डी) केबल आई एस 1554(पार्ट 1) : 1988 | 19-11-2007 |
| 24 | 7798211 | इनोवेटिव टायर तथा ट्यूब, 42ए, पुरुषोत्तम नगर, उर्मी चार रस्ता के पास, डाक्टर कदम हास्पिटल के सामने, बी पी सी रोड | ओटोमोटिव विहीकल न्यूमैटिक टायर फार ट्रू तथा श्री वीलड मोटर विहीकल आई 15627 | 01-11-2007 |
| 25 | 7798413 | उजस इंडस्ट्रीज, 40/41, अंबा एस्टेट, विनजोल पाटिया के पास, महमदाबाद रोड, अहमदाबाद | ओपलवैल सबमर्सिबल पम्पसैट आई 14220 : 1994 | 23-11-2007 |
| 26 | 7799213 | अवधदूत इंजीनियरिंग इंडस्ट्रीज, 47/1, जी आई डी सी, विसनगर (उत्तर गुजरात) मेहसाना-384 315 | पम्प-रीजैनेरेटिव और क्लीयर कोल्ड वाटर आई एस 8472 : 1998 | 28-11-2007 |
| 27 | 7799314 | गायत्री इंजीनियरिंग, क्विथकर्मा एस्टेट, गोल्डन ट्रांसपोर्ट के सामने, एन एच नंबर 8, नरोडा रोड, अहमदाबाद | सबमर्सिबल पम्पसैट आई 80342002 | 28-11-2007 |

[सं. सी एम डी/13 : 11]

ए. के. तलवार, उप महानिदेशक (मुहर)

New Delhi, the 15th January, 2008

S.O. 100.—In pursuance of sub-regulation (5) of the regulation 4 of the Bureau of Indian Standards (Certification) Regulations 1988, of the Bureau of Indian Standards hereby notifies the grant of licences particulars of which are given in the following schedule :

SCHEDULE**Licence Granted for the Month of November 2007**

| S. No. | Licence No. | Licensee Name | Product & IS No. | Date of GOL |
|--------|-------------|--|---|-------------|
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 1 | 7793605 | Hari Om Jewellers Limbu Pole Ratan Pole, Ahmedabad-380001 | Gold and Gold Alloys, Jewellery/Artefacts-Fineness and Marking IS 1417: 1999 | 02-11-2007 |
| 2 | 7793706 | Babubhai Jewellers G/13 Pujer Complex, Opp Golden Silver Apartment, Subhanpura, Vadodara-390023 | Gold and Gold Alloys, Jewellery/Artefacts-Fineness and Marking IS 1417: 1999 | 02-11-2007 |
| 3 | 7793807 | Muktanand Art Jewellers 12/17/72, Opp Ashok Stambha Tower Bazar, Anand-388001 | Gold and Gold Alloys, Jewellery/Artefacts-Fineness and Marking IS 1417: 1999 | 02-11-2007 |
| 4 | 7794001 | Arbuda Jewellers, Zaveri Bazar, Station Road, Mehsana-384001 | Gold and Gold Alloys, Jewellery/Artefacts-Fineness and Marking IS 1417: 1999 | 02-11-2007 |
| 5 | 7793504 | Sonal Jewellery Pvt. Ltd., 301-303, Poddar Plaza, Opp Fire Station, Ghod Dod Road, Surat-395001 | Silver and Silver Alloys, Jewellery/Artefacts-Fineness and Marking IS 2112: 2003 | 02-11-2007 |
| 6 | 7793908 | Soni Dilipkumar Gunvntilal, 365/1, Chanllaole, Manek Chowk, Ahmedabad | Silver and Silver Alloys, Jewellery/Artefacts-Fineness and Marking IS 2112: 2003 | 02-11-2007 |
| 7 | 7792195 | Shree Dhanushdhari Maa Beveroges, D/65/5/B, Diamond Park, GIDC, Naroda, Ahmedabad | Packaged Drinking Water IS 14543: 2004 | 01-11-2007 |
| 8 | 7794506 | Shalibhadra Cables, 164/B, Sabarmati Ind. Estate, Near Gurukul Storage, Sabarmati, Ahmedabad | PVC Insulated Cables IS 694: 1990 | 06-11-2007 |
| 9 | 7795306 | Soni Rajendra Kumar Thakorlal, Moti Bazar Chowk, Palanpur, Banaskantha-385001 | Gold and Gold Alloys, Jewellery/Artefacts-Fineness and Marking IS 1417: 1999 | 06-11-2007 |
| 10 | 7795407 | Nakshatra Jewellers, 1, White House Palace Road, Ground Floor, Palanpur, Banaskantha-385001 | Gold and Gold Alloys, Jewellery/Artefacts-Fineness and Marking IS 1417: 1999 | 01-11-2007 |

| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
|-----|---------|---|---|------------|
| 11 | 7796106 | Kanyadan Jewellers, Karodia Pole Krishna Sheri Ghadiali Pole, M G Road, Vadodar | Gold and Gold Alloys, Jewellery/Artefacts-Fineness and Marking IS 1417: 1999 | 12-11-2007 |
| 12 | 7796914 | Vinar Jewellers, E-21, Vrundavan Complex, Near Subhash Chowk, Gurukul Road, Memnagar, Ahmedabad | Gold and Gold Alloys, Jewellery/Artefacts-Fineness and Marking IS 1417: 1999 | 14-11-2007 |
| 13 | 7797007 | Shivam Jewellers, 884, Gujarat Housing Board, Shivshakti Society, Sector-27, Block No-884, Gandhinagar-382028 | Gold and Gold Alloys, Jewellery/Artefacts-Fineness and Marking IS 1417: 1999 | 14-11-2007 |
| 14 | 7796106 | Kanyadan Jewellers, Karodia Pole, Krishna Sheri Ghadiali Pole, M G Road, Vadodar | Silver and Silver Alloys, Jewellery/Artefacts-Fineness and Marking IS 2112: 2003 | 12-11-2007 |
| 15 | 7794304 | Limbani Engineering, Survey No. 313, NR, Shila Ice Factory, Opp. Rajchandra Temple, Galaxy Cinema Road, Naroda, Ahmedabad | Submersible Pumpsets IS 8034: 2002 | 06-11-2007 |
| 16 | 7795205 | Parth Industries, 17, Sainath Estate, 105/106, GIDC Plot, Opp. Bhagvati Foundary, Odhav, Ahmedabad-382415 | Pumps-Regenerative or clear, cold water-Specification IS 8472: 1998 | 08-11-2007 |
| 17 | 7794203 | Denim Pumps, 9, Nilkanth Estate, Opp. Madhusudan Textile Mills, Bapunagar Road, Ahmedabad-380024 | Openwell Submersible Pumpsets-Specification IS 14220: 1994 | 06-11-2007 |
| 18 | 7794405 | Avadhoot Engineering Industries, 47/1, G.I.D.C. Visnagar (Uttar Gujarat) Mehsana, 384315 | Openwell Submersible Pump IS 14420: 1994 | 06-11-2007 |
| 19 | 7796712 | M/s Uniflex Cables Limited, 158-162, GIDC, Umbergaon, Valsad 396171 | PVC Insulated Cables IS 694: 1990 | 13-11-2007 |
| 20 | 7795912 | M/s Anmol Jewels, Shop No 1, GF, Swapnil Regancy, Near Naranpura Char Rasta, Ahmedabad | Gold and Gold Alloys, Jewellery/Artefacts-Fineness and Marking IS 1417: 1999 | 12-11-2007 |
| 21 | 7797209 | M/s. Agarwal, Jewellers, Main Bazar, Danta, Banaskantha-385120 | Gold and Gold Alloys, Jewellery/Artefacts-Fineness and Marking IS 1417: 1999 | 15-11-2007 |
| 22 | 7797310 | Lilavati Jewellers, 15, Super Market, B/H Bus Station, Lanawada, Panchmahal | Gold and Gold Alloys, Jewellery/Artefacts-Fineness and Marking IS 1417: 1999 | 15-11-2007 |

| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
|-----|---------|--|---|------------|
| 23 | 7797714 | Drumi Cable Industries, 26, Kamal Co.op Industrial Estate, Nr Sukhramnagar, Ajod Dairy Road, Rakhial, - Ahemdabad 380023 | PS Insulated (HD) Cables IS 1554 (Part 1) 1988 | 19-11-2007 |
| 24 | 7798211 | Innovative Tyres and Tubes, 42-A, Purshottamnagar, Near Urmi Char Rasta, Opp. Dr. Kadam Hospital, B.P.C. Road | Automotive Vehicles- Pneumatic Tyres for Two and Three-Wheeled Motor Vehicles IS 15627 | 01-11-2007 |
| 25 | 7798413 | Ujash Industries, 40/41, Amba Estate Near Vinjol Patiya, Mahemdabad Road, Ahmedabad | Openwell submersible Pumpsets IS 14220:1994 | 23/11/2007 |
| 26 | 7799213 | Avadhoot Engineering Industries, 47/1, G.I.D.C., Visnagar, (Uttar Gujarat) Mehsana-384315 | Pump-Regenerative or clear, cold water IS 8472:1998 | 28-11-2007 |
| 27 | 7799314 | Gayatri Engineering, Viswakarma Estate Opp. Golden Transport, NH No. 8, Naroda Road, Ahmedabad | Submersible Pumpsets IS 8034:2002 | 28-11-2007 |

[No. CMD/13:11]

A. K. TALWAR, Dy. Director General (Marks)

नई दिल्ली, 15 जनवरी, 2008

का.आ. 101.- भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो (प्रमाणन) विनियम 1988 के उपनियम (5) के अनुसरण में भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो एतद्वारा अधिसूचित करता है कि जिन लाइसेंसों के विवरण नीचे अनुसूची में दिए गए हैं, वे स्वीकृत कर दिए गए हैं (सितम्बर 2007 महीना के लिए)

अनुसूची

| क्रम सं. | लाइसेंस सं. | स्वीकृत करने की तिथि वर्ष/माह | लाइसेंसधारी का नाम व पता | भारतीय मानक का शीर्षक | भा.मा. संख्या | भाग | अनु. | वर्ष |
|-------------|----------------|----------------------------------|---|--|------------------|-----|------|------|
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | | | |
| 1. | 5355062 | 10-09-07 | मैसर्स मानसरोवर सीमेंट कं. (प्रा.) लि. कमालपुर, राधानगर रोड, पो.आ. मि. थानि, कुलटी (पु.स्टे.) आसानसोल, जिला- वर्धमान-713371 पश्चिम बंगाल | पोर्टलैंड धातुमल सीमेंट | 455 | | | 1989 |
| 2. | 5354565 | 3-09-07 | मैसर्स राधिका ट्रांसमिशन प्रा. लिमि. धुलागढ़ इंडस्ट्रियल पार्क सांकराइल, एन एच-6 पो.आ. केन्दुआ, हावड़ा, पश्चिम बंगाल | शिरोपरि प्रेषणों के लिए एल्यूमीनियम के चालक, जस्तीकृत इस्पात प्रबलित | 398 | 2 | | 1996 |

| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
|-----|---------|------------|--|---|
| 3. | 5354666 | 3-09-07 | -वही- | शिरोपरि प्रेषणों के लिए एल्यूमीनियम मिश्रधातु (एल्यूमीनियम-मैग्नीशियम सिलिकॉन टाइप) के लड़दार चालक 398 4 1994 |
| 4. | 5354464 | 05-09-07 | मैसर्स ए.बी. वुडटेक प्रा. लिमिटेड एन टी रोड, वैद्यवाटी, हुगली-712222 पश्चिम बंगाल | लकड़ी के सपाट दरवाजे के शटर (ठोस कोर प्रकार) असजाबटी (बी एन) टाइप बी डब्ल्यू पी ग्रेड 2202 1 1999 |
| 5. | 5355264 | 10-09-07 | मैसर्स भिनिकैब (इंडिया) प्रा.लि. मानिकपुर, हरिनाभी-700148 जिला-24 परगना (दक्षिण), पश्चिम बंगाल | पी बी सी रोधित केबिल 1100 वोल्ट तक कार्यकारी वोल्टता के लिए 694 1990 |
| 6. | 5355163 | 10-09-07 | मैसर्स चेतक केबिल इंडस्ट्रीज 8 तिलजला रोड, कोलकाता-700046 पश्चिम बंगाल | पी बी सी रोधित केबिल 1100 वोल्ट तक कार्यकारी वोल्टता के लिए 694 1990 |
| 7. | 5356064 | 27-09-07 | मैसर्स सुभीसि पोलिमार्स 6/3 मुसलमानपाड़ा लेन बेलुङ-711202, जिला: हावड़ा, | वैद्युतीय संस्थापनों के लिए दीवार अथवा सीलिंग पर जड़े जाने हेतु केबिल ट्रांकिंग व ड्रिफ्टिंग प्रणाली 14927 2 2001 |
| 8. | 5356165 | 27-09-07 | -वही- | बिजली के संस्थापन के लिए नलिकाएं, विद्युत रोधक पदार्थों के सादे दृढ़ नलिकाएं 9537 3 1983 |
| 9. | 5357369 | 20-09-07 | मैसर्स जी के प्लास्टिक्स प्राईवेट लिमि. राजहाट दिल्ली रोड, जिला: हुगली-712123 पश्चिमी बंगाल | वेधन/नलकूप के लिए अप्लास्टीकृत पी बी सी के बने स्कीन एवं आवरण पाइप 12818 1992 |
| 10. | 5357874 | 05-10-2007 | मैसर्स बालाजी रोडमोल्डार्स प्रा.लि. 2/3 डॉ आर.एन. टैगोर रोड, बेलघरिया, कोलकाता-700076 पश्चिम बंगाल | घूमते हुए साचों में ढले पॉलीएथिलीन के जल संग्रह टेके 12701 1996 |
| 11. | 5357470 | 01-10-2007 | मैसर्स टिमटेक इंडिया प्रा.लि. दिल्ली रोड, बेलु मिल्लिक शेडराफुलि जिला- हुगली-712223 | भीनियर्ड डेकरेटिव प्लाईवुड 1328 1996 |
| 12. | 5357571 | 01-10-2007 | -वही- | अग्नि विमंदक प्लाईवुड 5509 2000 |

[सं. सी एम डी/13:11]

ए. के. तलवार, उप महानिदेशक (मुहर)

New Delhi, the 15th January, 2008

S.O. 101.—In pursuance of sub-regulation (5) of the Bureau of Indian Standards (Certification) Regulations 1988, of the Bureau of Indian Standards, hereby notifies the grant of licences particulars of which are given in the following schedule for the month of September 2007.

SCHEDULE

| Sl. No. | Licences No. | Grant Date | Name & Address of the party | Title of the Standard | IS No. | Part. | Sec. | Year |
|---------|--------------|------------|--|--|--------|-------|------|------|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| 1. | 5355062 | 10-09-07 | M/s. Mansarovar Cement Company Pvt. Ltd. Kamalpur, Radhanagar Rd., P.O. Mithani, Asansol, Bardhawan, West Bengal-713371 | Portland Slag Cement | 455 | | | 1989 |
| 2. | 5354565 | 3-09-07 | M/s. Radhika Transmission Pvt. Ltd. Dhulagarh Industrial Park, Dhulagarh, Sankrail, NH-6, P.O. Kendua, Howrah, West Bengal. | Aluminium Conductors galvanized Steel-reinforced for overhead-transmission purposes. | 398 | 2 | | 1996 |
| 3. | 5354666 | 3-09-07 | -do- | Aluminium alloy (Aluminium-Magnesium-Silicon type) Stranded Conductors for overhead transmission purposes. | 398 | 4 | | 1994 |
| 4. | 5354464 | 5-09-07 | M/s. A.B. Woodtech Pvt. Ltd. N.T. Road, Baidyabati Dist. Hooghly, West Bengal-712222 | Wooden Flush Door Shutters (Solid Core type) Non-decorative (BN) type, BWP grade | 2202 | 1 | | 1999 |
| 5. | 5355264 | 10-09-07 | M/s. Vinicab(India) Pvt. Ltd. Manickpur, Harinavi-700148 Dist. 24 Paragans(South) West Bengal. | PVC Insulated Cables for working voltages upto and including 1100 volts. | 694 | | | 1990 |
| 6. | 5355163 | 10-09-07 | M/s Chetak Cable Industries 8, Tiljala Road, Kolkata-700046, West Bengal. | -do- | 694 | | | 1990 |
| 7. | 5356064 | 27-09-07 | M/s Suvishi Polymers 6/3 Musalman Para Lane Behur-711202 Dist. Horwah, West Bengal. | Cable Trunking & Ducting Systems intended for Mounting on walls or ceiling. | 14927 | 2 | | 2001 |
| 8. | 5356165 | 27-09-07 | -do- | Conduits for electrical installation Rigid Plain Conduits of insulating material. | 9537 | 3 | | 1983 |
| 9. | 5357369 | 20-09-07 | M/s G. K. Plastics Pvt. Ltd., Rajhat, Delhi Road, Rajhat, Hooghly, West Bengal-712123 | Unplasticized PVC screen and casing pipes for Bore/Tubewell. | 12818 | | | 1992 |

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
|-----|---------|---------|--|---|-------|---|---|------|
| 10. | 5357874 | 5-10-07 | M/s Balaji Rotomoulders Pvt. Ltd. 2/3, Dr. R. N. Tagore Road, Belghoria Kolkata-700076 West Bengal. | Rotational moulded Polyethylene water storage tanks | 12701 | | | 1996 |
| 11. | 5357470 | 1-10-07 | M/s Timtech India Pvt. Ltd. Delhi Road, Behumilki, P. O. Sheoraphully, Distt. Hooghly-712223 West Bengal. | Veneered Decorative Plywood | 1328 | | | 1996 |
| 12. | 5357571 | 1-10-07 | M/s Timtech India Pvt. Ltd. Delhi Road, Behumilki, P. O. Sheoraphully, Dist. Hooghly-712223 West Bengal. | Fire Retardant Plywood | 5509 | | | 2000 |

[No. CMD/13:11]

A. K. TALWAR, Dy. Director General (Marks)

पर्यावरण एवं वन मंत्रालय

नई दिल्ली, 9 जनवरी, 2008

क्र. आ. 102.— केन्द्रीय सरकार, राजभाषा (संघ के शासकीय प्रयोजनों के लिए प्रयोग) नियम, 1976 के नियम 10 के उपनियम (4) के अनुकरण में पर्यावरण एवं वन मंत्रालय के अधीन निम्नलिखित कार्यालयों, जिनके 80 प्रतिशत कर्मचारीवृन्द ने हिन्दी का कार्यसाधक ज्ञान प्राप्त कर लिया है, को अधिसूचित करती है :-

1. भारतीय वनस्पति सर्वेक्षण, अंडमान निकोबार, परिमण्डल, पोर्ट ब्लेयर।
2. भारतीय वनस्पति सर्वेक्षण, पश्चिमी परिमण्डल, पुणे।

[सं. ई-11011/2/2003-रा. भा. (का.)]

जय नाखयण, निदेशक (राजभाषा)

MINISTRY OF ENVIRONMENT AND FORESTS

New Delhi, the 9th January, 2008

S. O. 102.— In pursuance of sub-Rule (4) of Rule 10 of the Official Language (use for official purpose of the union) Rule, 1976, the Central Government hereby notifies the following offices under the administrative control of the Ministry of Environment & Forests, the 80% staff whereof have acquired a working knowledge of Hindi :-

1. Botanical Survey of India, Andaman & Nicobar Circle, Port Blair.
2. Botanical Survey of India, Western Circle, Pune.

[No. 11011/2/2003-O. L. (I)]

JAI NARAIN, Director (O. L.)

जल संसाधन मंत्रालय

नई दिल्ली, 27 दिसम्बर, 2007

क्र. आ. 103.— केन्द्रीय सरकार, राजभाषा (संघ के शासकीय प्रयोजनों के लिए प्रयोग) नियम, 1976 के नियम 10 के उपनियम (4) के अनुकरण में केन्द्रीय जल आयोग, आर. के. पुरम, नई दिल्ली के निम्नलिखित कार्यालयों को, जिसके 80% कर्मचारीवृन्द ने हिन्दी का कार्यसाधक ज्ञान प्राप्त कर लिया है, अधिसूचित करती है :-

1. मध्य महानदी उप-मण्डल-एक, केन्द्रीय जल आयोग, रायपुर ।
2. मुख्य अभियंता, प्रबोधन (मध्य) संगठन, केन्द्रीय जल आयोग, नागपुर ।
3. प्रबोधन निदेशालय, केन्द्रीय जल आयोग, नागपुर ।
4. ऊपरी सीन रिहन्द उपमण्डल, रीवा, केन्द्रीय जल आयोग, मध्य प्रदेश ।
5. मध्य गंगा यमुना उपमण्डल, केन्द्रीय जल आयोग, इलाहाबाद ।
6. मध्य गंगा छोटी सरयू उपमण्डल, केन्द्रीय जल आयोग, वाराणसी ।
7. गोमती निचली शारदा उपमण्डल, केन्द्रीय जल आयोग, लखनऊ ।
8. मध्य गंगा निचली रामगंगा उपमण्डल, केन्द्रीय जल आयोग, बरेली ।
9. मध्य गंगा उपमण्डल, केन्द्रीय जल आयोग, कानपुर ।
10. प्रबोधन एवं मूल्यांकन निदेशालय, केन्द्रीय जल आयोग, शिमला ।
11. प्रबोधन निदेशालय, केन्द्रीय जल आयोग, गांधीनगर ।
12. जल विज्ञानीय प्रेक्षण परिमंडल, केन्द्रीय जल आयोग, गांधीनगर ।
13. प्रबोधन एवं मूल्यांकन निदेशालय, केन्द्रीय जल आयोग, रांची ।
14. निचली राप्ती घाघरा उपमण्डल, केन्द्रीय जल आयोग, गोरखपुर ।
15. अपर शारदा उपमण्डल, केन्द्रीय जल आयोग, उत्तराखंड ।
16. अपर राप्ती उपमण्डल, गोंडा, केन्द्रीय जल आयोग, उत्तर प्रदेश ।
17. ऊपरी गोदावरी मंडल, केन्द्रीय जल आयोग, हैदराबाद ।
18. प्रबोधन एवं मूल्यांकन निदेशालय, केन्द्रीय जल आयोग, लखनऊ ।

[सं० 1/1/2005-हिन्दी]

राजकुमारी देव, निदेशक (रा. भा.)

MINISTRY OF WATER RESOURCES

New Delhi, the 27th December, 2007

S. O. 103.— In pursuance of sub-Rule (4) of Rule (10) of the Official Language (use for official purpose of the union) The Central Government hereby notifies the following offices of Central Water Commission, the 80% staff whereof have aquired working knowledge of Hindi.

1. Middle Mahanadi Sub-Division No.1, Central Water Commission, Raipur (Chattisgarh).
2. Chief Engineer, Monitoring (Middle) Org., Central Water Commission, Nagpur (Maharashtra).
3. Monitoring Dte., Central Water Commission, Nagpur (Maharashtra).
4. Upper Sone Rihand Sub-Division, Central Water Commission, Rewa, Madhya Pradesh.
5. Middle Ganga-Yamuna Sub-Division, Central Water Commission, Allahabad, Uttar Pradesh.
6. Middle Ganga and Chhoti Saryu Sub-Division, Central Water Commission, Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh.
7. Gomti and Lower Sharda Sub-Division, Central Water Commission, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh.
8. Middle Ganga and Lower Ram ganga Sub-Division, Central Water Commission, Bareilly, Uttar Pradesh.
9. Middle Ganga Sub-Division, Central Water Commission, Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh.
10. Monitoring and appraisal Directorate, Central Water Commission, Shimla, Himachal Pradesh.
11. Monitoring and appraisal Directorate, Central Water Commission, Gandhi Nagar, Gujarat.
12. Hydrological Observation Sub-Division, Central Water Commission, Gandhi Nagar Gujarat.
13. Monitoring and appraisal Directorate, Central Water Commission, Ranchi, Jharkhand.
14. Lower Rapati Gagra Sub-Division, Central Water Commission, Gorakhpur, Uttar Pradesh.
15. Upper Sharda Sub-Division, Central Water Commission, Haldwani, Uttarkhand.
16. Upper Rapati Sub-Division, Central Water Commission, Gonda, Uttar Pradesh.
17. Upper Godavari Division, Central Water Commission, Hyderabad, Andhra Pradesh.
18. Monitoring and appraisal Directorate, Central Water Commission, Lucknow.

[No. 1/1/2005-Hindi]

RAJKUMARI DAVE, Director (OL)

पेट्रोलियम और प्राकृतिक गैस मंत्रालय

नई दिल्ली, 15 जनवरी, 2008

का.आ. 104.—भारत सरकार को लोकहित में यह आवश्यक प्रतीत होता है कि उत्तर प्रदेश राज्य में दादरी-बवाना-नांगल पाइपलाइन परियोजना के माध्यम से प्राकृतिक गैस के परिवहन के लिए गेल (इण्डिया) लिमिटेड द्वारा, एक पाइपलाइन बिछाई जानी चाहिए;

और भारत सरकार को उक्त पाइपलाइन बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए यह आवश्यक प्रतीत होता है कि उस भूमि में, जिसमें उक्त पाइपलाइन बिछाए जाने का प्रस्ताव है और जो इस अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में वर्णित है, उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन किया जाए;

अतः अब, भारत सरकार, पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पाइपलाइन (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, उस भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन करने के अपने आशय की घोषणा करती है;

कोई व्यक्ति, जो उक्त अनुसूची में वर्णित भूमि में हितबद्ध है, इस तारीख से जिसको उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) के अधीन भारत के राजपत्र में यथा प्रकाशित इस अधिसूचना की प्रतियाँ साधारण जनता को उपलब्ध कर दी जाती हैं, इक्कीस दिन के भीतर, भूमि के नीचे पाइपलाइन बिछाए जाने के संबंध में, श्री रामावतार पाल, सक्षम प्राधिकारी, गेल (इण्डिया) लिमिटेड, जी. टी. आई. पी. ए. आर. सी. बिल्डिंग, सेक्टर-16ए, नोएडा, जिला-गौतम बुद्ध नगर-201301 (उत्तर प्रदेश) को लिखित रूप में आक्षेप भेज सकेगा।

अनुसूची

| जिला | तहसील | गांव | सर्वे नंबर | क्षेत्र (हेक्टर) |
|---------------|-------|---------|------------|------------------|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| गौतम बुद्धनगर | दादरी | सलारपुर | 439 | 0.0949 |
| | | कलान | 440 | 0.2024 |
| | | नाला | | 0.0079 |
| | | | 441 | 0.1777 |
| | | | 448 | 0.0154 |
| | | | 449 | 0.1374 |
| | | | 451 | 0.0008 |
| | | | 450 | 0.1510 |
| | | | 453 | 0.0609 |
| | | | 454 | 0.0041 |
| | | | 455 | 0.0054 |
| | | | 459 | 0.1531 |
| | | | 458 | 0.1157 |
| | | | 456 | 0.0114 |
| | | | 457 | 0.1321 |
| | | | 529 | 0.0154 |

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|------|----------|------------------------|-----|--------|
| गौतम | दादरी | सलारपुर | 530 | 0.0155 |
| | बुद्धनगर | कलान | 460 | 0.0189 |
| | | | 531 | 0.1557 |
| | | | 532 | 0.0999 |
| | | | 533 | 0.0069 |
| | | | 534 | 0.0086 |
| | | | 535 | 0.0540 |
| | | | 536 | 0.2495 |
| | | | 537 | 0.0911 |
| | | | 538 | 0.1578 |
| | | | 539 | 0.0066 |
| | | | 544 | 0.0086 |
| | | | 545 | 0.0166 |
| | | | 551 | 0.0081 |
| | | | 557 | 0.1340 |
| | | | 556 | 0.0249 |
| | | | 558 | 0.0078 |
| | | | 559 | 0.0083 |
| | | | 560 | 0.2362 |
| | | | 553 | 0.0485 |
| | | | 561 | 0.1093 |
| | | | 562 | 0.1075 |
| | | | 563 | 0.0129 |
| | | | 564 | 0.0131 |
| | | | 567 | 0.0011 |
| | | | 568 | 0.2259 |
| | | | 570 | 0.0953 |
| | | | कुल | 3.2083 |
| | | पटाडी | 729 | 0.0339 |
| | | | 734 | 0.1786 |
| | | | 739 | 0.0015 |
| | | | 740 | 0.0036 |
| | | | 741 | 0.0030 |
| | | | कुल | 0.2207 |
| | | रनोली | 32 | 0.0083 |
| | | लतिफपुर | 35 | 0.0608 |
| | | | 37 | 0.2513 |
| | | | 38 | 0.0188 |
| | | मेटल रोड | | |
| | | | 39 | 0.1003 |
| | | | 40 | 0.0035 |
| | | | 26 | 0.0504 |
| | | (कथाना डीस्ट्रीब्यूटर) | | |
| | | मेटल रोड | | 0.0283 |
| | | | 46 | 0.1898 |
| | | गंगा नहर | | |
| | | | 231 | 0.0781 |

| I | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|----------|-------|---------------|-----------------|--------|----------|-------|-----------------|------------------|--------|
| गौतम | दादरी | रनोली लतिफपुर | मेटल रोड | 0.0414 | गौतम | दादरी | तामोलीपुर | 337 | 0.0607 |
| बुद्धनगर | | | 230 | 0.0358 | बुद्धनगर | | | 334 | 0.0220 |
| | | | 47 | 0.0029 | | | | 332 | 0.0094 |
| | | | 48 | 0.0264 | | | | 333 | 0.1135 |
| | | | 229 | 0.0414 | | | | 331 | 0.1642 |
| | | | 228 | 0.0294 | | | | 330 | 0.1552 |
| | | | 227 | 0.1191 | | | | 323 | 0.4334 |
| | | | 53 | 0.0042 | | | | 324 कच्चा रास्ता | 0.0049 |
| | | | कच्चा रास्ता | 0.0244 | | | | कुल | 4.2780 |
| | | | 49 | 0.0010 | | | प्यावाली ताजपुर | 912 कच्चा रास्ता | 0.0053 |
| | | | 50 | 0.0116 | | | | 914 | 0.2857 |
| | | | रेलवे (51) | 0.1229 | | | | 911 | 0.1524 |
| | | | पक्का रास्ता | 0.0297 | | | | 908 | 0.0082 |
| | | | 23 | 0.1201 | | | | 903 | 0.2317 |
| | | | 22 | 0.3116 | | | | 902 | 0.0082 |
| | | | 21 | 0.0086 | | | | 901 | 0.1565 |
| | | | 20 | 0.1849 | | | | 900 | 0.1399 |
| | | | 19 | 0.1469 | | | | 899 | 0.1108 |
| | | | 12 | 0.1313 | | | | 898 | 0.0080 |
| | | | 16 | 0.0123 | | | | 897 | 0.0080 |
| | | | 11 | 0.0075 | | | | 896 | 0.0844 |
| | | | कुल | 2.2032 | | | | 892 | 0.2192 |
| | | तामोलीपुर | 443 | 0.0171 | | | | 893 | 0.0407 |
| | | | 433 | 0.8023 | | | | 894 | 0.2236 |
| | | | 431 | 0.0390 | | | | 878 (मेटल रोड) | 0.0126 |
| | | | 430 | 0.0067 | | | | 612 | 0.1292 |
| | | | 375 | 0.0711 | | | | 613 | 0.0632 |
| | | | 374 | 0.1186 | | | | 611 | 0.1778 |
| | | | 373 | 0.0922 | | | | 614 | 0.0202 |
| | | | 372 | 0.0841 | | | | 610 | 0.1728 |
| | | | 370 | 0.2917 | | | | 616 | 0.1911 |
| | | | 369 | 0.2286 | | | | 617 | 0.0069 |
| | | | 368 | 0.0089 | | | | 618 | 0.0069 |
| | | | 367 | 0.2846 | | | | 619 | 0.2129 |
| | | | 366 | 0.0059 | | | | 630 | 0.1105 |
| | | | 358 | 0.0066 | | | | 633 | 0.1804 |
| | | | 356 | 0.0413 | | | | 634 | 0.0069 |
| | | | 355 | 0.2064 | | | | 635 | 0.0071 |
| | | | 354 | 0.0066 | | | | 636 | 0.2080 |
| | | | 350 | 0.1498 | | | | 641 | 0.2565 |
| | | | 349 | 0.0923 | | | | 646 | 0.0050 |
| | | | 348 | 0.1357 | | | | 647 | 0.0048 |
| | | | 34 कच्चा रास्ता | 0.0131 | | | | 654 | 0.0619 |
| | | | 344 | 0.0856 | | | | 655 | 0.2485 |
| | | | 343 | 0.3283 | | | | 656 | 0.0117 |
| | | | 342 | 0.0065 | | | | 671 | 0.0560 |
| | | | 341 | 0.0663 | | | | 670 | 0.0042 |
| | | | 340 | 0.0620 | | | | 675 | 0.0007 |
| | | | 339 | 0.0631 | | | | 676 | 0.0003 |

[illegible]

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---------------|-------|----------------|---------------------------|--------|
| गौतम बुद्धनगर | दादरी | सादीपुर छिदोली | 562 | 0.0028 |
| | | | सिकंदरबाद डिस्ट्रीब्यूटरी | |
| | | 472 | 0.0339 | |
| | | 465 | 0.0014 | |
| | | 468 | 0.0030 | |
| | | 442 | 0.0048 | |
| | | 471 | 0.0030 | |
| | | 44 | 0.1419 | |
| | | 43 | 0.2801 | |
| | | 40 | 0.0074 | |
| | | 42 | 0.1176 | |
| | | 41 | 0.1301 | |
| | | 32 | 0.0094 | |
| | | 33 | 0.0102 | |
| | | 25 | 0.3330 | |
| | | 26 | 0.0022 | |
| | | 20 | 0.0105 | |
| | | 19 | 0.0073 | |
| | | 3 | 0.0176 | |
| | | 2 | 0.3919 | |
| | | कुल | | 4.2435 |

[फा. सं. एल-14014/27/07-जी.पी.]

एस. बी. मंडल, अवर सचिव

MINISTRY OF PETROLEUM AND NATURAL GAS

New Delhi, the 15th January, 2008

S.O. 104.—Whereas it appears to the Government of India that it is necessary in public interest that for transportation of natural gas through Dadri-Bawana-Nangal pipeline project in the state of Uttar Pradesh, a pipeline should be laid by GAIL (India) Limited;

And, whereas it appears to the Government of India that for the purpose of laying the said pipeline, it is necessary to acquire the Right of User in the land under which the said pipeline is proposed to be laid and which is described in the Schedule annexed to this notification.

Now, therefore, in exercise of powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962, (50 of 1962) the Government of India hereby declares its intention to acquire the right of user therein.

Any person interested in the land described in the said Schedule may, within twenty one days from the date of which the copies of the notification issued under Sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the said Act, as published in the Gazette of India are made available to the general public, object in writing to the laying of the pipeline under the land to Shri Ramavtar Pal, Competent Authority, GAIL (India) Limited, GTI, PARC Building, Plot No. 24, Sector-16A, Noida, Distt. Gautam Budh Nagar-201301 (U.P.)

| SCHEDULE | | | | |
|----------|--------|----------|------------|---|
| District | Tehsil | Village | Survey No. | Area to be acquired for ROU (in Hectares) |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Gautam | Dadri | Salarpur | 439 | 0.0949 |
| Budh- | | Kalan | 440 | 0.2024 |
| nagar | | Nalla | 441 | 0.0079 |
| | | | 448 | 0.1777 |
| | | | 449 | 0.0154 |
| | | | 451 | 0.1374 |
| | | | 455 | 0.0008 |
| | | | 450 | 0.1510 |
| | | | 453 | 0.0609 |
| | | | 454 | 0.0041 |
| | | | 455 | 0.0054 |
| | | | 459 | 0.1531 |
| | | | 458 | 0.1157 |
| | | | 456 | 0.0114 |
| | | | 457 | 0.1321 |
| | | | 529 | 0.0154 |
| | | | 530 | 0.0155 |
| | | | 460 | 0.0189 |
| | | | 531 | 0.1557 |
| | | | 532 | 0.0999 |
| | | | 533 | 0.0069 |
| | | | 534 | 0.0086 |
| | | | 535 | 0.0540 |
| | | | 536 | 0.2495 |
| | | | 537 | 0.0911 |
| | | | 538 | 0.1578 |
| | | | 539 | 0.0066 |
| | | | 544 | 0.0086 |
| | | | 545 | 0.0166 |
| | | | 551 | 0.0081 |
| | | | 557 | 0.1340 |
| | | | 556 | 0.0249 |
| | | | 558 | 0.0078 |
| | | | 559 | 0.0083 |
| | | | 560 | 0.2362 |
| | | | 553 | 0.0485 |
| | | | 561 | 0.1093 |
| | | | 562 | 0.1095 |
| | | | 563 | 0.0129 |
| | | | 564 | 0.0131 |
| | | | 567 | 0.0011 |
| | | | 568 | 0.2259 |
| | | | 570 | 0.0953 |
| Total | | | | 3.2083 |

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|--------------------|-------|-----------------|--|---|--------------------|-------|----------------|---|--|
| Gautam Budha-nagar | Dadri | Patadi | 729 734 739 740 741 Total | 0.0339 0.1786 0.0015 0.0036 0.0030 0.2207 | Gautam Budha-nagar | Dadri | Tamolipur | 367 366 358 356 355 354 350 349 348 347 (Cart Track) | 0.2846 0.0059 0.0066 0.0413 0.2064 0.0066 0.1498 0.0923 0.1357 0.0131 0.0856 0.3283 0.0065 0.0663 0.0620 0.0631 0.0607 0.0220 0.0094 0.1135 0.1642 0.1552 0.4334 0.0049 TOTAL |
| | | Ranoli Latifpur | 32 35 37 38 (Metal Road) 39 40 26 (Kathana Distributory) (Metal Road) 46 GANGA NAHER 231 (Metal Road) 230 47 48 229 228 227 53 (Cart Track) 49 50 Railway(51) Asphal-Ted Road 23 22 21 20 19 12 16 11 Total | 0.0083 0.0608 0.2513 0.0188 0.1003 0.0035 0.0504 0.0283 0.1898 0.0781 0.0414 0.0358 0.0029 0.0264 0.0414 0.0294 0.1191 0.0042 0.0244 0.0010 0.0116 0.1229 0.0297 0.1201 0.3116 0.0086 0.1849 0.1469 0.1313 0.0123 0.0075 2.2032 | | | Pyawali Tajpur | 912 (C.T.) 914 911 908 903 902 901 900 899 898 897 896 892 893 894 878 (Metal Road) 612 613 611 614 610 616 617 618 619 630 | 0.0053 0.2857 0.1524 0.0082 0.2317 0.0082 0.1565 0.1399 0.1108 0.0080 0.0080 0.6344 0.2192 0.0407 0.2236 0.0126 0.1292 0.0632 0.1778 0.0202 0.1728 0.1911 0.0069 0.0069 0.2129 0.1105 |
| | Dadri | Tamolipur | 443 433 431 430 375 374 373 372 370 369 368 | 0.0171 0.8023 0.0390 0.0067 0.0711 0.1186 0.0922 0.0841 0.2917 0.2286 0.0089 | | | | | |

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|--------------|----------------|----------------|---------|---|--------------|------------------|--------------|--------|---|
| Gautam Dadri | Pyawali Tajpur | 633 | 0.1804 | | Gautam Dadri | Akalpur Jagir | 287 | 0.2033 | |
| Budh-nagar | | 634 | 0.0069 | | Budh-nagar | | 286 | 0.0139 | |
| | | 635 | 0.0071 | | | | 277 | 0.3690 | |
| | | 636 | 0.2080 | | | | 274 | 0.1753 | |
| | | 641 | 0.2565 | | | | 273 | 0.1755 | |
| | | 646 | 0.0050 | | | | 272 | 0.0108 | |
| | | 647 | 0.0048 | | | | 268 | 0.1404 | |
| | | 654 | 0.0619 | | | | 269 | 0.0030 | |
| | | 655 | 0.2485 | | | | 267 | 0.0131 | |
| | | 656 | 0.0117 | | | | | | |
| | | 671 | 0.0560 | | | | (Cart Track) | | |
| | | 670 | 0.0042 | | | | 84 | 0.6272 | |
| | | 675 | 0.0007 | | | | 75 | 0.2373 | |
| | | 676 | 0.0003 | | | | 76 | 0.1657 | |
| | | 669 | 0.0156 | | | | 77 | 0.1414 | |
| | | 668 | 0.0156 | | | | Total | 2.2759 | |
| | | 657 | 0.2022 | | | | | | |
| | | 659 | 0.1474 | | | Sadipur Chhidoli | 654 | 0.2114 | |
| | | 660 | 0.0060 | | | | 663 | 0.0053 | |
| | | 661 | O. 1992 | | | | 664 | 0.1218 | |
| | | 662 | O. 1539 | | | | 665 | 0.0880 | |
| | | 663 | O. 1846 | | | | 667 | 0.1212 | |
| | | 664 | 0.0300 | | | | 668 | 0.0073 | |
| | | 665 | 0.0232 | | | | 669 | 0.0062 | |
| | | 666 | 0.0105 | | | | 670 | 0.1604 | |
| | | Total | 4.8270 | | | | 672 | 0.1483 | |
| | Jaitwapur | 866 | 0.0089 | | | | 672/742 | 0.0078 | |
| | Navarpur | 803 | 0.2583 | | | | 673 | 0.0006 | |
| | | 802 | 0.1786 | | | | 681 | 0.0031 | |
| | | 801 | 0.2297 | | | | 682 | 0.0138 | |
| | | 799 | 0.0009 | | | | 683 | 0.0302 | |
| | | 798 | 0.0972 | | | | | | |
| | | 793 Metal Road | 0.0239 | | | | Fyawli Minor | 0.0179 | |
| | | 779 | 0.0471 | | | | 653 | 0.0135 | |
| | | 777 | 0.0058 | | | | 605 | 0.0760 | |
| | | 776 | 0.2459 | | | | 604 | 0.3350 | |
| | | 775 | 0.1169 | | | | 603 | 0.0247 | |
| | | 774 | 0.1954 | | | | 602 | 0.1127 | |
| | | 773 | 0.1374 | | | | 601 | 0.0121 | |
| | | 772 | 0.1380 | | | | 592 | 0.0019 | |
| | | 771 | 0.1239 | | | | 588 | 0.0156 | |
| | | 770 | 0.1835 | | | | 589 | 0.0568 | |
| | | 757 | 0.0982 | | | | 590 | 0.0128 | |
| | | 756 | 0.0812 | | | | 591 | 0.0030 | |
| | | 755 | 0.1617 | | | | 587 | 0.1169 | |
| | | 754 | 0.0090 | | | | 586 | 0.0630 | |
| | | 753 | 0.0090 | | | | 585 | 0.0575 | |
| | | 752 | 0.3791 | | | | 582 | 0.0079 | |
| | | Total | 2.7296 | | | | 581 | 0.2595 | |

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|-------------------|-------|---------------------------|-----|---------|
| Gautam Budh-nagar | Dadri | Sadipur Chhidoli | 580 | 0.1739 |
| | | | 572 | 0.0076 |
| | | | 570 | 0.0025 |
| | | | 571 | 0.0076 |
| | | | 569 | 0.3609 |
| | | | 568 | 0.0054 |
| | | | 567 | 0.0049 |
| | | | 442 | 0.0105 |
| | | | 563 | 0.0498 |
| | | 562 (Sikandara-bad dist.) | | 0.0028 |
| | | | 472 | 0.0339 |
| | | | 465 | 0.0014 |
| | | | 468 | 0.0030 |
| | | | 442 | 0.0048 |
| | | | 471 | 0.0030 |
| | | | 44 | 0.1419 |
| | | | 43 | 0.2801 |
| | | | 40 | 0.0074 |
| | | | 42 | 0.1176 |
| | | | 41 | 0.1301 |
| | Dadri | Sadipur Chhidoli | 32 | 0.0094 |
| | | | 33 | 0.0102 |
| | | | 25 | 0.3330 |
| | | | 26 | 0.0022 |
| | | | 20 | 0.0105 |
| | | | 19 | 0.0073 |
| | | | 3 | 0.0176 |
| | | | 2 | 0.3919 |
| | | Total | | 4.2435 |
| | | GRAND TOTAL | | 23.9863 |

[F.No.L-14014/27/07-GP]

S. B. MANDAL, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 15 जनवरी, 2008

क.आ. 105.—भारत सरकार को लोकहित में यह आवश्यक प्रतीत होता है कि उत्तर प्रदेश राज्य में दादरी-बवाना-नांगल पाइपलाइन परियोजना के माध्यम से प्राकृतिक गैस के परिवहन के लिए गेल (इण्डिया) लिमिटेड द्वारा, एक पाइपलाइन बिछाई जानी चाहिए;

और भारत सरकार को उक्त पाइपलाइन बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए यह आवश्यक प्रतीत होता है कि उस भूमि में, जिसमें उक्त पाइपलाइन बिछाए जाने का प्रस्ताव है और जो इस अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में वर्णित है, उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन किया जाए;

अतः अब, भारत सरकार, पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पाइपलाइन (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 3 की उप-धारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग

करते हुए, उस भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन करने के अपने आशय की घोषणा करती है;

कोई व्यक्ति, जो उक्त अनुसूची में वर्णित भूमि में हितबद्ध है, उस तारीख से जिसको उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 3 की उप-धारा (1) के अधीन भारत के राजपत्र में यथा प्रकाशित इस अधिसूचना की प्रतियाँ साधारण जनता को उपलब्ध कर दी जाती हैं, इक्कीस दिन के भीतर, भूमि के नीचे पाइपलाइन बिछाए जाने के संबंध में, श्री रामावतार पाल, सक्षम प्राधिकारी, गेल (इण्डिया) लिमिटेड, जी.टी. आई. पी.ए.आर.सी. बिल्डिंग, सेक्टर-16ए, नोएडा, जिला-गौतम बुद्ध नगर-201301 (उत्तर प्रदेश) को लिखित रूप में आक्षेप भेज सकेगा।

अनुसूची

| जिला | तहसील | गांव | सर्वे नंबर | क्षेत्र (हेक्टर) |
|-----------|-------|-------------|--------------|------------------|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| गाजियाबाद | हापुर | हसनपुर लोढा | 1351 | 0.0151 |
| | | | 1311 | 0.1278 |
| | | | 1310 | 0.3213 |
| | | | 1309 | 0.4950 |
| | | | 1308 | 0.0171 |
| | | | 1306 | 0.3209 |
| | | | 1305 | 0.2383 |
| | | | 1304 | 0.1728 |
| | | | 1302 | 0.0111 |
| | | | 1301 | 0.1392 |
| | | | 1298 | 0.1890 |
| | | | पक्का रास्ता | 0.0227 |
| | | | 1194 | 0.0310 |
| | | | 1195 | 0.1185 |
| | | | 1196 | 0.1888 |
| | | | 1200 | 0.1167 |
| | | | 1201 | 0.0283 |
| | | | 1202 | 0.1254 |
| | | | 1203 | 0.0509 |
| | | | 1207 | 0.2807 |
| | | | 1208 | 0.0119 |
| | | | 1209 | 0.3997 |
| | | | 1210 | 0.5728 |
| | | | कच्चा रास्ता | 0.0061 |
| | | | 1211 | 0.0597 |
| | | | 1212 | 0.0991 |
| | | | 1213 | 0.0674 |
| | | | 1214 | 0.1009 |
| | | | 1215 | 0.1138 |
| | | | 1216 | 0.0123 |

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|-----------|-----------|-------------|----------------|---------------|
| गाजियाबाद | हापुर | हसनपुर लोहा | 1217 | 0.1545 |
| | | | 1218 | 0.2108 |
| | | | 1220 | 0.2762 |
| | | | 1221 | 0.0096 |
| | | | कुल | 5.1053 |
| | गाजियाबाद | अरफिपुर | 47 | 0.2592 |
| | | घ. भ. | 46 | 0.0444 |
| | | | 45 | 0.3596 |
| | | | 44 | 0.1080 |
| | | | 55 | 0.0072 |
| | | | 43 | 0.0239 |
| | | | 42 | 0.0158 |
| | | | (सर्विस रोड) | |
| | | | 41 | 0.0571 |
| | | | 40 | 0.4835 |
| | | | 39 | 0.0286 |
| | | | 29 | 0.0572 |
| | | | 28 | 0.3511 |
| | | | 26 | 0.0147 |
| | | | 25 | 0.2258 |
| | | | 24 | 0.4120 |
| | | | 23 | 0.1810 |
| | | | 22 | 0.0629 |
| | | | कच्चा | 0.0259 |
| | | | रास्ता | |
| | | | 4 | 0.9264 |
| | | | 1 | 0.0082 |
| | | | (कच्चा रास्ता) | |
| | | | कुल | 3.6523 |
| | गाजियाबाद | दिनानाथ पुर | 403/ब | 0.0030 |
| | | पुथी | 403/अ | 0.4145 |
| | | | 402 | 0.0110 |
| | | | 401 | 0.0205 |
| | | | 388/अ | 0.1188 |
| | | | 387 | 0.4117 |
| | | | 386 | 0.0807 |
| | | | 383 | 0.0027 |
| | | | 382 | 0.0102 |
| | | | 378 | 0.4252 |
| | | | 379 | 0.2924 |
| | | | 374 | 0.0198 |
| | | | (ड्रेन) | |
| | | | 107 | 0.0285 |
| | | | (ओडीआर रास्ता) | |

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|-----------|-----------|------------|----------------|---------------|
| गाजियाबाद | गाजियाबाद | दिनानाथपुर | 87 | 0.1844 |
| | | पुथी | 86 | 0.3236 |
| | | | 84 | 0.0028 |
| | | | 85 | 0.0405 |
| | | | 81/ब | 0.2144 |
| | | | 81/अ | 0.1600 |
| | | | 80 | 0.3007 |
| | | | 79 | 0.0845 |
| | | | 77 | 0.0186 |
| | | | 16 | 0.0006 |
| | | | 15 | 0.5225 |
| | | | 14 | 0.0099 |
| | | | कुल | 3.7015 |
| | गाजियाबाद | रघुनाथपुर | 79 | 0.0515 |
| | | | 83-A | 0.1855 |
| | | | 32 | 0.0007 |
| | | | 25 | 0.1371 |
| | | | कुल | 0.3747 |
| | गाजियाबाद | पिपलहेडा | 307 | 0.1214 |
| | | | 306 | 0.3872 |
| | | | 305 | 0.1880 |
| | | | 308 | 0.0073 |
| | | | 299 | 0.0890 |
| | | | 298 | 0.4801 |
| | | | 297 | 0.0316 |
| | | | (कच्चा रास्ता) | |
| | | | 296 | 0.1301 |
| | | | 292 | 0.0179 |
| | | | 291 | 0.2019 |
| | | | 287 | 0.0653 |
| | | | 286/अ | 0.0250 |
| | | | 288 | 0.0090 |
| | | | 290 | 0.3426 |
| | | | 289 | 0.0516 |
| | | | 274 | 0.0348 |
| | | | 335 | 0.2969 |
| | | | 284 | 0.0244 |
| | | | (कच्चा रास्ता) | |
| | | | 271 | 0.1968 |
| | | | 270 | 0.2675 |
| | | | 269 | 0.0667 |
| | | | 266 | 0.0183 |
| | | | 265 | 0.0162 |
| | | | 262 | 0.1061 |
| | | | 263 | 0.0096 |

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|-----------|-----------|----------|------------|---------------|
| गाजियाबाद | गाजियाबाद | पिपलहेडा | 264 | 0.0094 |
| | | | 250/ब | 0.0003 |
| | | | 251/अ | 0.2109 |
| | | | 252-अ | 0.1478 |
| | | | 252/ब | 0.0063 |
| | | | 249 | 0.0084 |
| | | | 248 | 0.0106 |
| | | | 247 | 0.0291 |
| | | | 246-ब | 0.1356 |
| | | | 246-अ | 0.0241 |
| | | | 244 | 0.0159 |
| | | | 245 | 0.0094 |
| | | | 242-अ | 0.0572 |
| | | | 243 | 0.5069 |
| | | | 238 | 0.0096 |
| | | | 236 | 0.0135 |
| | | | 235 | 0.0180 |
| | | | 216 | 0.0490 |
| | | | 219 | 0.2056 |
| | | | 217 | 0.2539 |
| | | | 213 | 0.1645 |
| | | | 214 | 0.0335 |
| | | | 215 | 0.0085 |
| | | | पक्का | 0.0273 |
| | | | रास्ता | |
| | | | कुल | 5.1405 |
| गाजियाबाद | दासना | | 2719 | 0.0163 |
| | देहात | | 2720 | 0.0006 |
| | | | 2730 | 0.1676 |
| | | | 2729 | 0.0102 |
| | | | 2728 | 0.0030 |
| | | | 2731 | 0.2545 |
| | | | 2732 | 0.0024 |
| | | | 2723 | 0.0045 |
| | | | 2756 | 0.2619 |
| | | | 2757 | 0.0247 |
| | | | 2758 | 0.0078 |
| | | | 2629 | 0.0057 |
| | | | 2620 | 0.0306 |
| | | | 2630 | 0.0095 |
| | | | 2631 | 0.0120 |
| | | | 2667 | 0.3978 |
| | | | 2650 | 0.0092 |
| | | | 2649 | 0.0461 |

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|-----------|-----------|-------|-------------|--------|
| गाजियाबाद | गाजियाबाद | दासना | 2643 | 0.0557 |
| | | देहात | 2641 | 0.2369 |
| | | | 2651 | 0.0182 |
| | | | 2652 | 0.0193 |
| | | | 2640 | 0.1562 |
| | | | 2639 | 0.0073 |
| | | | 2654 | 0.1128 |
| | | | 2656 | 0.0122 |
| | | | 2575 | 0.0299 |
| | | | 2576 | 0.0657 |
| | | | 2577 | 0.4185 |
| | | | 2590 | 0.0073 |
| | | | 2589 | 0.1360 |
| | | | 2588 | 0.0876 |
| | | | 2587 | 0.0785 |
| | | | 2586 | 0.0900 |
| | | | 2582 | 0.4301 |
| | | | 2500 | 0.0556 |
| | | | 2331 | 0.0508 |
| | | | 2583 | 0.0067 |
| | | | 2584 | 0.0070 |
| | | | 2506 | 0.0221 |
| | | | 2505 | 0.0570 |
| | | | 2504 | 0.0360 |
| | | | 2503 | 0.1262 |
| | | | 2501 | 0.0277 |
| | | | 2502 | 0.0051 |
| | | | 2503 | 0.0244 |
| | | | 2329 | 0.0487 |
| | | | 2019/ | 0.0422 |
| | | | स्टेट हाइवे | |
| | | | 2020/ | 0.0664 |
| | | | स्टेट हाइवे | |
| | | | 2021/ | 0.0287 |
| | | | स्टेट हाइवे | |
| | | | 1996 | 0.0465 |
| | | | 1991 | 0.0230 |
| | | | 1992 | 0.0277 |
| | | | 1995 | 0.0826 |
| | | | 1994 | 0.0635 |
| | | | 1982 | 0.0385 |
| | | | 1999 | 0.0053 |
| | | | 2000 | 0.0050 |
| | | | 1992 | 0.0431 |
| | | | 2001 | 0.3064 |
| | | | 2003 | 0.2815 |

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|-----------|-----------|-------------|--------|--------|-----------|-----------|----------|----------------|--------|
| गाजियाबाद | गाजियाबाद | दासना देहात | 2004 | 0.0217 | गाजियाबाद | गाजियाबाद | मसुरी | 540 | 0.0578 |
| | | (जारी) | 2005 | 0.0256 | | | | कुल | 0.0578 |
| | | | 2006 | 0.0829 | | | कुशालिया | 1358 | 0.3019 |
| | | | 2007 | 0.0335 | | | | 1357 | 0.2367 |
| | | | 2008 | 0.0096 | | | | 1356 | 0.0374 |
| | | | 2009 | 0.0092 | | | | 1355 | 0.0083 |
| | | | 2011 | 0.3082 | | | | 1338 | 0.4652 |
| | | | 2013 | 0.1030 | | | | 1341 | 0.0151 |
| | | 1833/ | 0.0179 | | | | | 1342 | 0.0124 |
| | | रेलवे | | | | | | 1350 | 0.0046 |
| | | 1832/ | 0.0214 | | | | | 1349 | 0.1675 |
| | | रेलवे | | | | | | 1343 | 0.1911 |
| | | 1831/ | 0.0518 | | | | | 1336 | 0.0024 |
| | | रेलवे | | | | | | 1335 | 0.0253 |
| | | 1824 | 0.1160 | | | | | 1334 | 0.0170 |
| | | 1823 | 0.1368 | | | | | 1329 | 0.2156 |
| | | 1818 | 0.0292 | | | | | 1330 | 0.0143 |
| | | 1817 | 0.0517 | | | | | 1331 | 0.4100 |
| | | 1814 | 0.0070 | | | | | 1325 | 0.0197 |
| | | 1813 | 0.0105 | | | | | 1324 | 0.0064 |
| | | 1811 | 0.0657 | | | | | 1323/1 | 0.2865 |
| | | 1812 | 0.0595 | | | | | 1322 | 0.1125 |
| | | 1810 | 0.0269 | | | | | 1312 | 0.0095 |
| | | 1809 | 0.1543 | | | | | 1311/1 | 0.4123 |
| | | 1808 | 0.1584 | | | | | 1310 | 0.0130 |
| | | 1807 | 0.0849 | | | | | 1309 | 0.1867 |
| | | 1793 | 0.0225 | | | | | 1308 | 0.0175 |
| | | 1794 | 0.0110 | | | | | 1264 | 0.0120 |
| | | 1795 | 0.1548 | | | | | 1263 | 0.2657 |
| | | नाला | 0.0191 | | | | | 1157 | 0.0530 |
| | | 1792 | 0.0910 | | | | | 1156 | 0.0662 |
| | | 1791 | 0.0110 | | | | | 1154 | 0.0426 |
| | | 1784 | 0.0038 | | | | | (मेटल रोड) | |
| | | रास्ता | 0.0274 | | | | | 1153 | 0.1234 |
| | | 1783 | 0.0336 | | | | | 1159 | 0.1972 |
| | | 1782 | 0.1402 | | | | | 1152 | 0.0836 |
| | | 1780 | 0.2752 | | | | | 1151 | 0.0354 |
| | | 1777 | 0.0733 | | | | | 1160 | 0.0636 |
| | | 1776 | 0.0419 | | | | | 1150 | 0.1601 |
| | | 1775 | 0.0211 | | | | | 1147 | 0.0530 |
| | | 1774 | 0.0092 | | | | | 1148मि | 0.2292 |
| | | 1071 | 0.0024 | | | | | 1141 | 0.0338 |
| | | 1072 | 0.0011 | | | | | (कच्चा रास्ता) | |
| | | 1773 | 0.0003 | | | | | 1136 | 0.1188 |
| | | 1781 | 0.0301 | | | | | 1135 | 0.0953 |
| | | कुल | 7.3088 | | | | | | |

62 G1/08-5

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | |
|-----------|------------|----------|--------|--------|-----------|---------|------------|------------|--------|--------|
| गाजियाबाद | मोदीनगर | नबीपुर | 29 | 0.2095 | गाजियाबाद | मोदीनगर | सुल्तानपुर | 414अ | 0.0281 | |
| | | (जारी) | 23 | 0.0365 | | | (जारी) | 414ब | 0.1320 | |
| | | (रास्ता) | | | | | | 413अ | 0.2152 | |
| | | | 21 | 0.1012 | | | | 417 | 0.0226 | |
| | | | 28 | 0.0010 | | | | 412अ | 0.1272 | |
| | | | 22 | 0.0065 | | | | 418अ | 0.0863 | |
| | | | 27 | 0.0018 | | | | 418ब | 0.0092 | |
| | | | 4 | 0.6057 | | | | 201 | 0.0184 | |
| | | | 3 | 0.0203 | | | | (रास्ता) | | |
| | | | 1 | 0.0116 | | | | 202 | 0.0051 | |
| | | कुल | | 3.2649 | | | | (रास्ता) | | |
| | सुल्तानपुर | 370 | 0.3872 | | | | | 187 | 0.0047 | |
| | | 365अ | 0.0829 | | | | | 194 | 0.0474 | |
| | | 366 | 0.0072 | | | | | 200 | 0.1942 | |
| | | 367 | 0.0061 | | | | | 199 | 0.1577 | |
| | | 369 | 0.1883 | | | | | 186अ | 0.0300 | |
| | | 368 | 0.0001 | | | | | 198 | 0.2281 | |
| | | 371 | 0.0238 | | | | | 197अ | 0.6647 | |
| | | (रास्ता) | | | | | | 195अ | 0.2219 | |
| | | 372 | 0.0239 | | | | | 193 | 0.0089 | |
| | | (टीकरी | | | | | | 192अ | 0.1678 | |
| | | विशाखा) | | | | | | 191अ | 0.1549 | |
| | | 373 | 0.0136 | | | | | 191ब | 0.0008 | |
| | | (टीकरी | | | | | | 190अ | 0.2040 | |
| | | विशाखा) | | | | | | 190ब | 0.0010 | |
| | | 375 | 0.0002 | | | | | 196 | 0.0094 | |
| | | 376 | 0.0016 | | | | | कुल | 5.8091 | |
| | | 377 | 0.4292 | | | | | किसनचंदपुर | 44 | 0.3585 |
| | | 378 | 0.0084 | | | | | पट्टी | 46 | 0.3657 |
| | | 381ब | 0.1629 | | | | | | 15 | 0.0061 |
| | | 381अ | 0.0246 | | | | | | 26 | 0.0867 |
| | | 383 | 0.1296 | | | | | | 18 | 0.0064 |
| | | 382 | 0.1312 | | | | | | 16 | 1.3075 |
| | | 384 | 0.0092 | | | | | | 17 | 0.0161 |
| | | 385 | 0.0088 | | | | | कुल | 2.1469 | |
| | | 386ब | 0.1890 | | | | | मनौली | 392 | 0.0146 |
| | | 386अ | 0.4768 | | | | | | 393 | 0.0069 |
| | | 396 | 0.0104 | | | | | | 398 | 0.1511 |
| | | 397 | 0.3208 | | | | | | 397 | 0.0044 |
| | | 409 | 0.0156 | | | | | | 400 | 0.0174 |
| | | 410 | 0.0440 | | | | | | 401 | 0.0105 |
| | | 423अ | 0.0030 | | | | | सुल्तानपुर | | |
| | | 422 | 0.0006 | | | | | माइनोर | | |
| | | 416अ | 0.0107 | | | | | | | |
| | | 416ब | 0.1177 | | | | | | | |
| | | 415अ | 0.1085 | | | | | | | |
| | | 415ब | 0.1334 | | | | | | | |

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | |
|-----------|---------|------------------------|------------------------------|--------|-----------|---------|------------|-------------------|--------|--------|
| गाजियाबाद | मोदीनगर | मनौली (जारी) | 402 (सुलतानपुर माइनोर) | 0.0101 | गाजियाबाद | मोदीनगर | सुलतान नगर | 378 | 0.0110 | |
| | | | 403 | 0.0128 | | | छज्जपुर | 377 | 0.0697 | |
| | | | 404 | 0.1447 | | | (जारी) | 376 | 0.0016 | |
| | | | 382(636) | 0.0375 | | | | 375 | 0.0379 | |
| | | | 383 | 0.0111 | | | | 374 | 0.0002 | |
| | | | 381 | 0.2832 | | | | 360 | 0.0069 | |
| | | | 380 | 0.0123 | | | | 361 | 0.0750 | |
| | | | 378 | 0.0247 | | | | 362 | 0.1174 | |
| | | | 379 | 0.2228 | | | | 363 | 0.0542 | |
| | | | 386 | 0.0155 | | | | 364 | 0.1441 | |
| | | | कुल | 0.9795 | | | | 353 | 0.0513 | |
| | | सुलतान नगर | 616 | 0.0141 | | | | 366 | 0.1205 | |
| | | छज्जपुर | 618 | 0.1533 | | | | 341 | 0.0097 | |
| | | | 617 | 0.0215 | | | | (इइन) | 367 | 0.0048 |
| | | (आस्फाल्टेड रास्ता) | | | | | हुसैनपुर | कुल | 3.0413 | |
| | | | 613 | 0.0091 | | | | 303 | 0.0001 | |
| | | | 612 | 0.1012 | | | | 305 | 0.0799 | |
| | | | 611 | 0.1287 | | | | 306 | 0.0472 | |
| | | | 608 | 0.0531 | | | | 307 | 0.0353 | |
| | | | 607 | 0.1147 | | | | 308 | 0.0367 | |
| | | | 606 | 0.0139 | | | | 309 | 0.0086 | |
| | | | 595 | 0.0010 | | | | 310 | 0.0754 | |
| | | | 594 | 0.0514 | | | | 313 | 0.0543 | |
| | | | 593 | 0.0711 | | | | 311 | 0.0106 | |
| | | | 592(663) | 0.0126 | | | | 318 | 0.0076 | |
| | | | 592 | 0.1337 | | | | 319 | 0.1154 | |
| | | | 618 | 0.0350 | | | | ब्रिक | 0.0248 | |
| | | | 619 | 0.0191 | | | | रास्ता | | |
| | | | 268 | 0.2124 | | | | 320 | 0.0935 | |
| | | | 278 | 0.0202 | | | | 301 | 0.0106 | |
| | | | 285 | 0.0098 | | | | 302 | 0.0051 | |
| | | | 289 | 0.3748 | | | | 321 | 0.0964 | |
| | | | 290 | 0.0109 | | | | ब्रिक | 0.0148 | |
| | | | 291 | 0.0126 | | | | रास्ता | | |
| | | | 295 | 0.1144 | | | | 322 | 0.4486 | |
| | | | 296 | 0.1994 | | | | 323 | 0.0148 | |
| | | | 294 | 0.1457 | | | | 324 | 0.2854 | |
| | | | 299 | 0.0290 | | | | 327 | 0.0114 | |
| | | (कच्चा रास्ता) | | | | | | 328 | 0.0391 | |
| | | | 301 | 0.0003 | | | | 329 | 0.0536 | |
| | | | 561 | 0.0024 | | | | 331(723) | 0.0094 | |
| | | (कच्चा रास्ता) | | | | | | 331 | 0.0159 | |
| | | | 381 | 0.1177 | | | | 332 | 0.3169 | |
| | | | 382 | 0.0081 | | | | 334 | 0.0163 | |
| | | | 380 | 0.1460 | | | | (कच्चा रास्ता) | | |

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|-----------|---------|-------------|----------------|--------|-----------|---------|-------------|----------------|--------|
| गाजियाबाद | मोदीनगर | हुसैनपुर | 340 | 0.0195 | गाजियाबाद | मोदीनगर | रूहेल्लापुर | 492 | 0.0170 |
| | | | 341 | 0.1371 | | | | 491 | 0.0993 |
| | | | 343 | 0.0049 | | | | 490 | 0.0057 |
| | | | 342 | 0.0210 | | | | 489 | 0.0716 |
| | | | 345 | 0.1591 | | | | 488 | 0.0518 |
| | | | 339(707) | 0.0281 | | | | 487 | 0.0301 |
| | | | 339 | 0.2500 | | | | 486 | 0.0164 |
| | | | 352 | 0.0159 | | | | 485 | 0.0069 |
| | | | 354 | 0.4811 | | | | 484 | 0.0001 |
| | | | 360 | 0.2424 | | | | 480 | 0.0175 |
| | | | 364 | 0.0024 | | | | 477 | 0.0779 |
| | | | 365 | 0.1551 | | | | 478 | 0.0061 |
| | | | 366 | 0.1322 | | | | 479 | 0.1274 |
| | | | 363 | 0.0001 | | | | 476 | 0.0062 |
| | | | कुल | 3.5768 | | | | 462 | 0.0123 |
| | | रूहेल्लापुर | 699 | 0.0104 | | | | 1 | 0.0085 |
| | | | 539 | 0.0100 | | | | कुल | 2.0920 |
| | | | 681 | 0.2743 | | | बिहग | 1 | 0.0140 |
| | | | 682 | 0.0355 | | | | 562 | 0.0100 |
| | | | 680 | 0.0027 | | | | 563 | 0.1737 |
| | | | 679 | 0.0023 | | | | 561 | 0.0032 |
| | | | 678 | 0.0340 | | | | 560 | 0.0089 |
| | | | 677 | 0.0274 | | | | 562 | 0.0051 |
| | | | 676 | 0.0198 | | | | (कच्चा रास्ता) | |
| | | | 675 | 0.0115 | | | | 565 | 0.0128 |
| | | | 674 | 0.0039 | | | | 566 | 0.0123 |
| | | | 606 | 0.0204 | | | | 567 | 0.0150 |
| | | | 553 | 0.0421 | | | | 583 | 0.0093 |
| | | | 554 | 0.0202 | | | | (कच्चा रास्ता) | |
| | | | 555 | 0.0386 | | | | 584 | 0.0281 |
| | | | 557 | 0.0518 | | | | 582 | 0.0083 |
| | | | 558 | 0.1062 | | | | 568 | 0.4321 |
| | | | 559 | 0.1030 | | | | 575 | 0.2561 |
| | | | 580 | 0.0187 | | | | 578 | 0.2579 |
| | | | (कच्चा रास्ता) | | | | | 579 | 0.1586 |
| | | | 560 | 0.0062 | | | | 577 | 0.2226 |
| | | | 561 | 0.0058 | | | | 574 | 0.0111 |
| | | | 570 | 0.0007 | | | | 532 | 0.0123 |
| | | | 569 | 0.0804 | | | | 496 | 0.0133 |
| | | | 568 | 0.1447 | | | | 495 | 0.0085 |
| | | | 567 | 0.0044 | | | | 514 | 0.0079 |
| | | | 572 | 0.0060 | | | | 488 | 0.0436 |
| | | | 573 | 0.1062 | | | | 489 | 0.0637 |
| | | | 574 | 0.1945 | | | | 490 | 0.1860 |
| | | | 575 | 0.1547 | | | | 491 | 0.0711 |
| | | | 576 | 0.0004 | | | | 484 | 0.4367 |

[illegible]

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|-----------|---------|---------|--------|--------|
| गाजियाबाद | मोदीनगर | नेकपुर | 321 | 0.0931 |
| | | सबितनगर | 322 | 0.0056 |
| | | | 323 | 0.0032 |
| | | | 346 | 0.0369 |
| | | | 347 | 0.0339 |
| | | | 344 | 0.0062 |
| | | | 324 | 0.0036 |
| | | | 345 | 0.1144 |
| | | | 331 | 0.1628 |
| | | | 332 | 0.0286 |
| | | | 335 | 0.0112 |
| | | | 474 | 0.1347 |
| | | | 473 | 0.1617 |
| | | | 472 | 0.1697 |
| | | | 471 | 0.0009 |
| | | | 470 | 0.1275 |
| | | | 469 | 0.0001 |
| | | | 502 | 0.2479 |
| | | | 503 | 0.3062 |
| | | | 517 | 0.3627 |
| | | | 523 | 0.2201 |
| | | | 524 | 0.0821 |
| | | | 522 | 0.0732 |
| | | | 531 | 0.0234 |
| | | | 532 | 0.3786 |
| | | | 533 | 0.0048 |
| कुल | | | 9.7952 | |

[फाईल सं. एल-14014/27/07-जी.पी.]

एस. बी. मंडल, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 15th January, 2008

S.O. 105.—Whereas it appears to the Government of India that it is necessary in public interest that for transportation of natural gas through Dadri-Bawana-Nangal pipeline project in the state of Uttar Pradesh, a pipeline should be laid by GAIL (India) Limited;

And, whereas it appears to the Government of India that for the purpose of laying the said pipeline, it is necessary to acquire the Right of User in the land under which the said pipeline is proposed to be laid and which is described in the Schedule annexed to this notification.

Now, therefore, in exercise of powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962, (50 of 1962) the Government of India hereby declares its intention to acquire the right of user therein.

Any person interested in the land described in the said Schedule may, within twenty one days from the date of which the copies of the notification issued under Sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the said Act, as published in the Gazette of India are made available to the general public, object in writing to the laying of the pipeline under the land to Shri Ramavtar Pal, Competent Authority, GAIL (India) Limited, GTI, PARC Building, Plot No. 24, Sector-16A, Noida, Distt. Gautam Budh Nagar-201301 (U.P.)

SCHEDULE

| District | Tehsil | Village | Survey No. | Area to be acquired for ROU (in Hectares) |
|----------|--------|----------------|--------------|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Gaziabad | Hapur | Hasanpur Lodha | 1351 | 0.0151 |
| | | | 1311 | 0.1278 |
| | | | 1310 | 0.3213 |
| | | | 1309 | 0.4950 |
| | | | 1308 | 0.0171 |
| | | | 1306 | 0.3209 |
| | | | 1305 | 0.2383 |
| | | | 1304 | 0.1728 |
| | | | 1302 | 0.0111 |
| | | | 1301 | 0.1392 |
| | | | 1298 | 0.1890 |
| | | | Asphalt Road | 0.0227 |
| | | | 1194 | 0.0310 |
| | | | 1195 | 0.1185 |
| | | | 1196 | 0.1888 |
| | | | 1200 | 0.1167 |
| | | | 1201 | 0.0283 |
| | | | 1202 | 0.1254 |
| | | | 1203 | 0.0509 |
| | | | 1207 | 0.2807 |
| | | | 1208 | 0.0119 |
| | | Cart Track | 1209 | 0.3997 |
| | | | 1210 | 0.5728 |
| | | | | 0.0061 |
| | | | 1211 | 0.0597 |
| | | | 1212 | 0.0991 |
| | | | 1213 | 0.0674 |
| | | | 1214 | 0.1009 |
| | | | 1215 | 0.1138 |
| | | | 1216 | 0.0123 |
| | | | 1217 | 0.1545 |
| | | | 1218 | 0.2108 |

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|----------|----------|---------|--------------|---------------|----------|----------|-------|---------|--------|
| Gaziabad | Gaziabad | Pipleda | 252/B | 0.0063 | Gaziabad | Gaziabad | Dasna | 2654 | 0.1128 |
| | | | 249 | 0.0084 | | | Dehat | 2656 | 0.0122 |
| | | | 248 | 0.0106 | | | | 2575 | 0.0299 |
| | | | 247 | 0.0291 | | | | 2576 | 0.0657 |
| | | | 246-B | 0.1356 | | | | 2577 | 0.4185 |
| | | | 246-A | 0.0241 | | | | 2590 | 0.0073 |
| | | | 244 | 0.0159 | | | | 2589 | 0.1360 |
| | | | 245 | 0.0094 | | | | 2588 | 0.0876 |
| | | | 242-A | 0.0572 | | | | 2587 | 0.0785 |
| | | | 243 | 0.5069 | | | | 2586 | 0.0900 |
| | | | 238 | 0.0096 | | | | 2582 | 0.4301 |
| | | | 236 | 0.0135 | | | | 2500 | 0.0556 |
| | | | 235 | 0.0180 | | | | 2331 | 0.0508 |
| | | | 216 | 0.0490 | | | | 2583 | 0.0067 |
| | | | 219 | 0.2056 | | | | 2584 | 0.0070 |
| | | | 217 | 0.2539 | | | | 2506 | 0.0221 |
| | | | 213 | 0.1645 | | | | 2505 | 0.0570 |
| | | | 214 | 0.0335 | | | | 2504 | 0.0360 |
| | | | 215 | 0.0085 | | | | 2503 | 0.1262 |
| | | | Ashp- | 0.0273 | | | | 2501 | 0.0277 |
| | | | halt Road | | | | | 2502 | 0.0051 |
| | | | Total | 5.1405 | | | | 2503 | 0.0244 |
| | | Dasna | 2719 | 0.0163 | | | | 2329 | 0.0487 |
| | | Dehat | 2720 | 0.0006 | | | | 2019-SH | 0.0422 |
| | | | 2730 | 0.1676 | | | | 2020-SH | 0.0664 |
| | | | 2729 | 0.0102 | | | | 2021-SH | 0.0287 |
| | | | 2728 | 0.0030 | | | | 1996 | 0.0465 |
| | | | 2731 | 0.2545 | | | | 1991 | 0.0230 |
| | | | 2732 | 0.0024 | | | | 1992 | 0.0277 |
| | | | 2723 | 0.0045 | | | | 1995 | 0.0826 |
| | | | 2756 | 0.2619 | | | | 1994 | 0.0635 |
| | | | 2757 | 0.0247 | | | | 1982 | 0.0385 |
| | | | 2758 | 0.0078 | | | | 1999 | 0.0053 |
| | | | 2629 | 0.0057 | | | | 2000 | 0.0050 |
| | | | 2620 | 0.0306 | | | | 1992 | 0.0431 |
| | | | 2630 | 0.0095 | | | | 2001 | 0.3064 |
| | | | 2631 | 0.0120 | | | | 2003 | 0.2815 |
| | | | 2667 | 0.3978 | | | | 2004 | 0.0217 |
| | | | 2650 | 0.0092 | | | | 2005 | 0.0256 |
| | | | 2649 | 0.0461 | | | | 2006 | 0.0829 |
| | | | 2643 | 0.0557 | | | | 2007 | 0.0335 |
| | | | 2641 | 0.2369 | | | | 2008 | 0.0096 |
| | | | 2651 | 0.0182 | | | | 2009 | 0.0092 |
| | | | 2652 | 0.0193 | | | | 2011 | 0.3082 |
| | | | 2640 | 0.1562 | | | | 2013 | 0.1030 |
| | | | 2639 | 0.0073 | | | | 1833- | 0.0179 |
| | | | | | | | | RL Y | |

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|----------|-----------|-------|--------------|---------------|----------|----------|-----------|--------------|--------|
| Gaziabad | Gaziabad | Dasna | 1832- | 0.0214 | Gaziabad | Gaziabad | Kushaliya | 1343 | 0.1911 |
| | | Dehat | RL Y | | | | | 1336 | 0.0024 |
| | | | 1831- | 0.0518 | | | | 1335 | 0.0253 |
| | | | RL Y | | | | | 1334 | 0.0170 |
| | | | 1824 | 0.1160 | | | | 1329 | 0.2156 |
| | | | 1823 | 0.1368 | | | | 1330 | 0.0143 |
| | | | 1818 | 0.0292 | | | | 1331 | 0.4100 |
| | | | 1817 | 0.0517 | | | | 1325 | 0.0197 |
| | | | 1814 | 0.0070 | | | | 1324 | 0.0064 |
| | | | 1813 | 0.0105 | | | | 1323/1 | 0.2865 |
| | | | 1811 | 0.0657 | | | | 1322 | 0.1125 |
| | | | 1812 | 0.0595 | | | | 1312 | 0.0095 |
| | | | 1810 | 0.0269 | | | | 1311/1 | 0.4123 |
| | | | 1809 | 0.1543 | | | | 1310 | 0.0130 |
| | | | 1808 | 0.1584 | | | | 1309 | 0.1867 |
| | | | 1807 | 0.0849 | | | | 1308 | 0.0175 |
| | | | 1793 | 0.0225 | | | | 1264 | 0.0120 |
| | | | 1794 | 0.0110 | | | | 1263 | 0.2657 |
| | | | 1.95 | 0.1548 | | | | 1157 | 0.0530 |
| | | | NALA | 0.0191 | | | | 1156 | 0.0662 |
| | | | 1792 | 0.0910 | | | | 1154 | 0.0426 |
| | | | 1791 | 0.0110 | | | | (Metal Road) | |
| | | | 1784 | 0.0038 | | | | 1153 | 0.1234 |
| | | | ROAD | 0.0274 | | | | 1159 | 0.1972 |
| | | | 1783 | 0.0336 | | | | 1152 | 0.0836 |
| | | | 1782 | 0.1402 | | | | 1151 | 0.0354 |
| | | | 1780 | 0.2752 | | | | 1160 | 0.0636 |
| | | | 1777 | 0.0733 | | | | 1150 | 0.1601 |
| | | | 1776 | 0.0419 | | | | 1147 | 0.0530 |
| | | | 1775 | 0.0211 | | | | 1148Mi. | 0.2292 |
| | | | 1774 | 0.0092 | | | | 1141 | 0.0338 |
| | | | 1071 | 0.0024 | | | | (Cart Track) | |
| | | | 1072 | 0.0011 | | | | 1136 | 0.1188 |
| | | | 1773 | 0.0003 | | | | 1135 | 0.0953 |
| | | | 1781 | 0.0301 | | | | 1134 | 0.0310 |
| | | | Total | 7.3088 | | | | (Cart Track) | |
| | Masuri | | 540 | 0.0578 | | | | 1131 | 0.1886 |
| | | | Total | 0.0578 | | | | 1130 | 0.1382 |
| | | | | | | | | 1129 | 0.0292 |
| | Kushaliya | | 1358 | 0.3019 | | | | 707 | 0.0307 |
| | | | 1357 | 0.2367 | | | | (Drain) | |
| | | | 1356 | 0.0374 | | | | 706 | 0.0232 |
| | | | 1355 | 0.0083 | | | | 704 | 0.1947 |
| | | | 1338 | 0.4652 | | | | 705 | 0.0176 |
| | | | 1341 | 0.0151 | | | | 703 | 0.0174 |
| | | | 1342 | 0.0124 | | | | 702 | 0.1326 |
| | | | 1350 | 0.0046 | | | | 701 | 0.0002 |
| | | | 1349 | 0.1675 | | | | | |

[illegible]

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|-----------|-----------|---------|----------------|--------|-----------|-----------|---------|--------|--------|
| Ghaziabad | Modinagar | Muhiud- | 228 | 0.0198 | Ghaziabad | Modinagar | Asalat- | 324 | 0.0110 |
| | | dinpur | Cart | 0.0110 | | | nagar | 314- | 0.3932 |
| | | Hisauli | Track | | | | | Canal | |
| | | | 485 | 0.2038 | | | | 312 | 0.5904 |
| | | | 483 | 0.0643 | | | | 311 | 0.0349 |
| | | | 484 | 0.1582 | | | | 310 | 0.0499 |
| | | | 486 | 0.6414 | | | | 309 | 0.0302 |
| | | | 479 | 0.0253 | | | | 317-A | 0.0009 |
| | | | 476 | 0.0250 | | | | 315 | 0.0480 |
| | | | 467 | 0.1761 | | | | 259- | 0.0960 |
| | | | 466 | 0.0208 | | | | (Road) | |
| | | | 465 | 0.1279 | | | | 255 | 0.0009 |
| | | | 463 | 0.0935 | | | | 248 | 0.0012 |
| | | | 460 | 0.0309 | | | | 247 | 0.0002 |
| | | | 462 | 0.0526 | | | | 244 | 0.1042 |
| | | | 461 | 0.1627 | | | | 169- | 0.0252 |
| | | | 452 | 0.1599 | | | | Canal | |
| | | | 453 | 0.0004 | | | | 167- | 0.0427 |
| | | | 449 | 0.1281 | | | | Canal | |
| | | | 450 | 0.0125 | | | | 164- | 0.0167 |
| | | | 445 | 0.0002 | | | | Canal | |
| | | | 447 | 0.2068 | | | | 155- | 0.0291 |
| | | | 448 | 0.0972 | | | | Canal | |
| | | | 441 | 0.2087 | | | | 154- | 0.0334 |
| | | | 442 | 0.0017 | | | | Canal | |
| | | | 439 | 0.0117 | | | | 144 | 0.1159 |
| | | | 438 | 0.0091 | | | | 243 | 0.0485 |
| | | | 443 | 0.0147 | | | | 245 | 0.0480 |
| | | | (Asphalt Road) | | | | | 242 | 0.1115 |
| | | | 307 | 0.0145 | | | | 239 | 0.1586 |
| | | | 308 | 0.0161 | | | | 232 | 0.1633 |
| | | | 322/A | 0.1730 | | | | 233 | 0.0567 |
| | | | 322/B | 0.1242 | | | | 231 | 0.0804 |
| | | | 326/B | 0.2924 | | | | 201 | 0.0686 |
| | | | 326/A | 0.0389 | | | | 194 | 0.1047 |
| | | | 321 | 0.1317 | | | | 195 | 0.1726 |
| | | | 327 | 0.1423 | | | | 196 | 0.1673 |
| | | | 337 | 0.1935 | | | | 197 | 0.0308 |
| | | | 338 | 0.0012 | | | | 198 | 0.0001 |
| | | | 339 | 0.5148 | | | | 189- | 0.0273 |
| | | | 357 | 0.1077 | | | | Road | |
| | | | (Railway) | | | | | 183-B | 0.1116 |
| | | | 343 | 0.1384 | | | | 183-A | 0.3903 |
| | | | 342 | 0.0943 | | | | 182-A | 0.0137 |
| | | | 341 | 0.1669 | | | | 182-B | 0.1016 |
| | | | 344 | 0.0067 | | | | 180-FC | 0.0097 |
| | | | 340 | 0.0273 | | | | 179 | 0.1951 |
| | | | (Canal) | | | | | 178 | 0.0071 |
| | | | 46 | 0.0007 | | | | | |
| | | | Total | 5.2160 | | | | | |

[illegible]

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|-----------|-----------------|--------------|--------|---|-----------|----------------------|--------------|--------|---|
| Ghaziabad | Modinagar Nagar | 285 | 0.0098 | | Ghaziabad | Modinagar Husainpur | 321 | 0.0964 | |
| | Chhajjupur | 289 | 0.3748 | | | | Brick Road | 0.0148 | |
| | | 290 | 0.0109 | | | | 322 | 0.4486 | |
| | | 291 | 0.0126 | | | | 323 | 0.0148 | |
| | | 295 | 0.1144 | | | | 324 | 0.2854 | |
| | | 296 | 0.1994 | | | | 327 | 0.0114 | |
| | | 294 | 0.1457 | | | | 328 | 0.0391 | |
| | | 299 | 0.0290 | | | | 329 | 0.0536 | |
| | | (Cart Track) | | | | | 331(723) | 0.0094 | |
| | | 301 | 0.0003 | | | | 331 | 0.0159 | |
| | | 561 | 0.0024 | | | | 332 | 0.3169 | |
| | | (Cart Track) | | | | | 334 | 0.0163 | |
| | | 381 | 0.1177 | | | | (Cart Track) | | |
| | | 382 | 0.0081 | | | | 340 | 0.0195 | |
| | | 380 | 0.1460 | | | | 341 | 0.1371 | |
| | | 378 | 0.0110 | | | | 343 | 0.0049 | |
| | | 377 | 0.0697 | | | | 342 | 0.0210 | |
| | | 376 | 0.0016 | | | | 345 | 0.1591 | |
| | | 375 | 0.0379 | | | | 339(707) | 0.0281 | |
| | | 374 | 0.0002 | | | | 339 | 0.2500 | |
| | | 360 | 0.0069 | | | | 352 | 0.0159 | |
| | | 361 | 0.0750 | | | | 354 | 0.4811 | |
| | | 362 | 0.1174 | | | | 360 | 0.2424 | |
| | | 363 | 0.0542 | | | | 364 | 0.0024 | |
| | | 364 | 0.1441 | | | | 365 | 0.1551 | |
| | | 353 | 0.0513 | | | | 366 | 0.1322 | |
| | | 366 | 0.1205 | | | | 363 | 0.0001 | |
| | | 341 | 0.0097 | | | | Total | 3.5768 | |
| | | (Drain) | | | | | | | |
| | | 367 | 0.0048 | | | | | | |
| | | Total | 3.0413 | | | | | | |
| | Husainpur | 303 | 0.0001 | | | Modinagar Ruhellapur | 699 | 0.0104 | |
| | | 305 | 0.0799 | | | | 539 | 0.0100 | |
| | | 306 | 0.0472 | | | | 681 | 0.2743 | |
| | | 307 | 0.0353 | | | | 682 | 0.0355 | |
| | | 308 | 0.0367 | | | | 680 | 0.0027 | |
| | | 309 | 0.0086 | | | | 679 | 0.0023 | |
| | | 310 | 0.0754 | | | | 678 | 0.0340 | |
| | | 313 | 0.0543 | | | | 677 | 0.0274 | |
| | | 311 | 0.0106 | | | | 676 | 0.0198 | |
| | | 318 | 0.0076 | | | | 675 | 0.0115 | |
| | | 319 | 0.1154 | | | | 674 | 0.0039 | |
| | | Brick Road | 0.0248 | | | | 606 | 0.0204 | |
| | | 320 | 0.0935 | | | | 553 | 0.0421 | |
| | | 301 | 0.0106 | | | | 554 | 0.0202 | |
| | | 302 | 0.0051 | | | | 555 | 0.0386 | |
| | | | | | | | 557 | 0.0518 | |
| | | | | | | | 558 | 0.1062 | |

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|----------|-----------|------------|--------------|--------|
| Gaziabad | Modinagar | Ruhellapur | 559. | 0.1030 |
| | | | 580 | 0.0187 |
| | | | (Cart Track) | |
| | | | 560 | 0.0062 |
| | | | 561 | 0.0058 |
| | | | 570 | 0.0007 |
| | | | 569 | 0.0804 |
| | | | 568 | 0.1447 |
| | | | 567 | 0.0044 |
| | | | 572 | 0.0060 |
| | | | 573 | 0.1062 |
| | | | 574 | 0.1945 |
| | | | 575 | 0.1547 |
| | | | 576 | 0.0004 |
| | | | 492 | 0.0170 |
| | | | 491 | 0.0993 |
| | | | 490 | 0.0057 |
| | | | 489 | 0.0716 |
| | | | 488 | 0.0518 |
| | | | 487 | 0.0301 |
| | | | 486 | 0.0164 |
| | | | 485 | 0.0069 |
| | | | 484 | 0.0001 |
| | | | 480 | 0.0175 |
| | | | 477 | 0.0779 |
| | | | 478 | 0.0061 |
| | | | 479 | 0.1274 |
| | | | 476 | 0.0062 |
| | | | 462 | 0.0123 |
| | | | 1 | 0.0085 |
| | | | Total | 2.0920 |
| | Modinagar | Bihang | 1 | 0.0140 |
| | | | 562 | 0.0100 |
| | | | 563 | 0.1737 |
| | | | 561 | 0.0032 |
| | | | 560 | 0.0089 |
| | | | 562 | 0.0051 |
| | | | (Cart Track) | |
| | | | 565 | 0.0128 |
| | | | 566 | 0.0123 |
| | | | 567 | 0.0150 |
| | | | 583 | 0.0093 |
| | | | (Cart Track) | |
| | | | 584 | 0.0281 |
| | | | 582 | 0.0083 |
| | | | 568 | 0.4321 |

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|----------|-----------|------------|--------------|--------|
| Gaziabad | Modinagar | Bihang | 575 | 0.2561 |
| | | | 578 | 0.2579 |
| | | | 579 | 0.1586 |
| | | | 577 | 0.2226 |
| | | | 574 | 0.0111 |
| | | | 532 | 0.0123 |
| | | | 496 | 0.0133 |
| | | | 495 | 0.0085 |
| | | | 514 | 0.0079 |
| | | | 488 | 0.0436 |
| | | | 489 | 0.0637 |
| | | | 490 | 0.1860 |
| | | | 491 | 0.0711 |
| | | | 484 | 0.4367 |
| | | | 471 | 0.0114 |
| | | | 472 | 0.0114 |
| | | | Total | 2.5049 |
| | Modinagar | Nekpur | 1950 | 0.0084 |
| | | Sabitnagar | (Cart Track) | |
| | | | 1949 | 0.0591 |
| | | | 1943 | 0.0238 |
| | | | 1903 | 0.3172 |
| | | | 1904 | 0.1418 |
| | | | 1905 | 0.1834 |
| | | | 1906/A | 0.0817 |
| | | | 1907 | 0.0295 |
| | | | 1908 | 0.0003 |
| | | | 1939 | 0.6880 |
| | | | 1938 | 0.1182 |
| | | | 1878 | 0.0120 |
| | | | (Cart Track) | |
| | | | 1877 | 0.0195 |
| | | | 1794/B | 0.2190 |
| | | | 1793 | 0.0018 |
| | | | 1792 | 0.0846 |
| | | | 1791 | 0.2394 |
| | | | 1720 | 0.0011 |
| | | | (Cart Track) | |
| | | | 1269 | 0.0029 |
| | | | 1270 | 0.0001 |
| | | | 1295 | 0.0935 |
| | | | 1296 | 0.0096 |
| | | | 1297 | 0.0011 |
| | | | 1294 | 0.1377 |
| | | | 1293 | 0.1360 |
| | | | 1292/A | 0.1597 |

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|----------|-----------|-----------|--------------|--------|----------|-----------|-----------|-------------|---------|
| Gaziabad | Modinagar | Nekpur | 1291 | 0.0674 | Gaziabad | Modinagar | Nekpur | 142/ A | 0.2642 |
| | | Sabitnaga | 1290/ A | 0.0003 | | | Sabitnaga | 142/B | 0.1650 |
| | | | 1290/B | 0.0023 | | | | 188 | 0.0157 |
| | | | 1299 | 0.0095 | | | | 189 | 0.0177 |
| | | | (Cart Track) | | | | | 182 | 0.4257 |
| | | | 1300 | 0.0206 | | | | 184 | 0.2244 |
| | | | 1314/B | 0.0145 | | | | 181 | 0.0255 |
| | | | 1315/A | 0.0544 | | | | 180 | 0.0481 |
| | | | 1316/A | 0.0782 | | | | 179 | 0.0390 |
| | | | 1316/B | 0.0181 | | | | 307 | 0.0384 |
| | | | 1317 | 0.1846 | | | | 308 | 0.0756 |
| | | | 1318/A | 0.1741 | | | | 319 | 0.1391 |
| | | | 1319 | 0.0072 | | | | 320 | 0.0898 |
| | | | (Cart Track) | | | | | 321 | 0.0931 |
| | | | 1327 | 0.0013 | | | | 322 | 0.0056 |
| | | | 1326 | 0.1274 | | | | 323 | 0.0032 |
| | | | 1320 | 0.1333 | | | | 346 | 0.0369 |
| | | | 1324 | 0.0204 | | | | 347 | 0.0339 |
| | | | 1321 | 0.0430 | | | | 344 | 0.0062 |
| | | | 1323/ | 0.0048 | | | | 324 | 0.0036 |
| | | | 2085 | | | | | 345 | 0.1144 |
| | | | 1322 | 0.0292 | | | | 331 | 0.1628 |
| | | | 1439 | 0.0183 | | | | 332 | 0.0286 |
| | | | (Cart Track) | | | | | 335 | 0.0112 |
| | | | 1731/B | 0.2714 | | | | 474 | 0.1347 |
| | | | 1730 | 0.1580 | | | | 473 | 0.1617 |
| | | | 1729 | 0.1989 | | | | 472 | 0.1697 |
| | | | 1728 | 0.0247 | | | | 471 | 0.0009 |
| | | | 1724 | 0.0187 | | | | 470 | 0.1275 |
| | | | 1721/A | 0.4429 | | | | 469 | 0.0001 |
| | | | 1722 | 0.0188 | | | | 502 | 0.2479 |
| | | | 1723 | 0.1038 | | | | 503 | 0.3062 |
| | | | 1688 | 0.0033 | | | | 517 | 0.3627 |
| | | | 1687 | 0.0056 | | | | 523 | 0.2201 |
| | | | 1686 | 0.0156 | | | | 524 | 0.0821 |
| | | | 1445 | 0.0221 | | | | 522 | 0.0732 |
| | | | (Metal Road) | | | | | 531 | 0.0234 |
| | | | 1443 | 0.0221 | | | | 532 | 0.3786 |
| | | | 144/B | 0.0214 | | | | 533 | 0.0048 |
| | | | 111 | 0.0466 | | | | Total | 9.7952 |
| | | | 144/A | 0.0928 | | | | GRAND TOTAL | 79.2797 |
| | | | 145/A | 0.0055 | | | | | |
| | | | 141 | 0.0151 | | | | | |
| | | | 143/A | 0.1680 | | | | | |

[F. No. L-14014/27/07-G.P.]

S. B. MANDAL, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 15 जनवरी, 2008

का.आ. 106.—भारत सरकार को लोकहित में यह आवश्यक प्रतीत होता है कि उत्तर प्रदेश राज्य में दादरी-बवांना-नांगल पाइपलाईन परियोजना के माध्यम से प्राकृतिक गैस के परिवहन के लिए गेल (इण्डिया) लिमिटेड द्वारा, एक पाइपलाईन बिछाई जानी चाहिए;

और भारत सरकार को उक्त पाइपलाईन बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए यह आवश्यक प्रतीत होता है कि उस भूमि में, जिसमें उक्त पाइपलाईन बिछाए जाने का प्रस्ताव है और जो इस अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में वर्णित है, उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन किया जाए;

अतः अब, भारत सरकार, पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पाइपलाईन (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, इस भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन करने के अपने आशय की घोषणा करती है;

कोई व्यक्ति जो उक्त अनुसूची में वर्णित भूमि में हितबद्ध है, उस तारीख से जिसको उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) के अधीन भारत के राजपत्र में यथा प्रकाशित इस अधिसूचना की प्रतियाँ साधारण जनता को उपलब्ध कर दी जाती हैं, इक्कीस दिनों के भीतर, भूमि के नीचे पाइपलाईन बिछाए जाने के संबंध में, श्री रामावतार पाल, सक्षम प्राधिकारी, गेल (इण्डिया) लिमिटेड, जी.टी. आई. पी.ए.आर.सी. बिल्डिंग, सेक्टर-16ए, नोएडा, जिला-गौतम बुद्ध नगर-201301 (उत्तर प्रदेश) को लिखित रूप में आक्षेप भेज सकेगा।

अनुसूची

| जिला | तहसील | गांव | सर्वे नंबर | क्षेत्र (हेक्टर) |
|-------|-------|------|------------|------------------|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| बागपत | खेकरा | गौना | 639 | 1.6016 |
| | | | 640 | 0.0150 |
| | | | 641 | 0.2662 |
| | | | 642 | 0.0060 |
| | | | 622/743 | 0.0283 |
| | | | 614 | 0.4761 |
| | | | 622 | 0.0694 |
| | | | 615 | 0.2585 |
| | | | 617 | 0.0120 |
| | | | 616 | 0.0758 |
| | | | 612 | 0.0057 |
| | | | 611 | 0.0075 |
| | | | 610 | 0.2106 |
| | | | 605 | 0.0296 |
| | | | 613 | 0.0040 |
| | | | 598 | 0.2032 |
| | | | 606 | 0.2044 |
| | | | 597 | 0.1684 |
| | | | 595 | 0.0056 |

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|-------|-------|--------|----------------------|--------|
| बागपत | खेकरा | गौना | 596 | 0.0152 |
| | | | 594 | 0.2244 |
| | | | 593 | 0.2108 |
| | | | 592 | 0.0290 |
| | | | 591 | 0.0014 |
| | | | 517 | 0.1411 |
| | | | 518 | 0.1806 |
| | | | 503 | 0.0100 |
| | | | 520 | 0.0725 |
| | | | 521 | 0.0489 |
| | | | 552 | 0.0574 |
| | | | 551 | 0.1808 |
| | | | 528 | 0.4770 |
| | | | 529 | 0.1128 |
| | | | 533 | 0.0150 |
| | | | 532 | 0.2021 |
| | | | 534 | 0.0064 |
| | | | 531 | 0.0456 |
| | | | 157 | 0.0678 |
| | | | 156 | 0.2764 |
| | | | 155 | 0.2890 |
| | | | 102 | 0.0100 |
| | | | 103 | 0.0356 |
| | | | 104 | 0.3455 |
| | | | 105 | 0.0153 |
| | | | 108 | 0.0275 |
| | | | 107 | 0.2688 |
| | | | 106 | 0.0174 |
| | | | 125 | 0.3446 |
| | | | 17(कच्चा रास्ता) | 0.0167 |
| | | | 19 | 0.2382 |
| | | | 18 | 0.2123 |
| | | | 16 | 0.1945 |
| | | | 14 | 0.3842 |
| | | | 13 | 0.1319 |
| | | | 15 | 0.0067 |
| | | | 10 | 0.2079 |
| | | | 9 | 0.2158 |
| | | | 8 | 0.0077 |
| | | | 7 | 0.0077 |
| | | | कुल | 9.0008 |
| | | लहचौडा | 205 | 0.6947 |
| | | | 206 | 0.2191 |
| | | | 203 | 0.0063 |
| | | | (फिल्ड चैनल) | |
| | | | 202 | 0.0178 |
| | | | (फिल्ड कच्चा रास्ता) | |

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|-------|-------|--------|----------------------|---------------|-------|-------|------|----------------------|---------------|
| बागपत | खेकरा | लहचौडा | 135 | 0.2298 | बागपत | खेकरा | खेला | 521 | 0.4669 |
| | | | 136 | 0.1570 | | | | 489 | 0.0117 |
| | | | 138 | 0.1595 | | | | (फिल्ड चैनल) | |
| | | | 137 | 0.1283 | | | | 483 | 0.1525 |
| | | | 139 | 0.0083 | | | | 484 | 0.1404 |
| | | | (कच्चा रास्ता) | | | | | 485 | 0.2173 |
| | | | 123 | 0.2862 | | | | 486 | 0.1515 |
| | | | 122 | 0.0072 | | | | 455 | 0.0059 |
| | | | (फिल्ड चैनल) | | | | | (फिल्ड कच्चा रास्ता) | |
| | | | 120 | 0.2969 | | | | 452 | 0.0070 |
| | | | 121 | 0.0418 | | | | (फिल्ड चैनल) | |
| | | | (कच्चा रास्ता) | | | | | 451 | 0.1668 |
| | | | 145 | 0.0165 | | | | 449 | 0.0308 |
| | | | (फिल्ड चैनल) | | | | | 450 | 0.3049 |
| | | | 116 | 0.0043 | | | | 318 | 0.0251 |
| | | | (फिल्ड चैनल) | | | | | (बिक्र रास्ता) | |
| | | | 146 | 0.0012 | | | | 319 | 0.0947 |
| | | | 151 | 0.0002 | | | | 398 | 0.0699 |
| | | | (फिल्ड चैनल) | | | | | 399 | 0.0710 |
| | | | 115 | 0.1444 | | | | 400 | 0.5971 |
| | | | 152 | 0.0194 | | | | 402 | 0.0209 |
| | | | 112 | 0.0142 | | | | (फिल्ड चैनल) | |
| | | | (बिक्र रास्ता) | | | | | 404 | 0.0253 |
| | | | 29 | 0.4368 | | | | 405 | 0.2023 |
| | | | 23 | 0.2020 | | | | 407 | 0.1941 |
| | | | 22 | 0.0131 | | | | 408 | 0.1070 |
| | | | (फिल्ड चैनल) | | | | | 396 | 0.0104 |
| | | | 21 | 0.0062 | | | | 391 | 0.1036 |
| | | | (कच्चा रास्ता) | | | | | 390 | 0.0834 |
| | | | 19 | 0.1097 | | | | 389 | 0.1138 |
| | | | 18 | 0.0166 | | | | 388 | 0.0944 |
| | | | 17 | 0.0970 | | | | 387 | 0.0975 |
| | | | 16 | 0.1014 | | | | 381 | 0.2502 |
| | | | 15 | 0.0766 | | | | 380 | 0.0063 |
| | | | 13 | 0.1168 | | | | (फिल्ड चैनल) | |
| | | | 12 | 0.0626 | | | | 379 | 0.0297 |
| | | | कुल | 3.6919 | | | | 411 | 0.0380 |
| | | खेला | 529 | 0.0144 | | | | (आस्फाल्टेड रास्ता) | |
| | | | 577 | 0.0113 | | | | 415 | 0.0846 |
| | | | 538 | 0.1879 | | | | 414 | 0.0076 |
| | | | 539 | 0.1158 | | | | (फिल्ड चैनल) | |
| | | | 537 | 0.2421 | | | | 413 | 0.3330 |
| | | | 526 | 0.0165 | | | | 412 | 0.0470 |
| | | | (बिक्र कच्चा रास्ता) | | | | | 205(रास्ता) | 0.0527 |
| | | | 525 | 0.0060 | | | | कुल | 5.0093 |
| | | | (फिल्ड चैनल) | | | | | | |

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|-------|-------|-------------|----------------------|--------|-------|-------|------------------|---------|--------|
| बागपत | खेकरा | नागला बहेरी | 206 अ | 0.0019 | बागपत | खेकरा | राबन उर्फ 386 मि | | 0.0097 |
| | | | 205 | 0.0134 | | | बरागांव | 384 | 0.3239 |
| | | | (आस्फाल्टेड रास्ता) | | | | | 365 | 0.0667 |
| | | | 3 | 0.3856 | | | | 364 | 0.0093 |
| | | | 2बा | 0.1340 | | | | 363 | 0.0489 |
| | | | 1 | 0.3310 | | | | 362 | 0.0049 |
| | | | 4 | 0.0566 | | | | 361 | 0.0795 |
| | | | 5 | 0.2692 | | | | 338 | 1.0061 |
| | | | कुल | 1.1916 | | | | 337 | 0.0132 |
| | | राबन उर्फ | 769 मि. | 0.3532 | | | | 334/1 | 0.2685 |
| | | बरागांव | 768/अ | 0.8246 | | | | 333 | 0.0356 |
| | | | 768/ब | 0.0546 | | | | 326 | 0.0232 |
| | | | 763 मि. | 0.0199 | | | | 314 | 0.2345 |
| | | | 770 मि. | 0.0053 | | | | 313 | 0.3604 |
| | | | 740 | 0.3883 | | | | 312 ब | 0.0344 |
| | | | 762 | 0.0227 | | | | कुल | 9.5763 |
| | | | 761 | 0.0040 | | | खेकरा रूरल | 1729/बा | 0.1841 |
| | | | 756 | 0.0038 | | | | 1729/अ | 0.0615 |
| | | | 751 | 0.0263 | | | | 1728 | 0.3504 |
| | | | 750 | 0.6249 | | | | 1732 | 0.0019 |
| | | | 748 मि. | 0.0095 | | | | 1734 | 0.0011 |
| | | | 746 | 0.0156 | | | | 1743 | 0.0519 |
| | | | | 0.0156 | | | | 1725 | 0.0083 |
| | | | (फिल्ड कच्चा रास्ता) | | | | | 1724 | 0.0084 |
| | | | 738 | 0.3840 | | | | 1723 | 0.1568 |
| | | | 739 | 0.0078 | | | | 1721/अ | 0.1047 |
| | | | 728/ब | 0.4320 | | | | 1721/ब | 0.3812 |
| | | | 728/अ | 0.4920 | | | | 1745 | 0.0106 |
| | | | 727 | 0.3960 | | | | 1846 | 0.0059 |
| | | | 725 | 0.0067 | | | | 1746 | 0.0076 |
| | | | (फिल्ड कच्चा रास्ता) | | | | | 1696/अ | 0.2027 |
| | | | 724 | 0.4406 | | | | 1695 | 0.0118 |
| | | | 723 | 0.0085 | | | | 1693 | 0.2513 |
| | | | 720 | 0.2292 | | | | 1692/अ | 0.1487 |
| | | | 721 मि | 0.1712 | | | | 1692/ब | 0.0675 |
| | | | 717 | 0.0193 | | | | 1687 | 0.2433 |
| | | | 507 | 0.4608 | | | | 1686 | 0.2002 |
| | | | 506(कच्चा रास्ता) | 0.0136 | | | | 1685 | 0.0122 |
| | | | 503 | 0.3358 | | | | 1684 | 0.1924 |
| | | | 170 | 0.0228 | | | | 1683 | 0.0907 |
| | | | 410/अ | 0.1100 | | | | 1681/अ | 0.1786 |
| | | | 410/ब | 0.7650 | | | | 1680 | 0.2801 |
| | | | 402 | 0.0094 | | | | 1679 | 0.0181 |
| | | | 387 | 0.3845 | | | | 1661 | 0.1918 |

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|-------|-------|------------|-------------------|--------|-------|-------|------------|-------------------|--------|
| बागपत | खेकरा | खेकरा रूरल | 1662 | 0.0060 | बागपत | खेकरा | खेकरा रूरल | 322/ | 0.0126 |
| | | | 1672 | 0.0061 | | | | आस्फाल्टेड रास्ता | |
| | | | 1669 | 0.0165 | | | | 317 | 0.1824 |
| | | | 1671 | 0.0099 | | | | 318 | 0.0215 |
| | | | 1668 | 0.2605 | | | | 319/रेलवे | 0.0121 |
| | | | 1666 | 0.2049 | | | | 359/रेलवे | 0.0445 |
| | | | 1663 | 0.3331 | | | | 265/रेलवे | 0.0052 |
| | | | 1545 | 0.0339 | | | | 365/रेलवे | 0.0520 |
| | | | 1573/अ | 0.1998 | | | | 260 | 0.2248 |
| | | | 1572/अ | 0.1960 | | | | 258 | 0.4454 |
| | | | 1572/ब | 0.1560 | | | | 261 | 0.0265 |
| | | | 1570 | 0.0101 | | | | 253 | 0.0181 |
| | | | 1569 | 0.0176 | | | | 214 | 0.0071 |
| | | | 1548/अ | 0.3687 | | | | 215 | 0.1958 |
| | | | 1548/ब | 0.0259 | | | | 217 | 0.2584 |
| | | | 1549/अ | 0.3768 | | | | 212/अ | 0.3240 |
| | | | 1549/ब | 0.0100 | | | | 223 | 0.0067 |
| | | | 1550 | 0.1120 | | | | 226/अ | 0.1755 |
| | | | 1551 | 0.0391 | | | | 227/ब | 0.0419 |
| | | | 1552 | 0.1585 | | | | 227/अ | 0.0817 |
| | | | 1553 | 0.1240 | | | | 195/ब | 0.1941 |
| | | | 1528/केनाल | 0.0385 | | | | 195/अ | 0.0018 |
| | | | 1487 | 0.1249 | | | | 194/अ | 0.0621 |
| | | | 1588 | 0.0471 | | | | 192/अ | 0.1669 |
| | | | 1380 | 0.0608 | | | | 192/ब | 0.1075 |
| | | | 1379 | 0.0603 | | | | 193/अ | 0.0422 |
| | | | 1381/डेइन | 0.1054 | | | | 87/अ | 0.4126 |
| | | | 1387 | 0.0164 | | | | 513 | 0.0417 |
| | | | 1382/अ | 0.3544 | | | | 511 | 0.0098 |
| | | | 1382/ब | 0.4018 | | | | 512/अ | 0.1189 |
| | | | 1383 | 0.2750 | | | | 512/ब | 0.0292 |
| | | | 1398 | 0.0180 | | | | 514 | 0.0760 |
| | | | 1399 | 0.0120 | | | | 515 | 0.0069 |
| | | | 1406 | 0.2234 | | | | 519/ब | 0.0441 |
| | | | 1405 | 0.2361 | | | | 85/ब | 0.1793 |
| | | | 1404 | 0.0045 | | | | 526/अ | 0.1870 |
| | | | 1403 | 0.0045 | | | | 524 | 0.0077 |
| | | | 1410 | 0.0107 | | | | 525/अ | 0.0346 |
| | | | 355 | 0.1535 | | | | 525/ब | 0.4290 |
| | | | 346 | 0.0130 | | | | 530 | 0.0093 |
| | | | 345 | 0.4376 | | | | 574/अ | 0.0259 |
| | | | 354 | 0.0040 | | | | 574/ब | 0.0572 |
| | | | 353 | 0.0347 | | | | 575/ब | 0.0317 |
| | | | 347 | 0.1168 | | | | 575/अ | 0.5721 |
| | | | 323/ | 0.0136 | | | | | |
| | | | आस्फाल्टेड रास्ता | | | | | | |

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---|-------|-----------------|--------------------|---------------|---|-------|-----------------|--|---------------|
| | खेकरा | काठा | 869 | 0.0104 | | खेकरा | मवीकलां बाग्यार | 1600 | 0.0986 |
| | | | 868 | 0.1012 | | | | 1595/अ | 0.2313 |
| | | | 867 | 0.0113 | | | | 1595/ब | 0.0662 |
| | | | 866 | 0.0518 | | | | 1539 | 0.0336 |
| | | | 865 | 0.0824 | | | | 1538 | 0.0405 |
| | | | 864 | 0.0222 | | | | 1537 | 0.0213 |
| | | | 863 | 0.0443 | | | | 1531 | 0.0664 |
| | | | 862 | 0.0508 | | | | 1536 | 0.0062 |
| | | | 861 | 0.1161 | | | | 1532 | 0.0965 |
| | | | 846 | 0.0283 | | | | 1530 | 0.0106 |
| | | | 844 | 0.1756 | | | | 1529 | 0.0498 |
| | | | 843 | 0.0730 | | | | 1533 | 0.0418 |
| | | | 84/कच्चा रास्ता | 0.0319 | | | | 1534 | 0.0264 |
| | | | 800 | 0.0073 | | | | 1527 | 0.0054 |
| | | | 799 | 0.0511 | | | | 1528 | 0.1385 |
| | | | 798 | 0.0940 | | | | 1506 | 0.2340 |
| | | | 797 | 0.0287 | | | | 1470 | 0.0237 |
| | | | कुल | 6.9889 | | | | 1469 | 0.0133 |
| | | मवीकलां बाग्यार | 1692 | 0.0538 | | | | 1468 | 0.0081 |
| | | | 1691 | 0.3417 | | | | 1471 | 0.1466 |
| | | | 1687/ब | 0.1293 | | | | 1473 | 0.0010 |
| | | | 1687/अ | 0.1212 | | | | 1472 | 0.0061 |
| | | | 1686 | 0.0120 | | | | 1441 | 0.0355 |
| | | | 1685 | 0.0120 | | | | 1440 | 0.2150 |
| | | | 1644/ब | 0.2359 | | | | रास्ता | 0.0484 |
| | | | 1644/अ | 0.4318 | | | | कुल | 5.2975 |
| | | | 1643/अ | 0.4257 | | | | [फा. सं एल-14014/27/07-जी.पी.] | |
| | | | 1642/अ | 0.1845 | | | | एस. बी. मंडल, अवर सचिव | |
| | | | 1642/ब | 0.0225 | | | | New Delhi, the 15th January, 2008 | |
| | | | 1637 | 0.1242 | | | | S.O. 106.—Whereas it appears to the Government | |
| | | | 1631 | 0.0056 | | | | of India that it is necessary in public interest that for trans- | |
| | | | 1630 | 0.0055 | | | | portation of natural gas through Dadri-Bawana-Nangal | |
| | | | 1629/ब | 0.0558 | | | | pipeline project in the state of Uttar Pradesh, a pipeline | |
| | | | 1629/अ | 0.1110 | | | | should be laid by GAIL (India) Limited; | |
| | | | 1626/अ | 0.1864 | | | | And, whereas it appears to the Government of | |
| | | | 1625/अ | 0.4270 | | | | India that for the purpose of laying the said pipeline, it is | |
| | | | 1625/ब | 0.0079 | | | | necessary to acquire the Right of User in the land under | |
| | | | 1624 | 0.1361 | | | | which the said pipeline is proposed to be laid and which | |
| | | | 1623 | 0.0380 | | | | is described in the Schedule annexed to this notification. | |
| | | | 1622 | 0.0362 | | | | Now, therefore, in exercise of powers conferred by | |
| | | | स्टेट हाईवे/रास्ता | 0.0989 | | | | sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals | |
| | | | 1602 | 0.0771 | | | | Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962, | |
| | | | 1601 | 0.1683 | | | | (50 of 1962) the Government of India hereby declares its | |
| | | | 1594 | 0.1839 | | | | intention to acquire the right of user therein. | |

Any person interested in the land described in the said Schedule may, within twenty one days from the date of which the copies of the notification issued under Sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the said Act, as published in the Gazette of India are made available to the general public, object in writing to the laying of the pipeline under the land to Shri Ramaytar Pal, Competent Authority, GAIL (India) Limited, GTI, PARC Building, Plot No. 24, Sector-16A, Noida, Distt. Gautam Budh Nagar-201301 (U.P.)

SCHEDULE

| District | Tehsil | Village | Survey No. | Area to be acquired for ROU (in Hectares) |
|----------|--------|---------|------------|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Bagpat | Khekra | Gauna | 639 | 1.6016 |
| | | | 640 | 0.0150 |
| | | | 641 | 0.2662 |
| | | | 642 | 0.0060 |
| | | | 622/743 | 0.0283 |
| | | | 614 | 0.4761 |
| | | | 622 | 0.0694 |
| | | | 615 | 0.2585 |
| | | | 617 | 0.0120 |
| | | | 616 | 0.0758 |
| | | | 612 | 0.0057 |
| | | | 611 | 0.0075 |
| | | | 610 | 0.2106 |
| | | | 605 | 0.0296 |
| | | | 613 | 0.0040 |
| | | | 598 | 0.2032 |
| | | | 606 | 0.2044 |
| | | | 597 | 0.1684 |
| | | | 595 | 0.0056 |
| | | | 596 | 0.0152 |
| | | | 594 | 0.2244 |
| | | | 593 | 0.2108 |
| | | | 592 | 0.0290 |
| | | | 591 | 0.0014 |
| | | | 517 | 0.1411 |
| | | | 518 | 0.1806 |
| | | | 503 | 0.0100 |
| | | | 520 | 0.0725 |
| | | | 521 | 0.0489 |
| | | | 552 | 0.0574 |
| | | | 551 | 0.1808 |
| | | | 528 | 0.4770 |
| | | | 529 | 0.1128 |

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|--------|--------|-----------|--------------------|---------------|
| Bagpat | Khekra | Gauna | 533 | 0.0150 |
| | | | 532 | 0.2021 |
| | | | 534 | 0.0064 |
| | | | 531 | 0.0456 |
| | | | 157 | 0.0678 |
| | | | 156 | 0.2764 |
| | | | 155 | 0.2890 |
| | | | 102 | 0.0100 |
| | | | 103 | 0.0356 |
| | | | 104 | 0.3455 |
| | | | 105 | 0.0153 |
| | | | 108 | 0.0275 |
| | | | 107 | 0.2688 |
| | | | 106 | 0.0174 |
| | | | 125 | 0.3446 |
| | | | 17(Cart Track) | 0.0167 |
| | | | 19 | 0.2382 |
| | | | 18 | 0.2123 |
| | | | 16 | 0.1945 |
| | | | 14 | 0.3842 |
| | | | 13 | 0.1319 |
| | | | 15 | 0.0067 |
| | | | 10 | 0.2079 |
| | | | 9 | 0.2158 |
| | | | 8 | 0.0077 |
| | | | 7 | 0.0077 |
| | | | TOTAL | 9.0008 |
| | | Lahchauda | 205 | 0.6947 |
| | | | 206 | 0.2191 |
| | | | 203 | 0.0063 |
| | | | (Field Channel) | |
| | | | 202 | 0.0178 |
| | | | (Field Cart Track) | |
| | | | 135 | 0.2298 |
| | | | 136 | 0.1570 |
| | | | 138 | 0.1595 |
| | | | 137 | 0.1283 |
| | | | 139 | 0.0083 |
| | | | (Cart Track) | |
| | | | 123 | 0.2862 |
| | | | 122 | 0.0072 |
| | | | (Field Channel) | |
| | | | 120 | 0.2969 |
| | | | 121 | 0.0418 |
| | | | (Cart Track) | |

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|--------|--------|-----------|--------------------|---------------|--------|--------|--------|------------------|---------------|
| Bagpat | Khekra | Lahchauda | 145 | 0.0165 | Bagpat | Khekra | Khaila | 450 | 0.3049 |
| | | | (Field Channel) | | | | | 318 | 0.0251 |
| | | | 116 | 0.0043 | | | | (Brick Road) | |
| | | | (Field Channel) | | | | | 319 | 0.0947 |
| | | | 146 | 0.0012 | | | | 398 | 0.0699 |
| | | | 151 | 0.0002 | | | | 399 | 0.0710 |
| | | | (Field Channel) | | | | | 400 | 0.5971 |
| | | | 115 | 0.1444 | | | | 402 | 0.0209 |
| | | | 152 | 0.0194 | | | | (Field Channel) | |
| | | | 112 | 0.0142 | | | | 404 | 0.0253 |
| | | | (Brick Road) | | | | | 405 | 0.2023 |
| | | | 29 | 0.4368 | | | | 407 | 0.1941 |
| | | | 23 | 0.2020 | | | | 408 | 0.1070 |
| | | | 22 | 0.0131 | | | | 396 | 0.0104 |
| | | | (Field Channel) | | | | | 391 | 0.1036 |
| | | | 21 | 0.0062 | | | | 390 | 0.0834 |
| | | | (Cart Track) | | | | | 389 | 0.1138 |
| | | | 19 | 0.1097 | | | | 388 | 0.0944 |
| | | | 18 | 0.0166 | | | | 387 | 0.0975 |
| | | | 17 | 0.0970 | | | | 381 | 0.2502 |
| | | | 16 | 0.1014 | | | | 380 | 0.0063 |
| | | | 15 | 0.0766 | | | | (Field Channel) | |
| | | | 13 | 0.1168 | | | | 379 | 0.0297 |
| | | | 12 | 0.0626 | | | | 411 | 0.0380 |
| | | | TOTAL | 3.6919 | | | | (Asphalted Road) | |
| | | Khaila | 529 | 0.0144 | | | | 415 | 0.0846 |
| | | | 577 | 0.0113 | | | | 414 | 0.0076 |
| | | | 538 | 0.1879 | | | | (Field Channel) | |
| | | | 539 | 0.1158 | | | | 413 | 0.3330 |
| | | | 537 | 0.2421 | | | | 412 | 0.0470 |
| | | | 526 | 0.0165 | | | | 205 (Road) | 0.0527 |
| | | | (Brick Cart Track) | | | | | TOTAL | 5.0093 |
| | | | 525 | 0.0060 | | | | 206 A | 0.0019 |
| | | | (Field Channel) | | | | | 205 | 0.0134 |
| | | | 521 | 0.4669 | | | | (Asphalted Road) | |
| | | | 489 | 0.0117 | | | | 3 | 0.3856 |
| | | | (Field Channel) | | | | | 2B | 0.1340 |
| | | | 483 | 0.1525 | | | | 1 | 0.3310 |
| | | | 484 | 0.1404 | | | | 4 | 0.0566 |
| | | | 485 | 0.2173 | | | | 5 | 0.2692 |
| | | | 486 | 0.1515 | | | | TOTAL | 1.1916 |
| | | | 455 | 0.0059 | | | | 769 Mi. | 0.3532 |
| | | | (Field Cart Track) | | | | | 768 A | 0.8246 |
| | | | 452 | 0.0070 | | | | 768 B | 0.0546 |
| | | | (Field Channel) | | | | | 763 Mi | 0.0199 |
| | | | 451 | 0.1668 | | | | 770 Mi | 0.0053 |
| | | | 449 | 0.0308 | | | | | |

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|--------|--------|-----------|------------------|---------------|--------|--------|--------------|--------|--------|
| Bagpat | Khekra | Rawan Urf | 740 | 0.3883 | Bagpat | Khekra | Khekra Rural | 1729/B | 0.1841 |
| | | Baragaon | 762 | 0.0227 | | | | 1729/A | 0.0615 |
| | | | 761 | 0.0040 | | | | 1728 | 0.3504 |
| | | | 756 | 0.0038 | | | | 1732 | 0.0019 |
| | | | 751 | 0.0263 | | | | 1734 | 0.0011 |
| | | | 750 | 0.6249 | | | | 1743 | 0.0519 |
| | | | 748 Mi. | 0.0095 | | | | 1725 | 0.0083 |
| | | | 746 | 0.0156 | | | | 1724 | 0.0084 |
| | | | Field CT | 0.0156 | | | | 1723 | 0.1568 |
| | | | 738 | 0.3840 | | | | 1721/A | 0.1047 |
| | | | 739 | 0.0078 | | | | 1721/B | 0.3812 |
| | | | 728/B | 0.4320 | | | | 1745 | 0.0106 |
| | | | 728/A | 0.4920 | | | | 1846 | 0.0059 |
| | | | 727 | 0.3960 | | | | 1746 | 0.0076 |
| | | | 725(field CT) | 0.0067 | | | | 1696/A | 0.2027 |
| | | | 724 | 0.4406 | | | | 1695 | 0.0118 |
| | | | 723 | 0.0085 | | | | 1693 | 0.2513 |
| | | | 720 | 0.2292 | | | | 1692/A | 0.1487 |
| | | | 721 Mi. | 0.1712 | | | | 1692/B | 0.0675 |
| | | | 717 | 0.0193 | | | | 1687 | 0.2433 |
| | | | 507 | 0.4608 | | | | 1686 | 0.2002 |
| | | | 506 (Cart Track) | 0.0136 | | | | 1685 | 0.0122 |
| | | | 503 | 0.3358 | | | | 1684 | 0.1924 |
| | | | 170 | 0.0228 | | | | 1683 | 0.0907 |
| | | | 410/A | 0.1100 | | | | 1681/A | 0.1786 |
| | | | 410/B | 0.7650 | | | | 1680 | 0.2801 |
| | | | 402 | 0.0094 | | | | 1679 | 0.0181 |
| | | | 387 | 0.3845 | | | | 1661 | 0.1918 |
| | | | 386 Mi. | 0.0097 | | | | 1662 | 0.0060 |
| | | | 384 | 0.3239 | | | | 1672 | 0.0061 |
| | | | 365 | 0.0667 | | | | 1669 | 0.0165 |
| | | | 364 | 0.0093 | | | | 1671 | 0.0099 |
| | | | 363 | 0.0489 | | | | 1668 | 0.2605 |
| | | | 362 | 0.0049 | | | | 1666 | 0.2049 |
| | | | 361 | 0.0795 | | | | 1663 | 0.3331 |
| | | | 338 | 1.0061 | | | | 1545 | 0.0339 |
| | | | 337 | 0.0132 | | | | 1573/A | 0.1998 |
| | | | 334/1 | 0.2685 | | | | 1572/B | 0.1560 |
| | | | 333 | 0.0356 | | | | 1572/A | 0.1960 |
| | | | 326 | 0.0232 | | | | 1570 | 0.0101 |
| | | | 314 | 0.2345 | | | | 1569 | 0.0176 |
| | | | 313 | 0.3604 | | | | 1548/A | 0.3687 |
| | | | 312/B | 0.0344 | | | | 1548/B | 0.0259 |
| | | | | | | | | 1549/A | 0.3768 |
| | | | | | | | | 1549/B | 0.0100 |
| | | | | | | | | 1550 | 0.1120 |
| | | | | | | | | 1551 | 0.0391 |
| | | | TOTAL | 9.5763 | | | | | |

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 * | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|--------|--------|--------------|------------|--------|--------|--------|--------------|--------------|----------------|
| Bagpat | Khekra | Khekra Rural | 1552 | 0.1585 | Bagpat | Khekra | Khekra Rural | 227-A | 0.0817 |
| | | | 1553 | 0.1240 | | | | 195-B | 0.1941 |
| | | | 1528-CANAL | 0.0385 | | | | 195-A | 0.0018 |
| | | | 1487 | 0.1249 | | | | 194-A | 0.0621 |
| | | | 1588 | 0.0471 | | | | 192-A | 0.1669 |
| | | | 1380 | 0.0608 | | | | 192-B | 0.1075 |
| | | | 1379 | 0.0603 | | | | 193-A | 0.0422 |
| | | | 1381-DRAIN | 0.1054 | | | | 87-A | 0.4126 |
| | | | 1387 | 0.0164 | | | | 513 | 0.0417 |
| | | | 1382-A | 0.3544 | | | | 511 | 0.0098 |
| | | | 1382-B | 0.4018 | | | | 512-A | 0.1189 |
| | | | 1383 | 0.2750 | | | | 512-B | 0.0292 |
| | | | 1398 | 0.0180 | | | | 514 | 0.0760 |
| | | | 1399 | 0.0120 | | | | 515 | 0.0069 |
| | | | 1406 | 0.2234 | | | | 519-B | 0.0441 |
| | | | 1405 | 0.2361 | | | | 85-B | 0.1793 |
| | | | 1404 | 0.0045 | | | | 526-A | 0.1870 |
| | | | 1403 | 0.0045 | | | | 524 | 0.0077 |
| | | | 1410 | 0.0107 | | | | 525-A | 0.0346 |
| | | | 355 | 0.1535 | | | | 525-B | 0.4290 |
| | | | 346 | 0.0130 | | | | 530 | 0.0093 |
| | | | 345 | 0.4376 | | | | 574-A | 0.0259 |
| | | | 354 | 0.0040 | | | | 574-B | 0.0572 |
| | | | 353 | 0.0347 | | | | 575-B | 0.0317 |
| | | | 347 | 0.1168 | | | | 575-A | 0.5721 |
| | | | 323-ASP | 0.0136 | | | | 572 | 0.0622 |
| | | | 322-ASP | 0.0126 | | | | 571-A | 0.0375 |
| | | | 317 | 0.1824 | | | | 579-A | 0.1797 |
| | | | 318 | 0.0215 | | | | 579-B | 0.2760 |
| | | | 319-RLY | 0.0121 | | | | 580 | 0.0443 |
| | | | 359-RLY | 0.0445 | | | | 564 | 0.0070 |
| | | | 265-RLY | 0.0052 | | | | 569* | 0.0064 |
| | | | 365-RLY | 0.0520 | | | | 563 | 0.2189 |
| | | | 260 | 0.2248 | | | | 562-A | 0.0458 |
| | | | 258 | 0.4454 | | | | 562-B | 0.4090 |
| | | | 261 | 0.0265 | | | | 581 | 0.1932 |
| | | | 253 | 0.0181 | | | | 582 | 0.0224 |
| | | | 214 | 0.0071 | | | | 583-A | 0.0252 |
| | | | 215 | 0.1958 | | | | 583-B | 0.0241 |
| | | | 217 | 0.2584 | | | | 560 | 0.0096 |
| | | | 212-A | 0.3240 | | | | TOTAL | 15.4012 |
| | | | 223 | 0.0067 | | | Anandpur | 282-A | 1.0529 |
| | | | 226-A | 0.1755 | | | | 274 | 0.0297 |
| | | | 227-B | 0.0419 | | | | TOTAL | 1.0826 |

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|--------|--------|-------|--------|--------|--------|--------|-------|----------------|---------------|
| Bagpat | Khekra | Katha | 2520 | 0.0478 | Bagpat | Khekra | Katha | 1010 | 0.0021 |
| | | | 2775 | 0.0448 | | | | 1011 | 0.0608 |
| | | | 2774 | 0.4410 | | | | 1012 | 0.0709 |
| | | | 2773 | 0.0175 | | | | 1039 | 0.0682 |
| | | | 2769M | 0.2851 | | | | 1040 | 0.0709 |
| | | | 2770 | 0.0531 | | | | 1005 | 0.0209 |
| | | | 2771 | 0.0003 | | | | 1004 | 0.0824 |
| | | | 2765 | 0.2690 | | | | 1003 | 0.0436 |
| | | | 2764 | 0.1888 | | | | 1001 | 0.0378 |
| | | | 2763 | 0.1872 | | | | 1002 | 0.0860 |
| | | | 2755 | 0.1432 | | | | 1068 | 0.0589 |
| | | | 2731 | 0.1810 | | | | 1069 | 0.2145 |
| | | | 2730 | 0.5024 | | | | 1073 | 0.0015 |
| | | | 2714/B | 0.0821 | | | | 1070 | 0.1376 |
| | | | 2713 | 0.0087 | | | | 1071 | 0.1111 |
| | | | 2712 | 0.0837 | | | | 939 | 0.1134 |
| | | | 2711 | 0.0219 | | | | 937 | 0.0656 |
| | | | 2710 | 0.0289 | | | | 938 | 0.0026 |
| | | | 2709 | 0.0213 | | | | 900 | 0.0718 |
| | | | 2708 | 0.0150 | | | | 903 | 0.1147 |
| | | | 2707 | 0.0016 | | | | 904 | 0.0005 |
| | | | 2706/B | 0.0031 | | | | 902 | 0.0465 |
| | | | 2704 | 0.0022 | | | | 906 | 0.1321 |
| | | | 1178 | 0.0007 | | | | 907 | 0.1946 |
| | | | 1176 | 0.0020 | | | | 908 | 0.0570 |
| | | | 1177 | 0.0829 | | | | 872 | 0.0004 |
| | | | 1172 | 0.0506 | | | | 871 | 0.0192 |
| | | | 1173 | 0.0479 | | | | 869 | 0.0104 |
| | | | 1174 | 0.0442 | | | | 868 | 0.1012 |
| | | | 1175 | 0.0368 | | | | 867 | 0.0113 |
| | | | 1311 | 0.0277 | | | | 866 | 0.0518 |
| | | | 1171 | 0.0155 | | | | 865 | 0.0824 |
| | | | 1312 | 0.1088 | | | | 864 | 0.0222 |
| | | | 1410 | 0.1200 | | | | 863 | 0.0443 |
| | | | 1313 | 0.0453 | | | | 862 | 0.0508 |
| | | | 1409 | 0.1848 | | | | 861 | 0.1161 |
| | | | 1316 | 0.0731 | | | | 846 | 0.0283 |
| | | | 1317 | 0.0504 | | | | 844 | 0.1756 |
| | | | 1318 | 0.1107 | | | | 843 | 0.0730 |
| | | | 1407 | 0.0211 | | | | 841-Cart Track | 0.0319 |
| | | | 1320 | 0.0358 | | | | 800 | 0.0073 |
| | | | 1323 | 0.1442 | | | | 799 | 0.0511 |
| | | | 1324 | 0.1403 | | | | 798 | 0.0940 |
| | | | 1014 | 0.0071 | | | | 797 | 0.0287 |
| | | | 1013 | 0.1433 | | | | TOTAL | 6.9889 |

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|--------|--------|-----------|---------|--------|--------|--------|-----------|--|------------|
| Bagpat | Khekra | Mawikalan | 1692 | 0.0538 | Bagpat | Khekra | Mawikalan | 1473 | 0.0010 |
| | | | 1691 | 0.3417 | | | | 1472 | 0.0061 |
| | | | 1687-B | 0.1293 | | | | 1441 | 0.0355 |
| | | | 1687-A | 0.1212 | | | | 1440 | 0.2150 |
| | | | 1686 | 0.0120 | | | | ROAD | 0.0484 |
| | | | 1685 | 0.0120 | | | | Total | 5.2975 |
| | | | 1644-B | 0.2359 | | | | Grand Total | 57.2402 |
| | | | 1644-A | 0.4318 | | | | [F.No. L-14014/27/2007-G.P.] | |
| | | | 1643-A | 0.4257 | | | | S. B. MANDAL, Under Secy. | |
| | | | 1642-A | 0.1845 | | | | नई दिल्ली, 15 जनवरी, 2008 | |
| | | | 1642-B | 0.0225 | | | | का.आ. 107.—भारत सरकार को लोकहित में यह आवश्यक प्रतीत होता है कि हरियाणा राज्य में दादरी-बवाना-नांगल पाइपलाइन परियोजना के माध्यम से प्राकृतिक गैस के परिवहन के लिए गेल (इण्डिया) लिमिटेड द्वारा, एक पाइपलाइन बिछाई जानी चाहिए; | |
| | | | 1637 | 0.1242 | | | | | |
| | | | 1631 | 0.0056 | | | | और भारत सरकार को उक्त पाइपलाइन बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए यह आवश्यक प्रतीत होता है कि उस भूमि में, जिसमें उक्त पाइपलाइन बिछाए जाने का प्रस्ताव है और जो इस अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में वर्णित है, उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन किया जाए; | |
| | | | 1630 | 0.0055 | | | | | |
| | | | 1629-B | 0.0558 | | | | अतः अब, भारत सरकार, पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पाइपलाइन (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 3 की उप-धारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, उस भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन करने के अपने आशय की घोषणा करती है; | |
| | | | 1629-A | 0.1110 | | | | | |
| | | | 1626-A | 0.1864 | | | | कोई व्यक्ति, जो उक्त अनुसूची में वर्णित भूमि में हितबद्ध है, उस तारीख से जिसको उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 3 की उप-धारा (1) के अधीन भारत के राजपत्र में यथा प्रकाशित इस अधिसूचना की प्रतियाँ साधारण जनता को उपलब्ध कर दी जाती हैं, इक्कीस दिन के भीतर, भूमि के नीचे पाइपलाइन बिछाए जाने के संबंध में, श्री के. एल. बिशनोई, सक्षम प्राधिकारी, गेल (इण्डिया) लिमिटेड, प्लॉट नं. 24, सेक्टर-16ए, नोएडा, जिला-गौतम बुद्ध नगर-201301 (उत्तर प्रदेश) को लिखित रूप में आक्षेप भेज सकेगा। | |
| | | | 1625-A | 0.4270 | | | | | |
| | | | 1625-B | 0.0079 | | | | अनुसूची | |
| | | | 1624 | 0.1361 | | | | | |
| | | | 1623 | 0.0380 | | | | जिला | तहसील |
| | | | 1622 | 0.0362 | | | | गांव | सर्वे नंबर |
| | | | SH-ROAD | 0.0989 | | | | क्षेत्र (हेक्टर) | |
| | | | 1602 | 0.0771 | | | | 1 | 2 |
| | | | 1601 | 0.1683 | | | | 3 | 4 |
| | | | 1594 | 0.1839 | | | | 5 | |
| | | | 1600 | 0.0986 | | | | सोनीपत | सोनीपत |
| | | | 1595-A | 0.2313 | | | | खुर्रमपुर | खुर्रमपुर |
| | | | 1595-B | 0.0662 | | | | 42/7 | 0.0965 |
| | | | 1539 | 0.0336 | | | | 41/4 | 0.0589 |
| | | | 1538 | 0.0405 | | | | 42/8 | 0.0052 |
| | | | 1537 | 0.0213 | | | | 41/3 | 0.1840 |
| | | | 1531 | 0.0664 | | | | कच्चा रास्ता | 0.0240 |
| | | | 1536 | 0.0062 | | | | 41/2 | 0.0116 |
| | | | 1532 | 0.0965 | | | | कच्चा रास्ता | 0.0128 |
| | | | 1530 | 0.0106 | | | | | |
| | | | 1529 | 0.0498 | | | | | |
| | | | 1533 | 0.0418 | | | | | |
| | | | 1534 | 0.0264 | | | | | |
| | | | 1527 | 0.0054 | | | | | |
| | | | 1528 | 0.1385 | | | | | |
| | | | 1506 | 0.2340 | | | | | |
| | | | 1470 | 0.0237 | | | | | |
| | | | 1469 | 0.0133 | | | | | |
| | | | 1468 | 0.0081 | | | | | |
| | | | 1471 | 0.1466 | | | | | |

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|--------|--------|-----------|--------------|--------|--------|--------|-------|--------------|--------|
| सोनीपत | सोनीपत | खुर्रमपुर | 41/2 | 0.0100 | सोनीपत | सोनीपत | मनोली | 130/6/2 | 0.1404 |
| | | | 23/23 | 0.0132 | | | | 130/5 | 0.0279 |
| | | | कच्चा रास्ता | 0.0020 | | | | 130/7 | 0.0266 |
| | | | 23/22 | 0.2053 | | | | 130/4 | 0.1645 |
| | | | 23/21 | 0.0109 | | | | मुसम रास्ता | 0.0461 |
| | | | 23/19 | 0.0371 | | | | 130/3 | 0.1472 |
| | | | 23/20 | 0.2129 | | | | 120/23 | 0.0725 |
| | | | 24/16 | 0.0118 | | | | कच्चा रास्ता | 0.0094 |
| | | | 23/11 | 0.0371 | | | | 130/2 | 0.0018 |
| | | | 24/15 | 0.2157 | | | | 120/22 | 0.1741 |
| | | | 24/6 | 0.1080 | | | | कच्चा रास्ता | 0.0122 |
| | | | 24/7 | 0.1550 | | | | 120/19/1 | 0.0771 |
| | | | 24/4 | 0.0501 | | | | 120/19/2 | 0.0158 |
| | | | कच्चा रास्ता | 0.0084 | | | | 120/20 | 0.1562 |
| | | | 24/3 | 0.2112 | | | | 120/11 | 0.1766 |
| | | | 24/2 | 0.0120 | | | | 119/15 | 0.0728 |
| | | | 19/23 | 0.0455 | | | | 120/10 | 0.0011 |
| | | | 19/22 | 0.2146 | | | | 119/6 | 0.2194 |
| | | | 19/21 | 0.0928 | | | | 119/7 | 0.0194 |
| | | | 19/19 | 0.0003 | | | | 119/5 | 0.0280 |
| | | | 19/20 | 0.1329 | | | | 119/4 | 0.2214 |
| | | | 18/16 | 0.1946 | | | | 119/3 | 0.0085 |
| | | | 18/17 | 0.0201 | | | | 101/24 | 0.0176 |
| | | | 18/15 | 0.0326 | | | | 101/23/2 | 0.1533 |
| | | | 18/14 | 0.2129 | | | | 101/23/1 | 0.0477 |
| | | | 18/13 | 0.1156 | | | | त्रिक रास्ता | 0.0230 |
| | | | 18/8 | 0.0981 | | | | 101/18 | 0.0629 |
| | | | 18/9 | 0.2422 | | | | 101/19 | 0.1832 |
| | | | 18/10 | 0.0253 | | | | 101/12 | 0.1264 |
| | | | 18/2 | 0.0250 | | | | 101/11 | 0.1019 |
| | | | 18/1 | 0.1900 | | | | 101/10 | 0.2279 |
| | | | 17/5 | 0.0646 | | | | 102/6 | 0.0229 |
| | | | 9/21 | 0.0039 | | | | 101/1 | 0.0256 |
| | | | 10/25 | 0.1735 | | | | 102/5 | 0.1854 |
| | | | 10/24 | 0.1107 | | | | 85/25 | 0.1324 |
| | | | 10/17 | 0.1030 | | | | 85/24 | 0.0929 |
| | | | 10/18 | 0.2252 | | | | 85/17/1 | 0.0571 |
| | | | 10/13 | 0.0237 | | | | 85/17/2 | 0.1499 |
| | | | 10/19 | 0.0257 | | | | 85/18 | 0.0193 |
| | | | 10/12 | 0.0336 | | | | 85/14 | 0.0311 |
| | | | कुल | 4.0999 | | | | 85/13/2 | 0.1359 |
| | | मनोली | 129/13 | 0.0016 | | | | 85/13/1 | 0.0610 |
| | | | 129/12 | 0.1867 | | | | 85/8/1 | 0.0037 |
| | | | 129/11 | 0.1044 | | | | 85/8/2 | 0.0884 |
| | | | 129/10 | 0.1260 | | | | 85/9 | 0.1320 |
| | | | 130/6/1 | 0.0629 | | | | 85/2 | 0.1861 |

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | |
|--------|--------|-------|-----------------|--------|--------|--------|-------|--------------|---------|--------|
| सोनीपत | सोनीपत | मनोली | 85/1 | 0.0553 | सोनीपत | सोनीपत | मनोली | 41/7 | 0.1894 | |
| | | | 68/22 | 0.0041 | | | | 41/4 | 0.0988 | |
| | | | 68/21 | 0.2288 | | | | 41/3 | 0.1552 | |
| | | | 69/25 | 0.0193 | | | | 29/23 | 0.1217 | |
| | | | 68/20 | 0.0269 | | | | 29/22 | 0.1162 | |
| | | | 69/16 | 0.2372 | | | | 29/19 | 0.1754 | |
| | | | 69/17/1 | 0.0107 | | | | 29/20 | 0.0922 | |
| | | | 68/15 | 0.0402 | | | | 29/12 | 0.0000 | |
| | | | 69/14 | 0.2122 | | | | 29/11 | 0.2003 | |
| | | | 69/13 | 0.0024 | | | | 28/15 | 0.0677 | |
| | | | 69/7 | 0.0618 | | | | 29/10 | 0.0023 | |
| | | | 69/8 | 0.1799 | | | | 28/6/1 | 0.0373 | |
| | | | 69/9 | 0.0002 | | | | 28/6/2 | 0.1582 | |
| | | | 69/3 | 0.0835 | | | | 28/5 | 0.0031 | |
| | | | 69/2 | 0.1784 | | | | 28/7 | 0.0570 | |
| | | | 53/22 | 0.1159 | | | | 28/4 | 0.2125 | |
| | | | 53/21 | 0.1365 | | | | 28/3 | 0.0278 | |
| | | | 53/20/2 | 0.1118 | | | | 22/24 | 0.0167 | |
| | | | 52/16/2 | 0.0159 | | | | 22/23 | 0.2322 | |
| | | | कच्चा रास्ता | 0.0162 | | | | 22/22 | 0.0289 | |
| | | | 53/20/1 | 0.0202 | | | | ब्रिक रास्ता | 0.0325 | |
| | | | 52/16/1 | 0.0494 | | | | 22/18 | 0.0066 | |
| | | | 52/15 | 0.0008 | | | | 22/19 | 0.1878 | |
| | | | आस्फाल्ट रास्ता | 0.0263 | | | | 22/20 | 0.0051 | |
| | | | 52/15 | 0.1931 | | | | 22/12 | 0.0516 | |
| | | | 52/16/1 | 0.0203 | | | | 22/11 | 0.2049 | |
| | | | 52/14 | 0.0505 | | | | 22/10 | 0.0836 | |
| | | | 52/6/3 | 0.0063 | | | | 23/15 | 0.0004 | |
| | | | 52/7/2 | 0.0679 | | | | 23/6 | 0.1809 | |
| | | | 52/7/3 | 0.0563 | | | | 23/5 | 0.0922 | |
| | | | 52/7/1 | 0.0706 | | | | 23/4 | 0.1639 | |
| | | | 52/8/2 | 0.0008 | | | | 10/24 | 0.0233 | |
| | | | 52/8/1 | 0.0497 | | | | कच्चा रास्ता | 0.0017 | |
| | | | 52/4 | 0.0058 | | | | कच्चा रास्ता | 0.0078 | |
| | | | 52/3 | 0.2350 | | | | 10/24 | 0.0975 | |
| | | | 40/23 | 0.0230 | | | | 23/4 | 0.0005 | |
| | | | 52/2 | 0.0220 | | | | 10/23 | 0.1365 | |
| | | | 40/22 | 0.2290 | | | | 10/18 | 0.0784 | |
| | | | 40/19 | 0.0368 | | | | कुल | 11.3916 | |
| | | | 40/21 | 0.0118 | | | | | | |
| | | | 40/20 | 0.2181 | | | | पथसरा | 26/18 | 0.0553 |
| | | | 40/16 | 0.0074 | | | | | 26/19 | 0.1063 |
| | | | 40/11 | 0.0452 | | | | | 26/12 | 0.1712 |
| | | | 41/15 | 0.2048 | | | | | 26/11 | 0.0619 |
| | | | 41/6 | 0.0744 | | | | | 26/9 | 0.0028 |
| | | | कच्चा रास्ता | 0.0130 | | | | | 26/10 | 0.2095 |
| | | | 41/14 | 0.0000 | | | | | 25/6 | 0.0422 |

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|--------|--------|-------|-----------------|--------|--------|--------|-------|---------|--------|
| सोनीपत | सोनीपत | पबसरा | 26/1 | 0.0084 | सोनीपत | सोनीपत | जखौली | 64/18 | 0.1073 |
| | | | 25/5 | 0.2310 | | | | 64/19 | 0.0307 |
| | | | 16/25 | 0.0511 | | | | 64/13 | 0.0163 |
| | | | 25/4 | 0.0042 | | | | 64/12 | 0.2113 |
| | | | 16/24 | 0.1966 | | | | 64/9 | 0.0205 |
| | | | 16/23 | 0.1082 | | | | 312 | 0.0217 |
| | | | 16/18 | 0.1113 | | | | 64/11 | 0.0148 |
| | | | 16/19 | 0.2029 | | | | 64/10 | 0.2190 |
| | | | 84-कच्चा रास्ता | 0.0089 | | | | 64/1 | 0.0619 |
| | | | 16/12 | 0.0069 | | | | 63/6 | 0.0000 |
| | | | 83-कच्चा रास्ता | 0.0153 | | | | 63/5 | 0.1622 |
| | | | 16/20 | 0.0394 | | | | 48/25 | 0.1343 |
| | | | 16/11 | 0.1946 | | | | 48/24 | 0.1352 |
| | | | 17/15 | 0.1427 | | | | 48/17 | 0.1409 |
| | | | 17/6 | 0.0839 | | | | 371 | 0.0108 |
| | | | 17/14 | 0.0010 | | | | 48/18 | 0.0998 |
| | | | 17/7 | 0.2284 | | | | 48/13 | 0.1932 |
| | | | 17/4 | 0.0064 | | | | 48/12 | 0.0532 |
| | | | 17/8 | 0.0583 | | | | 48/8 | 0.0057 |
| | | | 17/3 | 0.1747 | | | | 48/9 | 0.2170 |
| | | | 17/2 | 0.1713 | | | | 48/10 | 0.0244 |
| | | | 12/22 | 0.0584 | | | | 370 | 0.0123 |
| | | | 17/1 | 0.0072 | | | | 48/2 | 0.0146 |
| | | | 12/21 | 0.2181 | | | | 48/1 | 0.2182 |
| | | | 80-कच्चा रास्ता | 0.0031 | | | | 49/5 | 0.0046 |
| | | | 12/20 | 0.0010 | | | | 43/21 | 0.0421 |
| | | | 11/25/2 | 0.0543 | | | | 42/25/2 | 0.0967 |
| | | | 79-कच्चा रास्ता | 0.0232 | | | | 42/25/1 | 0.1233 |
| | | | 11/25/1 | 0.0138 | | | | 42/24 | 0.0010 |
| | | | 11/16 | 0.1426 | | | | 42/16 | 0.0707 |
| | | | 11/17 | 0.1976 | | | | 42/17 | 0.1728 |
| | | | 11/14 | 0.0312 | | | | 371/2 | 0.0215 |
| | | | 11/18 | 0.0216 | | | | 42/14 | 0.1051 |
| | | | 11/13 | 0.2124 | | | | 42/13 | 0.0939 |
| | | | 11/12 | 0.1232 | | | | 42/8/1 | 0.0496 |
| | | | 11/9 | 0.1054 | | | | 42/8/2 | 0.1402 |
| | | | 11/11 | 0.0000 | | | | 42/9 | 0.0810 |
| | | | 11/10 | 0.2237 | | | | 42/3 | 0.0002 |
| | | | 11/1 | 0.0138 | | | | 42/2 | 0.1986 |
| | | | 10/6 | 0.0426 | | | | 42/1 | 0.0202 |
| | | | 10/5 | 0.1763 | | | | 371/1 | 0.0148 |
| | | | 10/4 | 0.1287 | | | | 27/22 | 0.0177 |
| | | | 8/24/2 | 0.1174 | | | | 27/21 | 0.2104 |
| | | | कच्चा रास्ता | 0.0494 | | | | 27/20 | 0.0794 |
| | | | 8/23/1 | 0.0818 | | | | 28/25 | 0.0002 |
| | | | 8/24/1 | 0.0062 | | | | 28/16 | 0.1583 |
| | | | 8/18 | 0.0876 | | | | 28/15/2 | 0.1181 |
| | | | कुल | 4.8352 | | | | | |

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|--------|--------|------------------|---------|--------|--------|--------|-------|-----------------------|--------|
| सोनीपत | सोनीपत | जखौली | 28/15/1 | 0.0235 | सोनीपत | सोनीपत | खेबरा | 186/1 | 0.0389 |
| | | | 28/14 | 0.1008 | | | | 186/2 | 0.0616 |
| | | | 28/8/2 | 0.0006 | | | | 155/22 | 0.0084 |
| | | | 28/7 | 0.1946 | | | | 155/22 | 0.0610 |
| | | | 28/4/2 | 0.0011 | | | | 304 (आस्फाल्ट रास्ता) | 0.1205 |
| | | आस्फाल्ट रास्ता | 0.0353 | | | | | 155/19 | 0.1701 |
| | | 28/8/1 | 0.0092 | | | | | 155/20 | 0.0136 |
| | | 28/4/1 | 0.0099 | | | | | 155/12 | 0.1111 |
| | | 28/3 | 0.2235 | | | | | 155/11 | 0.0726 |
| | | 28/2 | 0.0051 | | | | | 155/10 | 0.1352 |
| | | 21/23/3 | 0.0360 | | | | | 155/9 | 0.0485 |
| | | 21/23/2 | 0.0156 | | | | | 155/1 | 0.0580 |
| | | 21/22 | 0.1898 | | | | | 155/1 | 0.0949 |
| | | 21/19/2 | 0.0895 | | | | | कच्चा रास्ता | 0.0285 |
| | | 21/19/1 | 0.0208 | | | | | 155/2 | 0.0024 |
| | | 21/20 | 0.1076 | | | | | 152/21 | 0.1837 |
| | | 21/11 | 0.1989 | | | | | 152/20/2 | 0.1837 |
| | | 20/15 | 0.0645 | | | | | 152/11 | 0.1825 |
| | | 21/10 | 0.0025 | | | | | 151/15 | 0.0012 |
| | | 20/6 | 0.2094 | | | | | 151/6 | 0.0435 |
| | | 20/7 | 0.0167 | | | | | 152/10 | 0.1402 |
| | | 20/5/2 | 0.0305 | | | | | 152/1 | 0.0778 |
| | | 20/4 | 0.2063 | | | | | 151/5 | 0.1060 |
| | | 9/24 | 0.0925 | | | | | 122/25 | 0.1665 |
| | | 9/23 | 0.1457 | | | | | 121/21 | 0.0171 |
| | | 9/18 | 0.1698 | | | | | 122/16/2 | 0.0594 |
| | | 9/19 | 0.0672 | | | | | 122/16/1 | 0.1243 |
| | | 9/13 | 0.0020 | | | | | 122/15 | 0.1837 |
| | | 9/12 | 0.2100 | | | | | 122/6 | 0.1837 |
| | | 9/11 | 0.0313 | | | | | 122/5 | 0.1672 |
| | | 9/9 | 0.0157 | | | | | 122/4 | 0.0166 |
| | | 9/10 | 0.2350 | | | | | 117/24 | 0.0770 |
| | | 9/1 | 0.0224 | | | | | 117/25 | 0.1072 |
| | | 345-कच्चा रास्ता | 0.0141 | | | | | 117/17 | 0.1392 |
| | | 10/6 | 0.0132 | | | | | 117/16/2 | 0.0440 |
| | | 10/5 | 0.1660 | | | | | 117/15 | 0.0014 |
| | | 358-कच्चा रास्ता | 0.0121 | | | | | 117/14 | 0.1823 |
| | | 3/25 | 0.1156 | | | | | 117/7 | 0.1837 |
| | | 3/24 | 0.0977 | | | | | 117/4 | 0.1837 |
| | | 3/26 | 0.0305 | | | | | 88/24 | 0.1817 |
| | | 3/17 | 0.1770 | | | | | 88/23 | 0.0020 |
| | | 3/18 | 0.0368 | | | | | 88/18 | 0.0476 |
| | | 3/14 | 0.0149 | | | | | 88/17 | 0.1361 |
| | | 3/13 | 0.2096 | | | | | 88/13 | 0.1102 |
| | | 3/12 | 0.0136 | | | | | 88/14 | 0.0735 |
| | | 3/8 | 0.0518 | | | | | 88/8 | 0.1695 |
| | | 3/9 | 0.1850 | | | | | | |
| | | 3/2 | 0.0711 | | | | | | |
| | | कुल | | 7.9379 | | | | | |

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|--------|--------|--------|--------------------|--------|--------|--------|------------|--------------|--------|
| सोनीपत | सोनीपत | खेबरा | 88/7 | 0.0142 | सोनीपत | सोनीपत | पारली कलां | 33/10/2 | 0.0002 |
| | | | 88/3/1 | 0.0546 | | | | 33/1 | 0.1523 |
| | | | 512 (कच्चा रास्ता) | 0.0518 | | | | 29/21 | 0.1568 |
| | | | 88/3/2 | 0.0773 | | | | 30/25/2 | 0.0494 |
| | | | 81/23/2 | 0.0947 | | | | 29/20/2 | 0.0111 |
| | | | 81/23/1 | 0.0890 | | | | 30/16/1 | 0.0855 |
| | | | 81/18 | 0.1837 | | | | 30/16/2 | 0.1135 |
| | | | 81/13 | 0.1638 | | | | 30/15/1 | 0.1590 |
| | | | 81/12 | 0.0198 | | | | 30/14 | 0.0502 |
| | | | 81/8 | 0.1030 | | | | 30/6 | 0.0101 |
| | | | 81/9/1 | 0.0300 | | | | 30/7 | 0.1986 |
| | | | 81/9/2 | 0.0510 | | | | 30/4 | 0.1532 |
| | | | 81/2 | 0.1436 | | | | 30/3 | 0.0523 |
| | | | 81/3/3 | 0.0134 | | | | 26/24 | 0.0093 |
| | | | 81/3/2 | 0.0223 | | | | 26/23/2 | 0.1824 |
| | | | 81/3/1 | 0.0042 | | | | 26/23/1 | 0.0099 |
| | | | 53/23/2 | 0.0006 | | | | 26/18/2 | 0.0527 |
| | | | 53/22 | 0.1841 | | | | 26/18/1 | 0.0930 |
| | | | 53/19 | 0.1832 | | | | 26/19 | 0.0620 |
| | | | 53/12 | 0.1774 | | | | 26/13 | 0.0063 |
| | | | 53/9 | 0.0426 | | | | 26/12 | 0.2080 |
| | | | 8/16 | 0.0053 | | | | 26/9 | 0.1444 |
| | | | 8/15 | 0.2096 | | | | 26/10 | 0.0568 |
| | | | 8/14 | 0.0001 | | | | 26/2 | 0.0086 |
| | | | 8/6/1 | 0.0090 | | | | 26/1 | 0.1797 |
| | | | 8/6/2 | 0.0744 | | | | 22/21/2 | 0.0005 |
| | | | 8/7/1 | 0.1203 | | | | एस एच-11 | 0.0885 |
| | | | 8/7/2 | 0.0615 | | | | 25/5/1 | 0.0011 |
| | | | 8/4 | 0.0934 | | | | 22/21/1 | 0.0034 |
| | | | 8/3/3 | 0.0411 | | | | 23/25 | 0.2006 |
| | | | 8/3/2 | 0.0411 | | | | 22/16 | 0.1239 |
| | | | 8/3/1 | 0.0896 | | | | 23/17 | 0.0868 |
| | | | 6/23 | 0.1036 | | | | 23/15/2 | 0.0010 |
| | | | कच्चा रास्ता | 0.0205 | | | | 23/14 | 0.1938 |
| | | | 6/22/2 | 0.1200 | | | | 23/7 | 0.1153 |
| | | | 6/22/1 | 0.0210 | | | | 23/8 | 0.1099 |
| | | | 6/19 | 0.1138 | | | | 23/3 | 0.1977 |
| | | | 6/20 | 0.1514 | | | | 23/2 | 0.0014 |
| | | | 6/11 | 0.0986 | | | | कच्चा रास्ता | 0.0087 |
| | | | 5/15 | 0.0105 | | | | 10/23 | 0.0582 |
| | | | कुल | 7.5905 | | | | 10/22 | 0.2028 |
| | | | | | | | | 10/19 | 0.0610 |
| सोनीपत | सोनीपत | जज्जाल | 72/9 | 0.1045 | | | | कच्चा रास्ता | 0.0092 |
| | | | 72/10 | 0.0595 | | | | 10/21 | 0.0019 |
| | | | 72/2 | 0.0066 | | | | 10/20 | 0.1228 |
| | | | 72/1 | 0.0487 | | | | 10/11 | 0.1410 |
| | | | कुल | 0.2194 | | | | कुल | 3.9348 |

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|--------|--------|----------|-----------------|--------|--------|--------|----------|--------------|--------|
| सोनीपत | सोनीपत | दीपालपुर | 57/11 | 0.0253 | सोनीपत | सोनीपत | दीपालपुर | 16/19 | 0.0045 |
| | | | 56/15 | 0.1307 | | | | 16/13 | 0.0676 |
| | | | 56/6 | 0.1341 | | | | 16/12 | 0.1387 |
| | | | 56/7 | 0.1381 | | | | 16/9 | 0.2031 |
| | | | 56/4 | 0.1372 | | | | 16/10 | 0.0031 |
| | | | 56/3 | 0.1183 | | | | 16/2 | 0.0735 |
| | | | कच्चा रास्ता | 0.0122 | | | | 16/1 | 0.1328 |
| | | | 39/23 | 0.1449 | | | | 11/21 | 0.1976 |
| | | | 39/22 | 0.0914 | | | | कच्चा रास्ता | 0.0421 |
| | | | आस्फाल्ट रास्ता | 0.0380 | | | | 12/25 | 0.0020 |
| | | | 39/19 | 0.1347 | | | | 11/20 | 0.0549 |
| | | | कच्चा रास्ता | 0.0111 | | | | 12/16/3 | 0.0132 |
| | | | 39/20 | 0.1005 | | | | 12/16/2 | 0.0686 |
| | | | 39/11 | 0.1748 | | | | 12/16/1 | 0.0341 |
| | | | 40/15 | 0.0809 | | | | 12/15 | 0.2051 |
| | | | 40/6 | 0.1838 | | | | 12/14 | 0.0011 |
| | | | 40/7 | 0.0546 | | | | 12/6 | 0.0860 |
| | | | 40/5 | 0.0065 | | | | 12/7/2 | 0.0466 |
| | | | 40/4 | 0.2212 | | | | 12/7/1 | 0.0737 |
| | | | 35/24 | 0.1219 | | | | 12/4/2 | 0.1304 |
| | | | कच्चा रास्ता | 0.0119 | | | | 12/4/1 | 0.0753 |
| | | | 35/17 | 0.0008 | | | | 12/3 | 0.0005 |
| | | | 35/23 | 0.0777 | | | | 6/24 | 0.0926 |
| | | | 35/18 | 0.2081 | | | | 6/23 | 0.1137 |
| | | | 35/13 | 0.1045 | | | | 6/18 | 0.2027 |
| | | | 35/12 | 0.1056 | | | | 6/13 | 0.0715 |
| | | | 35/9 | 0.2089 | | | | कच्चा रास्ता | 0.0313 |
| | | | 35/2 | 0.0815 | | | | 6/19 | 0.0001 |
| | | | 35/10 | 0.0012 | | | | 6/12 | 0.1069 |
| | | | 35/1 | 0.1287 | | | | 6/9/1 | 0.1380 |
| | | | कच्चा रास्ता | 0.0174 | | | | 6/9/2 | 0.0684 |
| | | | 21/21 | 0.1874 | | | | 6/10 | 0.0000 |
| | | | 22/25 | 0.0054 | | | | 6/2/2 | 0.0960 |
| | | | 21/20 | 0.0612 | | | | 6/2/1 | 0.0104 |
| | | | 22/16 | 0.1422 | | | | 6/1 | 0.0999 |
| | | | 22/15/2 | 0.0016 | | | | 4/22 | 0.0001 |
| | | | कच्चा रास्ता | 0.0309 | | | | 4/21 | 0.1704 |
| | | | 22/15/1 | 0.1728 | | | | कच्चा रास्ता | 0.0051 |
| | | | 22/14 | 0.0079 | | | | 4/20 | 0.0146 |
| | | | 22/6 | 0.0566 | | | | कुल | 7.3133 |
| | | | 22/7 | 0.1497 | सोनीपत | सोनीपत | माकीमपुर | 27/25 | 0.0168 |
| | | | 22/4 | 0.2002 | | | | 26/20 | 0.1178 |
| | | | 22/3 | 0.0061 | | | | 27/16 | 0.0605 |
| | | | 16/14 | 0.0620 | | | | 26/11 | 0.0051 |
| | | | 16/23 | 0.1443 | | | | कच्चा रास्ता | 0.0093 |
| | | | 16/18 | 0.2018 | | | | 27/15/2 | 0.1389 |

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|--------|--------|----------|-------------------|--------|--------|--------|----------|------------------|--------|
| सोनीपत | सोनीपत | माकीमपुर | 27/15/1 | 0.0624 | सोनीपत | सोनीपत | माकीमपुर | 10/3/1 | 0.0373 |
| | | | 27/6 | 0.1361 | | | | 2/23/2 | 0.1228 |
| | | | 27/7 | 0.0674 | | | | 2/23/1 | 0.0629 |
| | | | 27/5 | 0.0049 | | | | 2/22 | 0.0128 |
| | | | 27/4/2 | 0.1064 | | | | 2/18 | 0.0528 |
| | | | 27/4/1 | 0.0872 | | | | 2/19 | 0.1226 |
| | | | 24/24/2 | 0.0001 | | | | कुल | 4.1590 |
| | | | कच्चा रास्ता | 0.0080 | सोनीपत | सोनीपत | नन्दनौर | 89/19 | 0.0511 |
| | | | 24/24/1 | 0.1578 | | | | 89/12 | 0.1742 |
| | | | 24/23 | 0.0234 | | | | 89/11 | 0.0167 |
| | | | कच्चा रास्ता | 0.0066 | | | | 89/9 | 0.0376 |
| | | | 24/17 | 0.0660 | | | | 89/10 | 0.1883 |
| | | | 24/18/1 | 0.1216 | | | | 89/1 | 0.1890 |
| | | | 24/18/2 | 0.0007 | | | | 88/5 | 0.0140 |
| | | | 24/14 | 0.0014 | | | | 79/21 | 0.0359 |
| | | | 24/13 | 0.1910 | | | | कच्चा रास्ता | 0.0074 |
| | | | 24/8 | 0.1816 | | | | 80/25 | 0.1557 |
| | | | 24/9 | 0.0020 | | | | कच्चा रास्ता | 0.0106 |
| | | | 24/3 | 0.1228 | | | | 80/16 | 0.1888 |
| | | | 24/2 | 0.0670 | | | | 80/17 | 0.0161 |
| | | | 13/23 | 0.0259 | | | | 80/15 | 0.0394 |
| | | | 13/22 | 0.1679 | | | | 80/14 | 0.1509 |
| | | | 13/19 | 0.1792 | | | | 80/7 | 0.1838 |
| | | | कच्चा रास्ता | 0.0082 | | | | 80/8 | 0.0125 |
| | | | 13/12/2 | 0.1343 | | | | 80/4 | 0.0419 |
| | | | 13/12/1 | 0.0427 | | | | 80/3 | 0.1836 |
| | | | 13/11 | 0.0196 | | | | 63/23 | 0.0194 |
| | | | 13/9/2 | 0.0449 | | | | 993 कच्चा रास्ता | 0.0164 |
| | | | 13/9/1 | 0.0073 | | | | 63/23 | 0.1617 |
| | | | 13/10 | 0.1440 | | | | 63/22 | 0.0261 |
| | | | 13/1/2 | 0.0172 | | | | 63/18 | 0.0252 |
| | | | 13/1/1 | 0.1871 | | | | 63/19 | 0.1837 |
| | | | 14/5 | 0.0071 | | | | 63/12 | 0.1748 |
| | | | 11/21 | 0.0593 | | | | 63/11 | 0.0285 |
| | | | 10/25/2 | 0.1184 | | | | 63/9 | 0.0242 |
| | | | 10/25/1 | 0.0259 | | | | 63/10 | 0.1901 |
| | | | 10/16 | 0.1322 | | | | 63/1 | 0.1586 |
| | | | आस्फाल्टेड रास्ता | 0.0286 | | | | 62/5 | 0.0349 |
| | | | 10/17 | 0.0592 | | | | कच्चा रास्ता | 0.0104 |
| | | | 10/15/1 | 0.0510 | | | | 56/21 | 0.0137 |
| | | | 10/14 | 0.1558 | | | | 57/25 | 0.1924 |
| | | | 10/7 | 0.1700 | | | | 57/16 | 0.1722 |
| | | | 10/4 | 0.0231 | | | | 57/17 | 0.0429 |
| | | | कच्चा रास्ता | 0.0304 | | | | 57/15 | 0.0131 |
| | | | 10/8/1 | 0.0159 | | | | 57/14 | 0.0984 |
| | | | 10/3/2 | 0.1300 | | | | कुल | 3.2842 |

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|--------|--------|------------|--------------|--------|--------|--------|------------|-------------------|--------|
| सोनीपत | सोनीपत | असदपुर | कच्चा रास्ता | 0.0096 | सोनीपत | सोनीपत | मोरथाल खास | 184/1 | 0.0946 |
| | | | 60/14 | 0.0726 | | | | 183/6 | 0.0002 |
| | | | 60/7 | 0.1517 | | | | 183/5 | 0.1161 |
| | | | 60/8 | 0.0695 | | | | 146/25 | 0.1817 |
| | | | 60/4 | 0.0029 | | | | कच्चा रास्ता | 0.0274 |
| | | | 60/3 | 0.2031 | | | | 146/16 | 0.0890 |
| | | | 61/23 | 0.1444 | | | | 146/24 | 0.0009 |
| | | | 61/22 | 0.0698 | | | | 146/17 | 0.1202 |
| | | | 61/18 | 0.0032 | | | | आस्फाल्टेड रास्ता | 0.0418 |
| | | | 61/19 | 0.2020 | | | | 146/14 | 0.1798 |
| | | | 61/12 | 0.1409 | | | | 146/13 | 0.0069 |
| | | | 61/11 | 0.0776 | | | | 146/7 | 0.0589 |
| | | | 61/9 | 0.0016 | | | | 146/8 | 0.0913 |
| | | | 61/10 | 0.0271 | | | | कच्चा रास्ता | 0.0248 |
| | | | कुल | 1.1761 | | | | 146/3 | 0.2180 |
| | | मोरथाल खास | 225/11 | 0.0024 | | | | 146/2 | 0.0266 |
| | | | 225/10 | 0.1628 | | | | 137/23 | 0.0194 |
| | | | 225/1 | 0.1319 | | | | 137/22 | 0.2223 |
| | | | 224/5 | 0.0492 | | | | 137/19 | 0.0659 |
| | | | 188/21 | 0.0038 | | | | 137/21 | 0.0024 |
| | | | कच्चा रास्ता | 0.0344 | | | | 137/20 | 0.1305 |
| | | | 189/25/1 | 0.1512 | | | | 137/11 | 0.2022 |
| | | | 189/25/2 | 0.0347 | | | | 136/15 | 0.0559 |
| | | | 189/25/3 | 0.0140 | | | | 137/10 | 0.0072 |
| | | | 189/16 | 0.1301 | | | | 136/6 | 0.1785 |
| | | | 189/17 | 0.0557 | | | | 136/5 | 0.1163 |
| | | | कच्चा रास्ता | 0.0257 | | | | 136/4 | 0.1408 |
| | | | 189/15 | 0.0044 | | | | 106/24 | 0.1605 |
| | | | 189/14 | 0.1950 | | | | 106/23/2 | 0.0163 |
| | | | 189/7 | 0.0980 | | | | 106/23/1 | 0.0475 |
| | | | कच्चा रास्ता | 0.0144 | | | | 106/17 | 0.0019 |
| | | | कच्चा रास्ता | 0.0103 | | | | 106/18/1 | 0.1206 |
| | | | 189/8 | 0.0707 | | | | 106/18/2 | 0.0410 |
| | | | कच्चा रास्ता | 0.0211 | | | | 106/19 | 0.0011 |
| | | | 189/4 | 0.0003 | | | | कच्चा रास्ता | 0.0283 |
| | | | 189/3 | 0.2091 | | | | 106/13 | 0.0421 |
| | | | 184/23 | 0.1138 | | | | 106/12 | 0.1368 |
| | | | 184/22 | 0.0944 | | | | कच्चा रास्ता | 0.0321 |
| | | | 184/18 | 0.0005 | | | | 106/9 | 0.0700 |
| | | | 184/19 | 0.2061 | | | | 106/11 | 0.0020 |
| | | | 184/12 | 0.1091 | | | | 106/10 | 0.1040 |
| | | | 184/20 | 0.0038 | | | | आस्फाल्टेड रास्ता | 0.0428 |
| | | | 184/11/1 | 0.1016 | | | | 106/1 | 0.1314 |
| | | | 184/11/2 | 0.0038 | | | | 107/5 | 0.0961 |
| | | | कच्चा रास्ता | 0.0264 | | | | कच्चा रास्ता | 0.0317 |
| | | | 184/10 | 0.1785 | | | | 102/25 | 0.1458 |

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|--------|--------|------------|---------------------|--------|--------|--------|------------|--------------------|---------|
| सोनीपत | सोनीपत | मोरथाल खास | 102/24 | 0.1269 | सोनीपत | सोनीपत | मोरथाल खास | 72/15 | 0.2032 |
| | | | 102/17 | 0.1485 | | | | 72/14 | 0.0443 |
| | | | 102/18 | 0.1173 | | | | 72/6 | 0.0406 |
| | | | 102/13 | 0.1643 | | | | 72/7 | 0.1397 |
| | | | 102/12 | 0.1039 | | | | कच्चा रास्ता | 0.0522 |
| | | | 102/9 | 0.1671 | | | | 72/4 | 0.0042 |
| | | | 102/2 | 0.0003 | | | | 72/8/2 | 0.0633 |
| | | | 102/10 | 0.0869 | | | | 72/8/1 | 0.0177 |
| | | | 102/1 | 0.1816 | | | | 72/3 | 0.1401 |
| | | | 101/5 | 0.0856 | | | | 72/2 | 0.2198 |
| | | | 79/25 | 0.1685 | | | | 72/1 | 0.1388 |
| | | | कच्चा रास्ता (353) | 0.0219 | | | | 62/21 | 0.0795 |
| | | | 79/24/2 | 0.0028 | | | | 71/5 | 0.0035 |
| | | | 79/24/1 | 0.0718 | | | | 63/25 | 0.2311 |
| | | | 79/16 | 0.0007 | | | | 63/16 | 0.0036 |
| | | | 79/17/1 | 0.0133 | | | | आस्फाल्टेड रास्ता | 0.0273 |
| | | | 79/17/2 | 0.0466 | | | | 63/24 | 0.0358 |
| | | | 79/17/3 | 0.1477 | | | | 845(कच्चा रास्ता) | 0.0152 |
| | | | 79/18 | 0.0411 | | | | 63/17 | 0.1506 |
| | | | कच्चा रास्ता | 0.0325 | | | | 63/18 | 0.2093 |
| | | | 79/14/1 | 0.0088 | | | | 63/19/1 | 0.0533 |
| | | | 79/13 | 0.1261 | | | | 63/18/2 | 0.0029 |
| | | | 347 | 0.0614 | | | | 63/12 | 0.1503 |
| | | | (आस्फाल्टेड रास्ता) | | | | | 845 (कच्चा रास्ता) | 0.0131 |
| | | | 79/12 | 0.0640 | | | | 63/19/2 | 0.0162 |
| | | | 79/8 | 0.0023 | | | | 63/11/3 | 0.0271 |
| | | | 79/9/2 | 0.0390 | | | | 63/11/2 | 0.0469 |
| | | | 79/9/1 | 0.1758 | | | | 63/11/1 | 0.1117 |
| | | | 79/2 | 0.0040 | | | | 63/10 | 0.0584 |
| | | | 79/10/1 | 0.0536 | | | | 64/15 | 0.0035 |
| | | | 79/10/2 | 0.0021 | | | | 64/6 | 0.2311 |
| | | | 79/1 | 0.1969 | | | | 64/7/2 | 0.0016 |
| | | | 80/5 | 0.0333 | | | | 64/7/1 | 0.0039 |
| | | | 74/21 | 0.0065 | | | | 64/4/2 | 0.0823 |
| | | | 73/25 | 0.1599 | | | | 64/5/2 | 0.0216 |
| | | | कच्चा रास्ता | 0.0248 | | | | कच्चा रास्ता | 0.0275 |
| | | | 73/24 | 0.1811 | | | | 64/4/1 | 0.1385 |
| | | | 73/23 | 0.0501 | | | | कच्चा रास्ता | 0.0283 |
| | | | कच्चा रास्ता | 0.0514 | | | | 64/4/3 | 0.0348 |
| | | | 73/17 | 0.0138 | | | | 64/3 | 0.0494 |
| | | | 73/18 | 0.1240 | | | | कच्चा रास्ता | 0.0276 |
| | | | 73/19 | 0.1928 | | | | 48/24 | 0.0013 |
| | | | 73/12 | 0.0267 | | | | 48/23 | 0.1567 |
| | | | 73/11/1 | 0.0140 | | | | 48/22 | 0.0398 |
| | | | 73/11/2 | 0.0793 | | | | कुल | 12.4980 |

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|--------|--------|-----------------|---------|--------|--------|--------|----------|-------------------|--------|
| सोनीपत | सोनीपत | हस्सनपुर | 36/22 | 0.1644 | सोनीपत | सोनीपत | हस्सनपुर | 25/25 | 0.0613 |
| | | | 36/19 | 0.0812 | | | | 24/20/2 | 0.0031 |
| | | | 36/21/1 | 0.0005 | | | | 25/16 | 0.1880 |
| | | | 36/20/2 | 0.0190 | | | | 25/17 | 0.1526 |
| | | | 36/20/1 | 0.1714 | | | | 25/14 | 0.0767 |
| | | | 36/11 | 0.0506 | | | | 25/18 | 0.0041 |
| | | कच्चा रास्ता | | 0.0065 | | | | 25/13/2 | 0.1332 |
| | | 37/16 | | 0.0001 | | | | 25/13/1 | 0.0804 |
| | | 37/15 | | 0.2035 | | | | 25/12 | 0.1345 |
| | | 37/6/3 | | 0.0507 | | | | 25/9 | 0.0925 |
| | | 37/14 | | 0.0053 | | | | 25/11 | 0.0018 |
| | | 37/7 | | 0.2306 | | | | 25/10/2 | 0.1935 |
| | | 37/8/2 | | 0.0021 | | | | 25/10/1 | 0.0267 |
| | | 37/8/1 | | 0.0280 | | | | 25/1 | 0.0000 |
| | | 37/4 | | 0.0141 | | | | आस्फाल्टेड रास्ता | 0.0235 |
| | | 37/3 | | 0.2221 | | | | 26/6/2 | 0.0011 |
| | | 37/2 | | 0.0538 | | | | 26/6/1 | 0.0893 |
| | | कच्चा रास्ता | | 0.0200 | | | | 26/7 | 0.0000 |
| | | कच्चा रास्ता | | 0.0202 | | | | 26/5/2 | 0.1075 |
| | | 34/23 | | 0.0000 | | | | 26/5/1 | 0.0048 |
| | | 34/22 | | 0.1564 | | | | 26/4 | 0.2255 |
| | | 34/21 | | 0.1260 | | | | 26/3/2 | 0.0247 |
| | | 34/20 | | 0.1147 | | | | 26/3/1 | 0.0718 |
| | | कच्चा रास्ता | | 0.0151 | | | | 19/24/1 | 0.0011 |
| | | कच्चा रास्ता | | 0.0151 | | | | 19/23 | 0.1229 |
| | | 33/16 | | 0.1529 | | | | 19/22 | 0.2198 |
| | | कच्चा रास्ता | | 0.0135 | | | | 19/19 | 0.0025 |
| | | कच्चा रास्ता | | 0.0015 | | | | 19/21 | 0.0832 |
| | | 33/15 | | 0.0704 | | | | 19/20 | 0.1422 |
| | | 33/14 | | 0.2048 | | | | कच्चा रास्ता | 0.0066 |
| | | 33/17 | | 0.0003 | | | | 18/16 | 0.2083 |
| | | 33/7 | | 0.0463 | | | | 18/15 | 0.0097 |
| | | कच्चा रास्ता | | 0.0053 | | | | 18/17 | 0.0584 |
| | | 33/13 | | 0.0027 | | | | 18/14 | 0.1639 |
| | | 33/8 | | 0.2136 | | | | 18/13/2 | 0.1097 |
| | | 33/3 | | 0.0587 | | | | 18/13/1 | 0.1028 |
| | | 33/9 | | 0.0038 | | | | 18/8 | 0.0111 |
| | | 33/2 | | 0.2160 | | | | फिल्ड चैनल | 0.0067 |
| | | 33/1 | | 0.0241 | | | | 18/12 | 0.0509 |
| | | फिल्ड चैनल | | 0.0098 | | | | 18/9 | 0.1172 |
| | | 24/22 | | 0.0160 | | | | 18/10 | 0.1530 |
| | | 24/21/2 | | 0.0653 | | | | 18/11/1 | 0.0357 |
| | | आस्फाल्ट रास्ता | | 0.0238 | | | | लाइन्ड केनाल | 0.0302 |
| | | 24/21/1 | | 0.1324 | | | | कुल | 6.3651 |

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|--------|-------|-----|-------------------|--------|--------|-------|-----|----------------------|--------|
| सोनीपत | गन्ौर | भाग | कच्चा रस्ता/100 | 0.0549 | सोनीपत | गन्ौर | भाग | 69/25 | 0.1192 |
| | | | 64/15/2 | 0.0867 | | | | 69/16/2 | 0.0740 |
| | | | 64/16/1 | 0.0925 | | | | 69/24 | 0.1162 |
| | | | 64/16/2 | 0.0173 | | | | 69/17/3 | 0.0779 |
| | | | 64/17/1 | 0.0705 | | | | 69/23 | 0.1202 |
| | | | 64/14/2 | 0.0035 | | | | 69/18 | 0.0812 |
| | | | 64/17/2 | 0.1374 | | | | 69/22 | 0.0319 |
| | | | 64/18 | 0.2144 | | | | 69/19 | 0.2234 |
| | | | 64/19 | 0.1641 | | | | 69/20 | 0.0476 |
| | | | 64/22/1 | 0.0472 | | | | 69/12 | 0.0070 |
| | | | 64/20 | 0.2064 | | | | 69/11 | 0.2012 |
| | | | 64/21 | 0.0042 | | | | 70/15 | 0.0744 |
| | | | 65/16/2 | 0.0304 | | | | 69/10 | 0.0010 |
| | | | 65/16/1 | 0.0043 | | | | 70/6 | 0.1856 |
| | | | NH-1 (जी.टी. रोड) | 0.1868 | | | | 70/7/1 | 0.0992 |
| | | | 65/24 | 0.1992 | | | | 70/7/2 | 0.0010 |
| | | | 65/23/2 | 0.0983 | | | | 70/4 | 0.1652 |
| | | | 65/23/1 | 0.1003 | | | | 55/24 | 0.0000 |
| | | | 65/22 | 0.1984 | | | | 70/3/3 | 0.0854 |
| | | | कच्चा रस्ता | 0.0101 | | | | 55/23 | 0.1736 |
| | | | 66/21 | 0.1916 | | | | 55/22 | 0.1344 |
| | | | 66/25 | 0.2111 | | | | 55/19 | 0.1238 |
| | | | कच्चा रस्ता | 0.0101 | | | | 55/20/1 | 0.0363 |
| | | | 66/24 | 0.1882 | | | | आस्फाल्टेड रस्ता-110 | 0.0367 |
| | | | 66/23 | 0.1980 | | | | 55/20/2 | 0.1002 |
| | | | 66/22 | 0.1960 | | | | 55/11 | 0.0793 |
| | | | 66/21 | 0.2101 | | | | 54/16 | 0.0001 |
| | | | 67/25 | 0.1887 | | | | 54/15 | 0.2025 |
| | | | 67/24 | 0.2089 | | | | 54/6 | 0.0900 |
| | | | मेटल रस्ता | 0.0180 | | | | 54/7/1 | 0.0199 |
| | | | 67/23 | 0.1780 | | | | 54/7/2 | 0.1668 |
| | | | 67/22 | 0.2040 | | | | 54/4/1 | 0.0456 |
| | | | 67/21 | 0.1919 | | | | 54/8 | 0.0099 |
| | | | 67/20 | 0.0028 | | | | 54/3/2 | 0.0629 |
| | | | 68/25 | 0.1847 | | | | कच्चा रस्ता | 0.0165 |
| | | | 68/16 | 0.0204 | | | | 54/3/1 | 0.1125 |
| | | | 68/24 | 0.1603 | | | | 54/26 | 0.0036 |
| | | | 68/17 | 0.0199 | | | | 54/2 | 0.2079 |
| | | | कच्चा रस्ता | 0.0497 | | | | 48/22/2 | 0.0080 |
| | | | 68/18 | 0.0039 | | | | 54/1 | 0.0823 |
| | | | 68/23 | 0.1648 | | | | 48/21 | 0.1322 |
| | | | 68/22 | 0.1458 | | | | 53/5 | 0.0000 |
| | | | 68/19 | 0.0584 | | | | 49/25 | 0.1992 |
| | | | 68/21/1 | 0.1145 | | | | कच्चा रस्ता | 0.0107 |
| | | | 68/20 | 0.0637 | | | | 49/24/2 | 0.1606 |
| | | | कच्चा रस्ता | 0.0352 | | | | 49/17/1 | 0.0128 |

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|--------|--------|--------|----------------------|---------|--------|--------|--------|------------------------------|--------|
| सोनीपत | गन्नीर | भगान | 49/17/2 | 0.0280 | सोनीपत | गन्नीर | राजपुर | 57/15/2 | 0.0751 |
| | | | 49/24/1 | 0.0110 | | | | 57/14/2 | 0.0361 |
| | | | 49/23 | 0.0349 | | | | 57/14/1 | 0.1657 |
| | | | 49/18 | 0.1764 | | | | 57/13 | 0.2074 |
| | | | 49/19 | 0.1996 | | | | 57/12 | 0.1588 |
| | | | 49/26 | 0.0110 | | | | 57/9 | 0.0106 |
| | | | 49/12 | 0.0005 | | | | 57/11 | 0.1625 |
| | | | 49/20 | 0.1215 | | | | 57/10 | 0.0638 |
| | | | 49/11/2 | 0.0825 | | | | 56/15 | 0.1003 |
| | | | कच्चा रास्ता | 0.0105 | | | | 56/14 | 0.0135 |
| | | | 50/16/1 | 0.0062 | | | | 56/6 | 0.1075 |
| | | | 50/15 | 0.2065 | | | | 56/7 | 0.2070 |
| | | | 50/14 | 0.1974 | | | | 56/8 | 0.0398 |
| | | | 50/13 | 0.0702 | | | | 56/3 | 0.0174 |
| | | | 50/7 | 0.0144 | | | | आस्फाल्टेड रास्ता | 0.0445 |
| | | | 50/8 | 0.1338 | | | | 46/21 | 0.0020 |
| | | | 50/12 | 0.0000 | | | | 47/25 | 0.1417 |
| | | | 50/9 | 0.1091 | | | | 47/16 | 0.0222 |
| | | | कुल | 10.4985 | | | | 47/24 | 0.0201 |
| | | राजपुर | 61/9 | 0.1055 | | | | 47/17 | 0.1135 |
| | | | 61/10 | 0.1620 | | | | 47/18 | 0.0140 |
| | | | 61/1/2 | 0.0059 | | | | आस्फाल्टेड रास्ता | 0.0611 |
| | | | 61/1/1 | 0.0482 | | | | 48/5 | 0.1284 |
| | | | 62/6/1 | 0.0242 | | | | 48/4 | 0.0267 |
| | | | 62/5/2 | 0.1867 | | | | 34/25 | 0.0051 |
| | | | 62/4 | 0.2081 | | | | आस्फाल्टेड रास्ता | 0.0430 |
| | | | 62/3/2 | 0.0629 | | | | 34/24 | 0.1480 |
| | | | 62/3/1 | 0.0410 | | | | 34/23 | 0.1909 |
| | | | 59/24 | 0.0024 | | | | 34/18 | 0.0306 |
| | | | 62/2 | 0.0068 | | | | डब्ल्यू. बी. (फिल्ड चैनल) | 0.0018 |
| | | | 59/23 | 0.1052 | | | | 34/22 | 0.0995 |
| | | | 59/22 | 0.2176 | | | | 34/19 | 0.0963 |
| | | | 59/21 | 0.1474 | | | | 34/21 | 0.0620 |
| | | | 58/25 | 0.0092 | | | | 34/20 | 0.0749 |
| | | | 59/20 | 0.0696 | | | | बी.जी. रेलवे | 0.1590 |
| | | | 58/16 | 0.1878 | | | | 33/16/2 | 0.1084 |
| | | | जे.आर. डीस्टीब्यूटरी | 0.0639 | | | | 33/16/1 | 0.0213 |
| | | | 58/17 | 0.1297 | | | | 33/17 | 0.2046 |
| | | | 58/14 | 0.0434 | | | | 33/18 | 0.1884 |
| | | | 58/18 | 0.0506 | | | | 33/13 | 0.0511 |
| | | | 58/13 | 0.1499 | | | | 33/19/1 | 0.0077 |
| | | | 58/19 | 0.0062 | | | | 33/12 | 0.2272 |
| | | | 58/12 | 0.1960 | | | | 33/9/2 | 0.0099 |
| | | | 58/11 | 0.1982 | | | | 33/11 | 0.0439 |
| | | | कच्चा रास्ता | 0.0112 | | | | 33/10/1 | 0.0197 |
| | | | 57/15/1 | 0.1177 | | | | 33/10/2 | 0.0513 |

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|--------|--------|------------|--------------|--------|--------|--------|----------|--------------|--------|
| सोनीपत | गन्नौर | पांची, जतन | 13/21/2 | 0.0776 | सोनीपत | गन्नौर | उदेसीपुर | 43/22 | 0.0351 |
| | | (जारी) | 40/1/1 | 0.0004 | | | | 43/21 | 0.0244 |
| | | | 13/21/1 | 0.0618 | | | | नला | 0.0334 |
| | | | 14/25/2 | 0.0281 | | | | 27/15 | 0.1393 |
| | | | 14/25/1 | 0.0052 | | | | 27/6 | 0.0363 |
| | | | कुल | 3.3527 | | | | 27/14 | 0.0086 |
| | | उदेसीपुर | 62/21 | 0.0170 | | | | 27/7 | 0.1775 |
| | | | 62/25 | 0.1027 | | | | कच्चा रास्ता | 0.0041 |
| | | | 61/16/2 | 0.0889 | | | | 27/8 | 0.0294 |
| | | | 61/16/1 | 0.0537 | | | | 27/4 | 0.0181 |
| | | | 29 | 0.0004 | | | | 27/3 | 0.2197 |
| | | | 61/17/2 | 0.0046 | | | | 27/2 | 0.0626 |
| | | | 61/17/1 | 0.0793 | | | | 25/23 | 0.0031 |
| | | | 61/17/3 | 0.0321 | | | | 25/22 | 0.1895 |
| | | | 61/14/3 | 0.0208 | | | | 25/21 | 0.1078 |
| | | | 61/14/2 | 0.1183 | | | | 25/20 | 0.1444 |
| | | | 61/14/1 | 0.0263 | | | | 24/16 | 0.1560 |
| | | | 61/13/2 | 0.0009 | | | | 24/15 | 0.0939 |
| | | | 61/13/1 | 0.0925 | | | | 24/14 | 0.2009 |
| | | | 61/8 | 0.1289 | | | | 24/13 | 0.0056 |
| | | | 61/8 | 0.0503 | | | | 24/7 | 0.0534 |
| | | | 61/9 | 0.0684 | | | | 24/8 | 0.2233 |
| | | | कच्चा रास्ता | 0.0113 | | | | 24/9 | 0.0236 |
| | | | 61/3/2 | 0.0010 | | | | 24/3 | 0.0232 |
| | | | 61/2 | 0.2047 | | | | 24/2 | 0.2228 |
| | | | 61/1 | 0.0532 | | | | 24/1 | 0.0540 |
| | | | 46/22 | 0.0048 | | | | कच्चा रास्ता | 0.0440 |
| | | | 46/21 | 0.2168 | | | | 14/22 | 0.0010 |
| | | | 46/20/2 | 0.0114 | | | | 14/21 | 0.1525 |
| | | | 47/25 | 0.0373 | | | | 15/25 | 0.1032 |
| | | | 47/16 | 0.2233 | | | | 15/16 | 0.1552 |
| | | | 47/17 | 0.0242 | | | | 15/17 | 0.1451 |
| | | | 47/15 | 0.0209 | | | | 15/14 | 0.1070 |
| | | | 47/14/2 | 0.1086 | | | | 15/13 | 0.1901 |
| | | | 47/14/1 | 0.1155 | | | | 15/12 | 0.0032 |
| | | | 47/13 | 0.0139 | | | | 15/8 | 0.0620 |
| | | | 47/7/3 | 0.0332 | | | | 15/9 | 0.2022 |
| | | | 47/8/5 | 0.0317 | | | | ड्रेन | 0.0178 |
| | | | 47/8/4 | 0.0318 | | | | 15/2 | 0.0289 |
| | | | 47/8/3 | 0.0054 | | | | 15/10 | 0.0184 |
| | | | 47/8/2 | 0.0275 | | | | 15/1 | 0.2062 |
| | | | 47/8/1 | 0.1230 | | | | 10/21 | 0.0026 |
| | | | 47/9 | 0.0065 | | | | कच्चा रास्ता | 0.0227 |
| | | | 47/3 | 0.0482 | | | | 16/5 | 0.0477 |
| | | | 47/2 | 0.2088 | | | | 9/25 | 0.2064 |
| | | | 47/1 | 0.0018 | | | | 9/24 | 0.0862 |

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|--------|--------|----------|--------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|-------------------|--------|
| सोनीपत | गन्नौर | उदेसीपुर | 9/16 | 0.0002 | सोनीपत | गन्नौर | भदेत | 25/17/2 | 0.0357 |
| | | | 9/17 | 0.1661 | | | | 25/16 | 0.2172 |
| | | | 9/18 | 0.1343 | | | | 25/25 | 0.0220 |
| | | | 9/13 | 0.1178 | | | | आस्फाल्टेड रास्ता | 0.0380 |
| | | | 9/12 | 0.1810 | | | | 24/20/2 | 0.0138 |
| | | | 9/11 | 0.0015 | | | | 24/21 | 0.1449 |
| | | | 9/9 | 0.0711 | | | | 24/22 | 0.0164 |
| | | | 9/10 | 0.2147 | | | | कुल | 2.6471 |
| | | | 9/1 | 0.0413 | | | पुरखास | 70/24 | 0.0649 |
| | | | 8/6 | 0.0095 | | | | 70/23 | 0.0024 |
| | | | 8/5 | 0.2195 | | | | 70/17 | 0.0614 |
| | | | 8/4 | 0.0085 | | | | 70/18 | 0.1982 |
| | | | 7/25 | 0.0435 | | | | 70/19 | 0.0011 |
| | | | 7/24 | 0.1821 | | | | 70/13/2 | 0.0661 |
| | | | 7/17 | 0.0005 | | | | 70/13/1 | 0.0028 |
| | | | कुल | 7.9338 | | | | 70/12 | 0.2067 |
| | भदेत | | कच्चा रास्ता | 0.0251 | | | | 70/11/1 | 0.0051 |
| | | | 16/7 | 0.0242 | | | | 70/9 | 0.0517 |
| | | | 16/6 | 0.0031 | | | | 70/10 | 0.1876 |
| | | | कच्चा रास्ता | 0.0133 | | | | 70/1/2 | 0.0316 |
| | | | 16/15 | 0.0490 | | | | फिल्ड चैनल | 0.0159 |
| | | | 17/11/2 | 0.1224 | | | | कच्चा रास्ता | 0.0319 |
| | | | 17/20 | 0.1088 | | | | 71/6 | 0.0016 |
| | | | 17/19 | 0.2169 | | | | 71/5/2 | 0.0193 |
| | | | 17/22 | 0.0142 | | | | 71/5/1 | 0.1488 |
| | | | 17/1 8/2 | 0.0286 | | | | 64/25 | 0.0856 |
| | | | 17/18/1 | 0.0134 | | | | 71/4 | 0.0001 |
| | | | 17/23 | 0.1892 | | | | 64/24 | 0.1719 |
| | | | 17/24 | 0.1608 | | | | 64/17 | 0.0921 |
| | | | 17/25 | 0.0035 | | | | 64/18 | 0.1236 |
| | | | 26/4/1 | 0.0448 | | | | आस्फाल्टेड रास्ता | 0.0397 |
| | | | 26/4/2 | 0.0255 | | | | 64/13 | 0.1144 |
| | | | 26/5/1 | 0.0252 | | | | कच्चा रास्ता | 0.0171 |
| | | | 26/5/2 | 0.2004 | | | | फिल्ड चैनल | 0.0164 |
| | | | 26/6 | 0.0033 | | | | 64/12 | 0.1268 |
| | | | डिस्ट्री | 0.0362 | | | | 64/9 | 0.1062 |
| | | | 25/1 | 0.0450 | | | | 64/10 | 0.1601 |
| | | | 25/10 | 0.1487 | | | | 64/1 | 0.1131 |
| | | | 25/9/1 | 0.0386 | | | | 63/5 | 0.1541 |
| | | | 25/9/2 | 0.1523 | | | | 49/25 | 0.1208 |
| | | | 25/12 | 0.0402 | | | | 49/24/2 | 0.1432 |
| | | | 25/8 | 0.0153 | | | | 49/24/1 | 0.0082 |
| | | | 25/13 | 0.2159 | | | | 49/17/1 | 0.0266 |
| | | | 25/14 | 0.1116 | | | | 49/17/2 | 0.0824 |
| | | | 25/17/1 | 0.0839 | | | | 49/18 | 0.1639 |

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|--------|--------|-------------------|-------|--------|--------|--------|-------------------|--------------|--------|
| सोनीपत | गन्नीर | पुरखास (जारी)– | 49/13 | 0.1112 | सोनीपत | गन्नीर | पुरखास (जारी)– | 14/11 | 0.0035 |
| | | | 49/12 | 0.1579 | | | | 13/16 | 0.0345 |
| | | | 49/11 | 0.0021 | | | | 13/15 | 0.2202 |
| | | | 49/9 | 0.1091 | | | | 13/14 | 0.0089 |
| | | | 49/10 | 0.1667 | | | | 13/6 | 0.0473 |
| | | कच्चा रास्ता | | 0.0085 | | | | 13/7/1 | 0.1924 |
| | | 49/1/1 | | 0.0943 | | | | 13/4 | 0.1131 |
| | | 50/5 | | 0.1683 | | | | कच्चा रास्ता | 0.0138 |
| | | 39/25 | | 0.1105 | | | | 13/3 | 0.1152 |
| | | 39/24 | | 0.1280 | | | | 4/23/2 | 0.1345 |
| | | 39/17 | | 0.1744 | | | | 4/23/1 | 0.0400 |
| | | 39/19 | | 0.0660 | | | | 4/22 | 0.0617 |
| | | कच्चा रास्ता | | 0.0051 | | | | 4/18/2 | 0.0031 |
| | | 39/14 | | 0.0015 | | | | 4/19 | 0.2014 |
| | | कच्चा रास्ता | | 0.0094 | | | | 4/20 | 0.0055 |
| | | 39/13 | | 0.1613 | | | | 4/12/2 | 0.0480 |
| | | 39/12 | | 0.0515 | | | | 4/11 | 0.2179 |
| | | कच्चा रास्ता | | 0.0104 | | | | 4/10/2 | 0.0729 |
| | | 39/8 | | 0.0031 | | | | फिल्ड चैनल | 0.0057 |
| | | 39/9 | | 0.2385 | | | | 5/15 | 0.0002 |
| | | 39/10 | | 0.0027 | | | | 5/6 | 0.1681 |
| | | 39/2 | | 0.0632 | | | | 5/5 | 0.1467 |
| | | 39/1 | | 0.1818 | | | | 5/4 | 0.0999 |
| | | 24/21 | | 0.0977 | | | | 2/24 | 0.0459 |
| | | फिल्ड चैनल | | 0.0178 | | | | फिल्ड चैनल | 0.0058 |
| | | 25/25 | | 0.1293 | | | | कुल | 8.6492 |
| | | 25/16/2 | | 0.0987 | सोनीपत | गन्नीर | सींगखेरा | 50/24 | 0.1333 |
| | | 25/16/1 | | 0.0762 | | | | 50/23 | 0.0318 |
| | | 25/17/2 | | 0.0191 | | | | 50/17 | 0.0202 |
| | | 25/17/1 | | 0.0491 | | | | 50/18 | 0.2150 |
| | | 25/15 | | 0.0014 | | | | 50/19/1 | 0.0086 |
| | | 25/14 | | 0.2083 | | | | 50/13 | 0.0462 |
| | | 25/13 | | 0.0223 | | | | 50/12/2 | 0.1225 |
| | | 25/7 | | 0.0234 | | | | 50/12/1 | 0.0767 |
| | | 25/8 | | 0.1742 | | | | कच्चा रास्ता | 0.0080 |
| | | 25/3 | | 0.1167 | | | | 50/9 | 0.0945 |
| | | 25/2/1 | | 0.0510 | | | | कच्चा रास्ता | 0.0192 |
| | | आस्फाल्टेड रास्ता | | 0.0303 | | | | 50/10 | 0.1053 |
| | | फिल्ड चैनल | | 0.0120 | | | | 50/1 | 0.1916 |
| | | 25/2 | | 0.0706 | | | | 51/5/2 | 0.0434 |
| | | 14/22 | | 0.1410 | | | | कच्चा रास्ता | 0.0091 |
| | | फिल्ड चैनल | | 0.0049 | | | | 49/21 | 0.0066 |
| | | 14/21/2 | | 0.0091 | | | | कच्चा रास्ता | 0.0040 |
| | | 14/21/1 | | 0.1010 | | | | 48/25 | 0.2180 |
| | | 14/20 | | 0.1711 | | | | 48/24 | 0.0106 |
| | | कच्चा रास्ता | | 0.0288 | | | | 48/16 | 0.0342 |

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|--------|--------|-----------------|---------|--------|--------|--------|----------|--------------|--------|
| सोनीपत | गन्नीर | सींगखेरा | 48/17 | 0.2050 | सोनीपत | गन्नीर | सींगखेरा | कच्चा रास्ता | 0.0154 |
| | | (जारी)– | 48/14/1 | 0.0916 | | | (जारी)– | 20/18 | 0.1562 |
| | | | 48/13/2 | 0.0444 | | | | 20/19 | 0.0922 |
| | | | 48/13/1 | 0.1104 | | | | 20/12 | 0.2014 |
| | | | 48/8 | 0.1566 | | | | 20/11 | 0.0355 |
| | | | 48/9 | 0.0857 | | | | 20/9 | 0.0131 |
| | | | 48/3 | 0.0000 | | | | 20/10 | 0.2090 |
| | | | 48/2/2 | 0.0440 | | | | 20/1 | 0.0302 |
| | | | 48/2/1 | 0.1631 | | | | कच्चा रास्ता | 0.0257 |
| | | | 48/1 | 0.0331 | | | | 21/6 | 0.0066 |
| | | कच्चा रास्ता | | 0.0090 | | | | 21/5 | 0.2270 |
| | | 36/22/1 | | 0.0125 | | | | 15/25 | 0.0013 |
| | | 36/21 | | 0.2041 | | | | 21/4/2 | 0.0694 |
| | | 36/20 | | 0.0383 | | | | 21/4/1 | 0.0156 |
| | | कच्चा रास्ता | | 0.0243 | | | | 15/24 | 0.1691 |
| | | 37/25/2 | | 0.0043 | | | | 15/23 | 0.1692 |
| | | 37/16 | | 0.1820 | | | | कच्चा रास्ता | 0.0263 |
| | | 37/15/4 | | 0.0000 | | | | 15/22 | 0.0230 |
| | | 37/15/3 | | 0.0013 | | | | 15/18/2 | 0.0178 |
| | | 37/15/2 | | 0.0032 | | | | कच्चा रास्ता | 0.0028 |
| | | 37/15/1 | | 0.1263 | | | | 15/19/1 | 0.0854 |
| | | 37/14/3 | | 0.1225 | | | | 15/19/2 | 0.1075 |
| | | कच्चा रास्ता | | 0.0118 | | | | 15/20 | 0.1474 |
| | | 37/7 | | 0.1702 | | | | 15/11 | 0.0859 |
| | | 37/8/1 | | 0.0458 | | | | 14/16 | 0.0018 |
| | | 37/4 | | 0.0077 | | | | 14/15 | 0.2176 |
| | | 37/3/2 | | 0.0143 | | | | 14/14/2 | 0.0498 |
| | | 37/3/1 | | 0.2088 | | | | 14/14/1 | 0.0669 |
| | | 37/2/2 | | 0.0107 | | | | 14/7 | 0.1225 |
| | | 32/23 | | 0.0390 | | | | कच्चा रास्ता | 0.0122 |
| | | 32/22 | | 0.1987 | | | | 14/8/2 | 0.0485 |
| | | 32/21 | | 0.0003 | | | | कच्चा रास्ता | 0.0184 |
| | | 32/19 | | 0.0839 | | | | 14/8/1 | 0.1481 |
| | | 32/20 | | 0.1550 | | | | 14/9/1 | 0.0602 |
| | | 32/11/2 | | 0.1231 | | | | 14/3 | 0.0078 |
| | | 31/15/2 | | 0.0016 | | | | 14/2 | 0.1533 |
| | | आस्फाल्ट रास्ता | | 0.0256 | | | | 14/1 | 0.1777 |
| | | 32/11/1 | | 0.0252 | | | | 7/21 | 0.0364 |
| | | 31/15/1 | | 0.0830 | | | | कच्चा रास्ता | 0.0137 |
| | | 31/6 | | 0.1831 | | | | 13/5 | 0.0162 |
| | | कच्चा रास्ता | | 0.0091 | | | | 8/25/2 | 0.1578 |
| | | 31/5 | | 0.0588 | | | | 8/25/1 | 0.0480 |
| | | 31/4 | | 0.1986 | | | | 8/25/26 | 0.0109 |
| | | 20/24 | | 0.0958 | | | | 8/24 | 0.1272 |
| | | कच्चा रास्ता | | 0.0146 | | | | 8/17/2 | 0.0995 |
| | | 20/23 | | 0.1120 | | | | 8/23 | 0.0004 |

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|--------|--------|------------------------|---------|---------|--------|--------|--------------|-------------------------|--------|
| सोनीपत | गन्नौर | सींगखेरा | 8/18 | 0.2218 | सोनीपत | गन्नौर | मोहम्मदपुर | 15/7 | 0.0111 |
| | | (जारी)– | 8/19 | 0.0639 | | | माजरा(जारी)– | 15/13 | 0.0334 |
| | | | 8/13 | 0.0065 | | | | 15/8 | 0.2068 |
| | | | 8/12 | 0.1659 | | | | 15/3 | 0.0000 |
| | | | 8/11 | 0.1742 | | | | 15/9 | 0.0917 |
| | | कच्चा रास्ता | | 0.0276 | | | | 15/2 | 0.1563 |
| | | 8/10/2 | | 0.0390 | | | | 15/1 | 0.1533 |
| | | 9/15 | | 0.0131 | | | | 12/21 | 0.0947 |
| | | 9/6 | | 0.1978 | | | | 11/25 | 0.2049 |
| | | 9/7 | | 0.1345 | | | | कच्चा रास्ता | 0.0149 |
| | | कच्चा रास्ता | | 0.0299 | | | | 11/24 | 0.0101 |
| | | 9/4 | | 0.0676 | | | | 11/16 | 0.0335 |
| | | 9/8 | | 0.0879 | | | | 11/17 | 0.2211 |
| | | कच्चा रास्ता | | 0.0080 | | | | 11/18 | 0.0401 |
| | | 9/3/2 | | 0.1398 | | | | 11/14 | 0.0116 |
| | | 9/3/1 | | 0.0605 | | | | 11/13/2 | 0.0241 |
| | | कच्चा रास्ता | | 0.0104 | | | | 11/13/1 | 0.1856 |
| | | कच्चा रास्ता | | 0.0054 | | | | 11/8 | 0.0002 |
| | | 2/23 | | 0.0026 | | | | 11/12 | 0.0880 |
| | | 9/2 | | 0.0847 | | | | 11/9/2 | 0.1761 |
| | | 2/22/2 | | 0.0854 | | | | 11/9/1 | 0.0007 |
| | | 2/22/1 | | 0.0540 | | | | 11/10/2 | 0.0890 |
| | | 2/21 | | 0.2102 | | | | 11/10/1 | 0.0764 |
| | | 2/20 | | 0.0241 | | | | 11/1 | 0.0549 |
| | | 1/25 | | 0.1558 | | | | 203/कच्चा रास्ता | 0.0204 |
| | | 1/16 | | 0.0405 | | | | 10/5 | 0.0165 |
| | | 1/24 | | 0.1517 | | | | 10/6 | 0.0462 |
| | | सरधाना डिस्ट्रीब्यूटरी | | 0.0722 | | | | दिल्ली ब्रान्च वेस्टर्न | 0.3463 |
| | | 1/23 | | 0.1266 | | | | यमुना केनाल | |
| | | 10/3 | | 0.0078 | | | | 76/कच्चा रास्ता | 0.0180 |
| | | कुल | | 10.8543 | | | | 10/8 | 0.1668 |
| सोनीपत | गन्नौर | मोहम्मदपुर | 14/23 | 0.0349 | | | | 10/9 | 0.2433 |
| | | माजरा | 28/3 | 0.0109 | | | | 10/10 | 0.0363 |
| | | | 28/2 | 0.0580 | | | | 10/1 | 0.1963 |
| | | | 14/22 | 0.1738 | | | | 10/2 | 0.0129 |
| | | | 14/21 | 0.1547 | | | | 235/कच्चा रास्ता | 0.0213 |
| | | | 14/20 | 0.0930 | | | | 6/21 | 0.0728 |
| | | | 15/16/2 | 0.1628 | | | | 7/25 | 0.1486 |
| | | | 15/16/1 | 0.0433 | | | | 7/16 | 0.1642 |
| | | | 15/17/1 | 0.0047 | | | | 7/17 | 0.0661 |
| | | | 15/17/2 | 0.0010 | | | | 7/15 | 0.0022 |
| | | | 15/15/1 | 0.0234 | | | | 7/14 | 0.2113 |
| | | | 15/15/2 | 0.0075 | | | | 7/13 | 0.0069 |
| | | 96/ड्रेन | | 0.0206 | | | | 7/8 | 0.0138 |
| | | 15/14 | | 0.2152 | | | | 7/7 | 0.0436 |
| | | कच्चा रास्ता | | 0.0144 | | | | कुल | 4.8501 |

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|--------|--------|-------|-------------------------|--------|--------|--------|----------|-------------------|--------|
| सोनीपत | गन्नीर | तेवडी | 60/8 | 0.1637 | सोनीपत | गन्नीर | तेवडी | 28/8 | 0.1887 |
| | | | 60/3 | 0.1199 | | | (जारी) - | 28/3 | 0.1472 |
| | | | 60/2 | 0.1658 | | | | 28/2/2 | 0.0607 |
| | | | 60/1 | 0.0042 | | | | 19/23 | 0.0044 |
| | | | 58/21 | 0.1067 | | | | 28/2/1 | 0.0021 |
| | | | 58/22 | 0.0673 | | | | 19/22 | 0.2044 |
| | | | मैनसवाल डिस्ट्रीब्यूटरी | 0.1146 | | | | 19/19 | 0.1241 |
| | | | 57/25 | 0.1008 | | | | 19/12 | 0.0006 |
| | | | 57/16 | 0.1641 | | | | 19/20 | 0.0865 |
| | | | 57/17/2 | 0.0015 | | | | 19/11/3 | 0.1278 |
| | | | 57/17/1 | 0.0872 | | | | 19/11/2 | 0.0558 |
| | | | 57/14 | 0.2043 | | | | 19/11/1 | 0.0233 |
| | | | 57/13 | 0.0225 | | | | 19/10 | 0.0803 |
| | | | 57/7 | 0.0225 | | | | कच्चा रास्ता | 0.0155 |
| | | | 57/8 | 0.2134 | | | | 18/6 | 0.1175 |
| | | | 57/9 | 0.0011 | | | | 18/4 | 0.0001 |
| | | | 57/3 | 0.0715 | | | | 18/5 | 0.2041 |
| | | | 57/2 | 0.1589 | | | | कच्चा रास्ता | 0.0091 |
| | | | कच्चा रास्ता | 0.0093 | | | | 11/25 | 0.0623 |
| | | | 44/22 | 0.1542 | | | | 11/24 | 0.1134 |
| | | | 44/21/1 | 0.0821 | | | | आस्फाल्टेड रास्ता | 0.0239 |
| | | | 44/20 | 0.2037 | | | | 11/17 | 0.2145 |
| | | | 44/11 | 0.0210 | | | | 11/18 | 0.0109 |
| | | | 45/16 | 0.0311 | | | | 11/14 | 0.0529 |
| | | | 45/15 | 0.2158 | | | | 11/13 | 0.1390 |
| | | | 45/14 | 0.0027 | | | | कच्चा रास्ता | 0.0143 |
| | | | 45/6 | 0.0551 | | | | 11/8 | 0.1827 |
| | | | 45/7 | 0.1768 | | | | 11/3 | 0.1636 |
| | | | 45/4 | 0.1431 | | | | ब्रेडन | 0.0441 |
| | | | 45/3 | 0.0985 | | | | 3/23 | 0.1302 |
| | | | 115 | 0.0395 | | | | कच्चा रास्ता | 0.0372 |
| | | | 38/23 | 0.1644 | | | | 3/22 | 0.0163 |
| | | | 38/22 | 0.0331 | | | | 3/19 | 0.0784 |
| | | | 38/18 | 0.0147 | | | | 3/18 | 0.0852 |
| | | | 38/19 | 0.2108 | | | | 3/12 | 0.0737 |
| | | | 38/12 | 0.1074 | | | | 3/13 | 0.0194 |
| | | | 38/11 | 0.1046 | | | | कुल | 7.6635 |
| | | | 38/10 | 0.1768 | | | | | |
| | | | डोमेय डिस्ट्रीब्यूटरी | 0.3594 | सोनीपत | गन्नीर | पुगथला | 101/12 | 0.0471 |
| | | | 28/25 | 0.0844 | | | | 101/9/2 | 0.0639 |
| | | | 28/24 | 0.0153 | | | | 101/9/1 | 0.1185 |
| | | | 28/16 | 0.0372 | | | | 101/2/2 | 0.1117 |
| | | | 28/17 | 0.1817 | | | | 101/2/1 | 0.0607 |
| | | | 28/14 | 0.1861 | | | | कच्चा रास्ता | 0.0093 |
| | | | 28/13 | 0.0301 | | | | 99/22 | 0.1991 |
| | | | 28/7 | 0.0204 | | | | 99/19 | 0.1533 |

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|--------|--------|--------|---------|--------|--------|--------|--------|------------------------------|--------|
| सोनीपत | गन्नीर | पुगधला | 99/20 | 0.0141 | सोनीपत | गन्नीर | पुगधला | 51/15 | 0.1939 |
| | | | 99/12 | 0.0905 | | | | 51/14/2 | 0.0043 |
| | | | 99/11 | 0.0867 | | | | 51/7/1 | 0.0249 |
| | | | 99/10 | 0.1554 | | | | 51/6/2 | 0.0594 |
| | | | 99/9 | 0.0461 | | | | आस्कारलेख रस्ता | 0.0271 |
| | | | 99/1 | 0.1963 | | | | 51/7/2 | 0.0638 |
| | | | 99/2 | 0.0002 | | | | 51/6/1 | 0.0010 |
| | | | 80/21 | 0.1844 | | | | 51/4/2/2 | 0.0963 |
| | | | 80/25 | 0.0012 | | | | 51/4/2/1/1 | 0.0898 |
| | | | 80/20 | 0.1162 | | | | 51/4/1 | 0.0037 |
| | | | 80/16/2 | 0.0132 | | | | 34/24/1 | 0.0296 |
| | | | 80/16/1 | 0.0500 | | | | 34/24/2 (आस्कारलेख रस्ता) | 0.0272 |
| | | | 81/15 | 0.1554 | | | | 34/24/3 | 0.1110 |
| | | | 80/11 | 0.0218 | | | | 24/25/1 | 0.0262 |
| | | | 81/16 | 0.2124 | | | | 34/25/2 | 0.0219 |
| | | | 81/5/1 | 0.1532 | | | | 34/16 | 0.0007 |
| | | | 81/5/2 | 0.0003 | | | | 34/17 | 0.2053 |
| | | | 81/4/1 | 0.0381 | | | | 34/14/1 | 0.1099 |
| | | | 76/24/2 | 0.0091 | | | | 34/13 | 0.0898 |
| | | | 129 | 0.0150 | | | | 34/7/2 | 0.0002 |
| | | | 76/25/1 | 0.0173 | | | | 34/8/1 | 0.1193 |
| | | | 76/24/1 | 0.1557 | | | | 34/13/2 | 0.0341 |
| | | | 76/17 | 0.1589 | | | | 34/8/2 | 0.0567 |
| | | | 76/14 | 0.1341 | | | | 34/3 | 0.1278 |
| | | | 76/13 | 0.0999 | | | | 34/2/2 | 0.0853 |
| | | | 76/7 | 0.0000 | | | | 25/23 | 0.0007 |
| | | | 76/8 | 0.2090 | | | | 25/22 | 0.2075 |
| | | | 199 | 0.0069 | | | | कच्चा रस्ता | 0.0211 |
| | | | 76/3/2 | 0.0027 | | | | 25/19 | 0.0981 |
| | | | 76/3/1 | 0.1052 | | | | 25/20 | 0.0884 |
| | | | 76/2 | 0.0869 | | | | 25/12 | 0.0001 |
| | | | 57/23/1 | 0.0012 | | | | 25/11/2 | 0.1382 |
| | | | 57/22 | 0.1983 | | | | 25/11/1 | 0.0674 |
| | | | 57/19/2 | 0.1374 | | | | 25/10 | 0.0961 |
| | | | 57/20 | 0.0218 | | | | कच्चा रस्ता | 0.0116 |
| | | | 150 | 0.0111 | | | | 24/6/2 | 0.0440 |
| | | | 57/19/1 | 0.0332 | | | | 24/6/1 | 0.0555 |
| | | | 57/12 | 0.0186 | | | | 24/5 | 0.2088 |
| | | | 57/11 | 0.1679 | | | | 24/4/2 | 0.0010 |
| | | | 57/10/3 | 0.0598 | | | | 11/25/2 | 0.0573 |
| | | | 57/10/2 | 0.0995 | | | | 11/25/1 | 0.0320 |
| | | | 57/10/1 | 0.0248 | | | | 11/24 | 0.1195 |
| | | | 57/1 | 0.1728 | | | | 11/17 | 0.0331 |
| | | | 58/5/1 | 0.0269 | | | | | |
| | | | 52/21 | 0.0474 | | | | | |
| | | | 51/25 | 0.1455 | | | | | |
| | | | 51/16/1 | 0.1607 | | | | | |
| | | | 51/16/2 | 0.0242 | | | | कुल | 7.5401 |

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|--------|--------|-------|------------|---------------|--------|--------|---------|-------------------|---------------|
| सोनीपत | सोनीपत | मनोली | 52/14 | 0.0641 | सोनीपत | सोनीपत | पञ्चसरा | 45/11 | 0.0822 |
| | | | 52/7/3 | 0.0265 | | | | 44/15 | 0.2093 |
| | | | 52/13/1 | 0.1270 | | | | 44/14/2 | 0.0588 |
| | | | 52/8/2 | 0.0793 | | | | 66 (पक्का रास्ता) | 0.0266 |
| | | | 52/12/1 | 0.1283 | | | | 44/14/1 | 0.1143 |
| | | | 52/9/2 | 0.0834 | | | | 44/13/2 | 0.0812 |
| | | | 52/11/2 | 0.0288 | | | | 44/13/1 | 0.1142 |
| | | | 52/10/2 | 0.0779 | | | | 44/12 | 0.1983 |
| | | | 52/11/1 | 0.0918 | | | | 44/11 | 0.1971 |
| | | | 51/15 | 0.1416 | | | | 43/15 | 0.0997 |
| | | | 51/6 | 0.0635 | | | | 43/14 | 0.0081 |
| | | | 51/14/2 | 0.0955 | | | | कुल | 1.1897 |
| | | | 51/14/1 | 0.0455 | | | | | |
| | | | 51/7 | 0.0616 | | | ठसपुर | 115 | 0.0920 |
| | | | 51/13 | 0.1442 | | | | 3/10 | 0.0006 |
| | | | 51/8 | 0.0633 | | | | 2/15 | 0.0668 |
| | | | 51/12 | 0.1340 | | | | 2/14 | 0.1778 |
| | | | 51/9 | 0.0593 | | | | 2/13 | 0.1967 |
| | | | 51/11 | 0.1373 | | | | 2/12 | 0.0571 |
| | | | 51/10 | 0.0339 | | | | कुल | 0.5910 |
| | | | 50/15 | 0.1756 | | | | | |
| | | | 50/6 | 0.0435 | | | जख्खडली | 118/12 | 0.1036 |
| | | | 50/14 | 0.1739 | | | | 118/11 | 0.2014 |
| | | | 50/7 | 0.0197 | | | | 119/15 | 0.2206 |
| | | | 50/13 | 0.1861 | | | | 119/14 | 0.1639 |
| | | | 50/8 | 0.0081 | | | | 119/13 | 0.2315 |
| | | | 50/12 | 0.2064 | | | | 119/12 | 0.1760 |
| | | | 50/9 | 0.0000 | | | | 119/11 | 0.2260 |
| | | | 50/11 | 0.2064 | | | | 120/15/2 | 0.0174 |
| | | | 49/15 | 0.1914 | | | | 120/15/1 | 0.1859 |
| | | | 49/14 | 0.2090 | | | | 120/14 | 0.1655 |
| | | | 49/13 | 0.1821 | | | | 120/7/2 | 0.0061 |
| | | | 354 | 0.0150 | | | | 120/7/1 | 0.0008 |
| | | | 49/12 | 0.2039 | | | | 120/13 | 0.1696 |
| | | | 49/11 | 0.2013 | | | | 120/8 | 0.0388 |
| | | | 48/15 | 0.2053 | | | | 421 | 0.0177 |
| | | | 48/14 | 0.2006 | | | | 120/12/2 | 0.0805 |
| | | | 48/13 | 0.1989 | | | | कच्चा रास्ता | 0.0268 |
| | | | 48/12/2 | 0.1138 | | | | 120/9 | 0.0783 |
| | | | 357 | 0.0415 | | | | 120/12/1 | 0.0332 |
| | | | 48/12/1 | 0.0672 | | | | 120/11 | 0.0773 |
| | | | 48/11/2 | 0.0780 | | | | 120/10 | 0.1088 |
| | | | 48/11/1 | 0.1143 | | | | 430 | 0.0252 |
| | | | 47/15/1 | 0.1863 | | | | कच्चा रास्ता | 0.0171 |
| | | | 47/14 | 0.2112 | | | | 121/6 | 0.1182 |
| | | | 47/13 | 0.1987 | | | | 121/15 | 0.0490 |
| | | | 47/12 | 0.2048 | | | | 121/14 | 0.0482 |
| | | | 47/11 | 0.1116 | | | | | |
| | | | 46/15 | 0.0005 | | | | | |
| | | | कुल | 5.6619 | | | | | |

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|--------|--------|--------|-------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|------------------|---------------|
| सोनीपत | सोनीपत | जजाडली | 121/7 | 0.1490 | सोनीपत | सोनीपत | जजाडली | 126/5 | 0.1269 |
| | | | 121/13 | 0.0058 | | | | 320 | 0.0269 |
| | | | 121/8 | 0.2032 | | | | 126/7 | 0.1036 |
| | | | 121/9 | 0.1972 | | | | 126/4 | 0.0302 |
| | | | 121/10 | 0.2002 | | | | 426 | 0.1164 |
| | | | 122/6 | 0.2179 | | | | 126/3 | 0.0004 |
| | | | 122/7/1 | 0.0951 | | | | 126/8 | 0.1757 |
| | | | 122/7/2 | 0.0138 | | | | 126/9 | 0.1695 |
| | | | 717 (कच्चा रस्ता) | 0.0424 | | | | 126/10 | 0.2094 |
| | | | 122/8/2 | 0.0122 | | | | 127/6 | 0.0476 |
| | | | 122/8/1 | 0.1326 | | | | कुल | 8.4463 |
| | | | 322 | 0.0486 | | | पटला | 13/6 | 0.1419 |
| | | | 122/9/1 | 0.0526 | | | | 13/7 | 0.2046 |
| | | | 122/9/2 | 0.1576 | | | | 13/8/1 | 0.1432 |
| | | | 122/10 | 0.2193 | | | | 13/8/2 | 0.0537 |
| | | | 122/1 | 0.0018 | | | | कच्चा रस्ता | 0.0153 |
| | | | 123/6 | 0.0805 | | | | 13/9 | 0.1973 |
| | | | 123/5 | 0.0163 | | | | 13/10/1 | 0.1117 |
| | | | 123/27 | 0.1893 | | | | 13/10/2 | 0.0485 |
| | | | 123/7 | 0.0920 | | | | 56/(कच्चा रस्ता) | 0.0363 |
| | | | 123/4/2 | 0.0380 | | | | 14/6 | 0.2052 |
| | | | 123/8 | 0.1087 | | | | 14/7 | 0.2019 |
| | | | 123/3 | 0.0674 | | | | 14/8 | 0.2075 |
| | | | 310/ रस्ता | 0.0230 | | | | 14/9 | 0.1988 |
| | | | 123/9 | 0.0995 | | | | 14/10 | 0.2081 |
| | | | 123/2 | 0.1047 | | | | 15/6 | 0.1967 |
| | | | 123/10 | 0.0745 | | | | 15/7 | 0.1913 |
| | | | 123/1 | 0.1314 | | | | 15/14 | 0.0017 |
| | | | 124/6 | 0.0330 | | | | 15/13 | 0.0002 |
| | | | 124/5 | 0.1520 | | | | 52 (कच्चा रस्ता) | 0.1235 |
| | | | कच्चा रस्ता | 0.0192 | | | | 15/13 | 0.0072 |
| | | | 124/7 | 0.0009 | | | | 15/8 | 0.1723 |
| | | | 124/4 | 0.2072 | | | | 15/12 | 0.0245 |
| | | | 124/3 | 0.1969 | | | | 15/9 | 0.1638 |
| | | | 124/2 | 0.1955 | | | | 15/11 | 0.0324 |
| | | | 124/1 | 0.1993 | | | | 15/10 | 0.1394 |
| | | | 125/5/2 | 0.0983 | | | | 16/15 | 0.0485 |
| | | | 125/5/1 | 0.1373 | | | | 16/6 | 0.1337 |
| | | | 125/4 | 0.1664 | | | | 16/14/1 | 0.0030 |
| | | | 111/24 | 0.0022 | | | | 16/14/2 | 0.0018 |
| | | | 125/3 | 0.2040 | | | | 16/7 | 0.1718 |
| | | | 111/23 | 0.0019 | | | | 16/8 | 0.2075 |
| | | | 125/2 | 0.1915 | | | | 16/3 | 0.0000 |
| | | | 125/1 | 0.2170 | | | | 16/9 | 0.1272 |
| | | | 125/10 | 0.0083 | | | | 16/10 | 0.0085 |
| | | | 126/6 | 0.0469 | | | | 16/2 | 0.0845 |

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|--------|-----------|------|--------------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|---------------------------|--------|
| सोनीपत | सोनीपत | पटला | 16/1 | 0.1963 | सोनीपत | सोनीपत | बुध खालसा | 14/2 | 0.1982 |
| | | | कच्चा रास्ता | 0.0085 | | | | 14/1/2 | 0.0355 |
| | | | 17/5 | 0.2166 | | | टी.पी.-8 | चेनेज-8322.90 मी. | |
| | | | 7/25 | 0.0051 | | | | से रास्ते के साथ | |
| | | | 17/4 | 0.0957 | | | | चेनेज- 9417.62 मी. ROU | |
| | | | 7/24 | 0.1086 | | | | कुल | 1.2365 |
| | | | 17/3 | 0.0019 | | | बुध मलक | चेनेज- 9417.62 मी. in | |
| | | | 7/23 | 0.2029 | | | | से Road | |
| | | | 7/22 | 0.0501 | | | | चेनेजे 10301.93 मी. ROU | |
| | | | कुल | 4.6993 | | | | कुल | |
| | सेउली | | 76/22 | 0.1387 | | | जथाडी | चेनेज-10301.93 मी. रास्ते | |
| | | | 76/19 | 0.0161 | | | | से के | |
| | | | 76/20 | 0.1322 | | | | चेनेज-12730.31 मी. साथ | |
| | | | 76/21 | 0.0801 | | | | कुल | |
| | | | 75/25 | 0.0006 | | | अकबरपुर | चेनेज-12730.31 मी. रास्ते | |
| | | | 75/16 | 0.1986 | | | बरोठा | से के | |
| | | | कच्चा रास्ता | 0.0108 | | | | चेनेज-14118.68 मी. साथ | |
| | | | 75/17 | 0.1868 | | | | कुल | |
| | | | 75/14 | 0.0240 | | | जगदीशपुर | चेनेज-14118.68 मी. रास्ते | |
| | | | 75/18 | 0.0592 | | | | से के | |
| | | | 75/13 | 0.1516 | | | | चेनेज-18645.94 मी. साथ | |
| | | | 75/12 | 0.2172 | | | | 46/11 | 0.0155 |
| | | | 75/11 | 0.1768 | | | | 45/15 | 0.1124 |
| | | | 75/10 | 0.0361 | | | | कुल | 0.1279 |
| | | | 74/15 | 0.0415 | | | छतेरा बहादुरपुर | पक्का रास्ता | 0.0097 |
| | | | 74/6 | 0.1626 | | | | 3/15 | 0.0557 |
| | | | 74/7 | 0.2144 | | | | पक्का रास्ता | 0.0062 |
| | | | 74/8 | 0.1595 | | | | 3/14 | 0.1953 |
| | | | 74/3 | 0.0512 | | | | 3/13 | 0.1947 |
| | | | 74/9 | 0.0259 | | | | 3/12 | 0.2055 |
| | | | 74/2 | 0.1797 | | | | 3/11 | 0.1684 |
| | | | 74/1 | 0.1503 | | | | 3/20 | 0.0696 |
| | | | केनाल | 0.0845 | | | | पक्का रास्ता | 0.0426 |
| | | | कच्चा रास्ता | 0.0004 | | | | 4/16 | 0.2120 |
| | | | कच्चा रास्ता | 0.0023 | | | | 4/25 | 0.0004 |
| | | | 73/5 | 0.1631 | | | | 4/24/2 | 0.0123 |
| | | | 73/4 | 0.2045 | | | | 4/24/1 | 0.0584 |
| | | | 73/3 | 0.1910 | | | | 4/17 | 0.1396 |
| | | | 73/2 | 0.0128 | | | | 4/18 | 0.0028 |
| | | | कुल | 3.0725 | | | | 4/23 | 0.1805 |
| | बुध खालसा | | 15/3 | 0.0144 | | | | 6/3 | 0.0757 |
| | | | 15/2 | 0.1888 | | | | 6/2 | 0.1556 |
| | | | 15/1 | 0.2079 | | | | 6/9 | 0.0827 |
| | | | 14/5 | 0.1945 | | | | | |
| | | | 14/4 | 0.1963 | | | | | |
| | | | 14/3 | 0.2009 | | | | | |

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|--------|--------|-----------|---------------------|--------|--------|--------|------|--------------|--------|
| सोनीपत | सोनीपत | अब्बासपुर | 32/14 | 0.0060 | सोनीपत | सोनीपत | नहरा | 5/5/1 | 0.0546 |
| | | | 32/16 | 0.0494 | | | | 5/5/4 | 0.0280 |
| | | | 32/17 | 0.2160 | | | | 5/5/2 | 0.0235 |
| | | | 32/18 | 0.0126 | | | | 5/6 | 0.1718 |
| | | | 32/23 | 0.0136 | | | | 5/15 | 0.1735 |
| | | | 32/24 | 0.2013 | | | | 5/16 | 0.1846 |
| | | | 43/4 | 0.0531 | | | | 5/25 | 0.1878 |
| | | | राष्ट्रीय धोरीमार्ग | 0.2816 | | | | देन | 0.0117 |
| | | | 43/6 | 0.0585 | | | | 8/5/2 | 0.0125 |
| | | | 43/15/1 | 0.0707 | | | | 8/5/1 | 0.1560 |
| | | | 43/15/2 | 0.0873 | | | | कच्चा रास्ता | 0.0146 |
| | | | 42/11 | 0.0564 | | | | 8/6 | 0.1558 |
| | | | 42/20 | 0.1936 | | | | 8/7 | 0.0054 |
| | | | 43/7 | 0.0175 | | | | 8/15/1 | 0.0139 |
| | | | 43/16 | 0.0062 | | | | 8/14/1 | 0.0011 |
| | | | 42/21 | 0.1270 | | | | 8/15/2 | 0.1489 |
| | | | 42/22/1 | 0.0704 | | | | 8/14/2 | 0.0217 |
| | | | 42/22/2 | 0.0014 | | | | 8/16 | 0.1427 |
| | | | कच्चा रास्ता | 0.0108 | | | | 8/17 | 0.0416 |
| | | | 47/1 | 0.0016 | | | | 8/25 | 0.1250 |
| | | | 47/2 | 0.2168 | | | | 8/24 | 0.0551 |
| | | | 47/9 | 0.1172 | | | | 12/5 | 0.1138 |
| | | | 47/8 | 0.1066 | | | | 12/4 | 0.0657 |
| | | | 47/13 | 0.2190 | | | | 12/6 | 0.0950 |
| | | | 47/14 | 0.0003 | | | | 12/7 | 0.0812 |
| | | | 47/18/1 | 0.0240 | | | | 12/15/1 | 0.0294 |
| | | | 47/18/2 | 0.0153 | | | | 12/15/2 | 0.0393 |
| | | | 47/18/3 | 0.0758 | | | | 12/14/1 | 0.1000 |
| | | | 47/17 | 0.0797 | | | | 12/14/2 | 0.0101 |
| | | | 47/24/2 | 0.0155 | | | | 12/16/2 | 0.0473 |
| | | | 47/24/1 | 0.0306 | | | | 12/17 | 0.1348 |
| | | | 47/23 | 0.0066 | | | | 12/25 | 0.0185 |
| | | | नहरा | 0.3490 | | | | 12/25 | 0.0135 |
| | | | 51/7/1 | 0.0306 | | | | 12/24/2 | 0.1271 |
| | | | 51/7/2 | 0.0551 | | | | 12/24/2 | 0.0081 |
| | | | 51/4/2 | 0.1800 | | | | देन | 0.0203 |
| | | | 51/5/2 | 0.1800 | | | | 18/5 | 0.0300 |
| | | | 51/6 | 0.0951 | | | | 18/4/1 | 0.0142 |
| | | | 51/14 | 0.0034 | | | | 18/4/2 | 0.1302 |
| | | | 51/15 | 0.1681 | | | | 18/6 | 0.0165 |
| | | | 51/16 | 0.0173 | | | | 18/7 | 0.1606 |
| | | | कुल | 9.7008 | | | | 18/15 | 0.0010 |
| | | नहरा | 2/16 | 0.1526 | | | | 18/14 | 0.2088 |
| | | | 2/25 | 0.1772 | | | | 18/7/1 | 0.1642 |
| | | | कच्चा रास्ता | 0.0132 | | | | 18/7/2 | 0.0039 |
| | | | 5/5/3 | 0.0690 | | | | 18/24 | 0.1564 |

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|--------|--------|--------------|-------|--------|--------|--------|---------|------------|----------------|
| सोनीपत | सोनीपत | नहरा | 30/4 | 0.1833 | सोनीपत | सोनीपत | नहरा | 74/22/2 | 0.0061 |
| | | | 30/7 | 0.1863 | | | | 86/3 | 0.1753 |
| | | | 30/14 | 0.1647 | | | | 86/8 | 0.1733 |
| | | | 30/17 | 0.1757 | | | | 86/13 | 0.1895 |
| | | | 30/16 | 0.0054 | | | | 86/26 | 0.0207 |
| | | | 30/25 | 0.0628 | | | | 86/18 | 0.1778 |
| | | | 30/24 | 0.0801 | | | | 86/23/1 | 0.1702 |
| | | नहरी बि शाखा | | 0.1081 | | | | 86/23/2 | 0.0014 |
| | | 40/5 | | 0.1210 | | | | 94/4/3 | 0.1927 |
| | | 40/4 | | 0.0130 | | | | 94/8/1 | 0.0910 |
| | | 40/6 | | 0.1585 | | | | 94/8/2 | 0.0925 |
| | | 40/15 | | 0.1528 | | | | 94/13 | 0.1718 |
| | | 41/11 | | 0.0521 | | | | 94/14/1 | 0.0061 |
| | | 41/20/1 | | 0.0434 | | | | 94/17/2 | 0.0390 |
| | | 40/16 | | 0.0236 | | | | 94/18 | 0.1394 |
| | | पक्का एस्ता | | 0.0699 | | | | 94/24/1 | 0.0703 |
| | | 41/20/2 | | 0.0721 | | | | 94/23 | 0.1138 |
| | | 41/21 | | 0.1864 | | | | 97/4 | 0.0277 |
| | | 50/1 | | 0.1834 | | | | 97/3 | 0.0345 |
| | | 50/10 | | 0.1827 | | | | कुल | 10.3989 |
| | | 50/11 | | 0.1625 | | | हालापुर | 30/4 | 0.0373 |
| | | 50/12 | | 0.0382 | | | | 30/3 | 0.0777 |
| | | 50/19 | | 0.0837 | | | | 30/7 | 0.0568 |
| | | 50/20 | | 0.0790 | | | | 30/8 | 0.1135 |
| | | 50/22 | | 0.1266 | | | | 30/14 | 0.0271 |
| | | 50/21 | | 0.0357 | | | | 30/13 | 0.1542 |
| | | 65/2 | | 0.1636 | | | | 30/17 | 0.0303 |
| | | 65/1 | | 0.0033 | | | | 30/18 | 0.1537 |
| | | 65/9 | | 0.1914 | | | | 30/24 | 0.0505 |
| | | 65/12 | | 0.1783 | | | | 30/23 | 0.1342 |
| | | 65/19/1 | | 0.0751 | | | | 35/4 | 0.0600 |
| | | 65/19/2 | | 0.1173 | | | | 35/3 | 0.1231 |
| | | 65/22/2 | | 0.1365 | | | | 35/7 | 0.0560 |
| | | 65/22/1 | | 0.0381 | | | | 35/8 | 0.1227 |
| | | 74/2 | | 0.1677 | | | | 35/14 | 0.0562 |
| | | 74/3 | | 0.0291 | | | | 35/13 | 0.1336 |
| | | 74/8 | | 0.0962 | | | | 35/17/2 | 0.0517 |
| | | 74/9 | | 0.1074 | | | | 35/18 | 0.1188 |
| | | 74/13/1 | | 0.1249 | | | | 35/24/1 | 0.0486 |
| | | 74/12 | | 0.0410 | | | | 35/23 | 0.1298 |
| | | 74/13/2 | | 0.0045 | | | | 57/4/1 | 0.0381 |
| | | 74/18 | | 0.1718 | | | | 57/3 | 0.1283 |
| | | 74/19 | | 0.0030 | | | | 57/4/2 | 0.0038 |
| | | 74/23/1 | | 0.0599 | | | | 57/7 | 0.0502 |
| | | 74/22/1 | | 0.0004 | | | | 57/8 | 0.1451 |
| | | (पक्का | | | | | | 57/14 | 0.1752 |
| | | एस्ता)120 | | 0.0663 | | | | 57/13 | 0.0024 |
| | | 74/23/2 | | 0.0473 | | | | 57/17/2 | 0.0448 |

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|--------|--------|---------|---------|--------|--------|--------|---------|--------------------|--------|
| सोनीपत | सोनीपत | हालापुर | 57/18 | 0.1189 | सोनीपत | खरखोदा | जीजाठली | 11/4 | 0.0790 |
| | | | एस्त | 0.0172 | | | | 11/3 | 0.0321 |
| | | | 57/24 | 0.0498 | | | | 11/8/1 | 0.0228 |
| | | | 57/23/1 | 0.0306 | | | | 11/7/1 | 0.0692 |
| | | | 57/23/2 | 0.0437 | | | | 74 (केनाल) | 0.0759 |
| | | | 57/23/3 | 0.0188 | | | | 11/7/3 | 0.0752 |
| | | | 57/23/4 | 0.0143 | | | | 11/8/2 | 0.0049 |
| | | | 57/23/5 | 0.0161 | | | | 11/13 | 0.0025 |
| | | | 60/3/1 | 0.0125 | | | | 11/14/1 | 0.1129 |
| | | | 60/3/2 | 0.0136 | | | | 11/17 | 0.1729 |
| | | | 60/3/3 | 0.1121 | | | | 11/18/1 | 0.0036 |
| | | | 60/4 | 0.0493 | | | | 11/24/1 | 0.1774 |
| | | | 60/8 | 0.1399 | | | | 11/23 | 0.0063 |
| | | | 60/7 | 0.0372 | | | | 28/4 | 0.1817 |
| | | | 60/14 | 0.0199 | | | | 28/3 | 0.0014 |
| | | | 60/13 | 0.0694 | | | | 28/7/1 | 0.1319 |
| | | | केनाल | 0.0030 | | | | 28/7/2 | 0.0545 |
| | | | 113 | 0.5824 | | | | 28/14 | 0.1745 |
| | | | 81/3/1 | 0.0291 | | | | 28/17/1 | 0.0516 |
| | | | 81/3/2 | 0.0150 | | | | 28/17/2 | 0.1283 |
| | | | 81/4 | 0.0023 | | | | 28/24 | 0.1793 |
| | | | 81/7 | 0.0153 | | | | 33/4/1 | 0.1290 |
| | | | 81/8/1 | 0.0608 | | | | 33/4/2 | 0.0395 |
| | | | 81/8/2 | 0.0728 | | | | 33/4/3 | 0.0176 |
| | | | 81/8/3 | 0.0473 | | | | 33/7 | 0.1776 |
| | | | 81/14/1 | 0.0104 | | | | 33/14/1 | 0.0277 |
| | | | 81/14/2 | 0.0098 | | | | 33/14/2 | 0.1502 |
| | | | 81/13/1 | 0.0815 | | | | 33/17 | 0.1754 |
| | | | 81/13/2 | 0.0633 | | | | 33/24 | 0.1860 |
| | | | 81/17/2 | 0.0302 | | | | 49/4 | 0.1902 |
| | | | 81/18 | 0.1517 | | | | 49/7 | 0.1701 |
| | | | 81/24/1 | 0.0374 | | | | 49/14 | 0.1845 |
| | | | 81/23 | 0.1398 | | | | 49/17 | 0.1883 |
| | | | 84/4/1 | 0.0460 | | | | 49/24 | 0.1732 |
| | | | 84/3 | 0.1344 | | | | 52/4 | 0.1614 |
| | | | 84/7 | 0.0518 | | | | 52/7 | 0.1863 |
| | | | 84/8 | 0.1215 | | | | 52/14 | 0.1332 |
| | | | 84/14 | 0.0677 | | | | 52/17 | 0.0758 |
| | | | 84/13 | 0.1273 | | | | 52/24 | 0.0404 |
| | | | 84/18 | 0.0563 | | | | 64/4 | 0.0060 |
| | | | 84/17 | 0.0074 | | | | वेस्टन यमुना केनाल | 0.3011 |
| | | | कुल | 5.1137 | | | | कुल | 4.4513 |
| | | नहरी | 97/17 | 0.0512 | | | | | |
| | | | 97/18 | 0.0517 | | | | | |
| | | | 97/24/1 | 0.0331 | | | | | |
| | | | 97/24/2 | 0.0229 | | | | | |
| | | | 97/23 | 0.1409 | | | | | |
| | | | 98/4/1 | 0.0038 | | | | | |
| | | | 98/4/2 | 0.0118 | | | | | |
| | | | 98/3 | 0.0359 | | | | | |
| | | | कुल | 0.3513 | | | | | |

[फाईल सं. एल-14014/ 28/07-जीपी]

एस. बी. मंडल, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 15th January, 2008

S.O. 107.—Whereas it appears to the Government of India that it is necessary in public interest that for transportation of natural gas through Dadri-Bawana-Nangal pipeline project in the state of Haryana, a pipeline should be laid by GAIL (India) Limited;

And, whereas it appears to the Government of India that for the purpose of laying the said pipeline, it is necessary to acquire the Right of User in the land under which the said pipeline is proposed to be laid and which is described in the Schedule annexed to this notification.

Now, therefore, in exercise of powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962, (50 of 1962) the Government of India hereby declares its intention to acquire the right of user therein.

Any person interested in the land described in the said Schedule may, within twenty one days from the date of which the copies of the notification issued under Sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the said Act, as published in the Gazette of India are made available to the general public, object in writing to the laying of the pipeline under the land to Shri K.L. Bisnoi, Competent Authority, GAIL (India) Limited, GTI, PARC Building, Plot No. 24, Sector-16A, Noida, Distt. Gautam Budh Nagar-201301 (U.P.)

SCHEDULE

| District | Tehsil | Village | Survey No. | Area to be acquired for ROU (in Hectares) |
|----------|---------|------------|------------|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Sonipat | Sonipat | Khurrampur | 42/7 | 0.0965 |
| | | | 41/4 | 0.0589 |
| | | | 42/8 | 0.0052 |
| | | | 41/3 | 0.1840 |
| | | | Cart Track | 0.0240 |
| | | | 41/2 | 0.0116 |
| | | | Cart Track | 0.0128 |
| | | | 41/2 | 0.0100 |
| | | | 23/23 | 0.0132 |
| | | | Cart Track | 0.0020 |
| | | | 23/22 | 0.2053 |
| | | | 23/21 | 0.0109 |
| | | | 23/19 | 0.0371 |
| | | | 23/20 | 0.2129 |
| | | | 24/16 | 0.0118 |
| | | | 23/11 | 0.0371 |
| | | | 24/15 | 0.2157 |
| | | | 24/6 | 0.1080 |

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---------|---------|------------|--------------|---------------|
| Sonipat | Sonipat | Khurrampur | 24/7 | 0.1550 |
| | | | 24/4 | 0.0501 |
| | | | Cart Track | 0.0084 |
| | | | 24/3 | 0.2112 |
| | | | 24/2 | 0.0120 |
| | | | 19/23 | 0.0455 |
| | | | 19/22 | 0.2146 |
| | | | 19/21 | 0.0928 |
| | | | 19/19 | 0.0003 |
| | | | 19/20 | 0.1329 |
| | | | 18/16 | 0.1946 |
| | | | 18/17 | 0.0201 |
| | | | 18/15 | 0.0326 |
| | | | 18/14 | 0.2129 |
| | | | 18/13 | 0.1156 |
| | | | 18/8 | 0.0981 |
| | | | 18/9 | 0.2422 |
| | | | 18/10 | 0.0253 |
| | | | 18/2 | 0.0250 |
| | | | 18/1 | 0.1900 |
| | | | 17/5 | 0.0646 |
| | | | 9/21 | 0.0039 |
| | | | 10/25 | 0.1735 |
| | | | 10/24 | 0.1107 |
| | | | 10/17 | 0.1030 |
| | | | 10/18 | 0.2252 |
| | | | 10/13 | 0.0237 |
| | | | 10/19 | 0.0257 |
| | | | 10/12 | 0.0336 |
| | | | TOTAL | 4.0999 |
| | | Manoli | 129/13 | 0.0016 |
| | | | 129/12 | 0.1867 |
| | | | 129/11 | 0.1044 |
| | | | 129/10 | 0.1260 |
| | | | 130/6/1 | 0.0629 |
| | | | 130/6/2 | 0.1404 |
| | | | 130/5 | 0.0279 |
| | | | 130/7 | 0.0266 |
| | | | 130/4 | 0.1645 |
| | | | Murram Road | 0.0461 |
| | | | 130/3 | 0.1472 |
| | | | 120/23 | 0.0725 |
| | | | Cart Track | 0.0094 |
| | | | 130/2 | 0.0018 |
| | | | 120/22 | 0.1741 |
| | | | Cart Track | 0.0122 |

[illegible]

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---------|---------|----------|---------------|---------|---------|---------|----------|---------------|--------|
| Sonipat | Sonipat | Manoli | 29/10 | 0.0023 | Sonipat | Sonipat | Pabsara | 83-Cart Track | 0.0153 |
| | | (Contd.) | 28/6/1 | 0.0373 | | | (Contd.) | 16/20 | 0.0394 |
| | | | 28/6/2 | 0.1582 | | | | 16/11 | 0.1946 |
| | | | 28/5 | 0.0031 | | | | 17/15 | 0.1427 |
| | | | 28/7 | 0.0570 | | | | 17/6 | 0.0839 |
| | | | 28/4 | 0.2125 | | | | 17/14 | 0.0010 |
| | | | 28/3 | 0.0278 | | | | 17/7 | 0.2284 |
| | | | 22/24 | 0.0167 | | | | 17/4 | 0.0064 |
| | | | 22/23 | 0.2322 | | | | 17/8 | 0.0583 |
| | | | 22/22 | 0.0289 | | | | 17/3 | 0.1747 |
| | | | Brick Road | 0.0325 | | | | 17/2 | 0.1713 |
| | | | 22/18 | 0.0066 | | | | 12/22 | 0.0584 |
| | | | 22/19 | 0.1878 | | | | 17/1 | 0.0072 |
| | | | 22/20 | 0.0051 | | | | 12/21 | 0.2181 |
| | | | 22/12 | 0.0516 | | | | 80-Cart Track | 0.0031 |
| | | | 22/11 | 0.2049 | | | | 12/20 | 0.0010 |
| | | | 22/10 | 0.0836 | | | | 11/25/2 | 0.0543 |
| | | | 23/15 | 0.0004 | | | | 79-Cart Track | 0.0232 |
| | | | 23/6 | 0.1809 | | | | 11/25/1 | 0.0138 |
| | | | 23/5 | 0.0922 | | | | 11/16 | 0.1426 |
| | | | 23/4 | 0.1639 | | | | 11/17 | 0.1976 |
| | | | 10/24 | 0.0233 | | | | 11/14 | 0.0312 |
| | | | Cart Track | 0.0017 | | | | 11/18 | 0.0216 |
| | | | Cart Track | 0.0078 | | | | 11/13 | 0.2124 |
| | | | 10/24 | 0.0975 | | | | 11/12 | 0.1232 |
| | | | 23/4 | 0.0005 | | | | 11/9 | 0.1054 |
| | | | 10/23 | 0.1365 | | | | 11/11 | 0.0000 |
| | | | 10/18 | 0.0784 | | | | 11/10 | 0.2237 |
| | | | TOTAL | 11.3916 | | | | 11/1 | 0.0138 |
| Sonipat | Sonipat | Pabsara | 26/18 | 0.0553 | | | | 10/6 | 0.0426 |
| | | | 26/19 | 0.1063 | | | | 10/5 | 0.1763 |
| | | | 26/12 | 0.1712 | | | | 10/4 | 0.1287 |
| | | | 26/11 | 0.0619 | | | | 8/24/2 | 0.1174 |
| | | | 26/9 | 0.0028 | | | | Cart Track | 0.0494 |
| | | | 26/10 | 0.2095 | | | | 8/23/1 | 0.0818 |
| | | | 25/6 | 0.0422 | | | | 8/24/1 | 0.0062 |
| | | | 26/1 | 0.0084 | | | | 8/18 | 0.0876 |
| | | | 25/5 | 0.2310 | | | | TOTAL | 4.8352 |
| | | | 16/25 | 0.0511 | Sonipat | Sonipat | Jakhauli | 64/18 | 0.1073 |
| | | | 25/4 | 0.0042 | | | | 64/19 | 0.0307 |
| | | | 16/24 | 0.1966 | | | | 64/13 | 0.0163 |
| | | | 16/23 | 0.1082 | | | | 64/12 | 0.2113 |
| | | | 16/18 | 0.1113 | | | | 64/9 | 0.0205 |
| | | | 16/19 | 0.2029 | | | | 312 | 0.0217 |
| | | | 84-Cart Track | 0.0089 | | | | 64/11 | 0.0148 |
| | | | 16/12 | 0.0069 | | | | 64/10 | 0.2190 |

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---------|---------|----------|--------------|--------|---------|---------|----------|----------------|--------|
| Sonipat | Sonipat | Jakhauli | 64/1 | 0.0619 | Sonipat | Sonipat | Jakhauli | 28/3 | 0.2235 |
| | | | 63/6 | 0.0000 | | | (Contd.) | 28/2 | 0.0051 |
| | | | 63/5 | 0.1622 | | | | 21/23/3 | 0.0360 |
| | | | 48/25 | 0.1343 | | | | 21/23/2 | 0.0156 |
| | | | 48/24 | 0.1352 | | | | 21/22 | 0.1898 |
| | | | 48/17 | 0.1409 | | | | 21/19/2 | 0.0895 |
| | | | 371 | 0.0108 | | | | 21/19/1 | 0.0208 |
| | | | 48/18 | 0.0998 | | | | 21/20 | 0.1076 |
| | | | 48/13 | 0.1932 | | | | 21/11 | 0.1989 |
| | | | 48/12 | 0.0532 | | | | 20/15 | 0.0645 |
| | | | 48/8 | 0.0057 | | | | 21/10 | 0.0025 |
| | | | 48/9 | 0.2170 | | | | 20/6 | 0.2094 |
| | | | 48/10 | 0.0244 | | | | 20/7 | 0.0167 |
| | | | 370 | 0.0123 | | | | 20/5/2 | 0.0305 |
| | | | 48/2 | 0.0146 | | | | 20/4 | 0.2063 |
| | | | 48/1 | 0.2182 | | | | 9/24 | 0.0925 |
| | | | 49/5 | 0.0046 | | | | 9/23 | 0.1457 |
| | | | 43/21 | 0.0421 | | | | 9/18 | 0.1698 |
| | | | 42/25/2 | 0.0967 | | | | 9/19 | 0.0672 |
| | | | 42/25/1 | 0.1233 | | | | 9/13 | 0.0020 |
| | | | 42/24 | 0.0010 | | | | 9/12 | 0.2100 |
| | | | 42/16 | 0.0707 | | | | 9/11 | 0.0313 |
| | | | 42/17 | 0.1728 | | | | 9/9 | 0.0157 |
| | | | 371/2 | 0.0215 | | | | 9/10 | 0.2350 |
| | | | 42/14 | 0.1051 | | | | 9/1 | 0.0224 |
| | | | 42/13 | 0.0939 | | | | 345-Cart Track | 0.0141 |
| | | | 42/8/1 | 0.0496 | | | | 10/6 | 0.0132 |
| | | | 42/8/2 | 0.1402 | | | | 10/5 | 0.1660 |
| | | | 42/9 | 0.0810 | | | | 358-Cart Track | 0.0121 |
| | | | 42/3 | 0.0002 | | | | 3/25 | 0.1156 |
| | | | 42/2 | 0.1986 | | | | 3/24 | 0.0977 |
| | | | 42/1 | 0.0202 | | | | 3/26 | 0.0305 |
| | | | 371/1 | 0.0148 | | | | 3/17 | 0.1770 |
| | | | 27/22 | 0.0177 | | | | 3/18 | 0.0368 |
| | | | 27/21 | 0.2104 | | | | 3/14 | 0.0149 |
| | | | 27/20 | 0.0794 | | | | 3/13 | 0.2096 |
| | | | 28/25 | 0.0002 | | | | 3/12 | 0.0136 |
| | | | 28/16 | 0.1583 | | | | 3/8 | 0.0518 |
| | | | 28/15/2 | 0.1181 | | | | 3/9 | 0.1850 |
| | | | 28/15/1 | 0.0235 | | | | 3/2 | 0.0711 |
| | | | 28/14 | 0.1008 | | | | TOTAL | 7.9379 |
| | | | 28/8/2 | 0.0006 | Sonipat | Sonipat | Khewara | 186/1 | 0.0389 |
| | | | 28/7 | 0.1946 | | | | 186/2 | 0.0616 |
| | | | 28/4/2 | 0.0011 | | | | 155/22 | 0.0084 |
| | | | Asphalt Road | 0.0353 | | | | 155/22 | 0.0610 |
| | | | 28/8/1 | 0.0092 | | | | 304(Asphalted | |
| | | | 28/4/1 | 0.0099 | | | | Road) | 0.1205 |

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---------|---------|---------|------------------|--------|---------|---------|-------------|--------------|---------------|
| Sonipat | Sonipat | Khewara | 155/19 | 0.1701 | Sonipat | Sonipat | Khewara | 81/23/1 | 0.0890 |
| | | | 155/20 | 0.0136 | | | | 81/18 | 0.1837 |
| | | | 155/12 | 0.1111 | | | | 81/13 | 0.1638 |
| | | | 155/11 | 0.0726 | | | | 81/12 | 0.0198 |
| | | | 155/10 | 0.1352 | | | | 81/8 | 0.1030 |
| | | | 155/9 | 0.0485 | | | | 81/9/1 | 0.0300 |
| | | | 155/1 | 0.0580 | | | | 81/9/2 | 0.0510 |
| | | | 155/1 | 0.0949 | | | | 81/2 | 0.1436 |
| | | | Cart Track | 0.0285 | | | | 81/3/3 | 0.0134 |
| | | | 155/2 | 0.0024 | | | | 81/3/2 | 0.0223 |
| | | | 152/21 | 0.1837 | | | | 81/3/1 | 0.0042 |
| | | | 152/20/2 | 0.1837 | | | | 53/23/2 | 0.0006 |
| | | | 152/11 | 0.1825 | | | | 53/22 | 0.1841 |
| | | | 151/15 | 0.0012 | | | | 53/19 | 0.1832 |
| | | | 151/6 | 0.0435 | | | | 53/12 | 0.1774 |
| | | | 152/10 | 0.1402 | | | | 53/9 | 0.0426 |
| | | | 152/1 | 0.0778 | | | | 8/16 | 0.0053 |
| | | | 151/5 | 0.1060 | | | | 8/15 | 0.2096 |
| | | | 122/25 | 0.1665 | | | | 8/14 | 0.0001 |
| | | | 121/21 | 0.0171 | | | | 8/6/1 | 0.0090 |
| | | | 122/16/2 | 0.0594 | | | | 8/6/2 | 0.0744 |
| | | | 122/16/1 | 0.1243 | | | | 8/7/1 | 0.1203 |
| | | | 122/15 | 0.1837 | | | | 8/7/2 | 0.0615 |
| | | | 122/6 | 0.1837 | | | | 8/4 | 0.0934 |
| | | | 122/5 | 0.1672 | | | | 8/3/3 | 0.0411 |
| | | | 122/4 | 0.0166 | | | | 8/3/2 | 0.0411 |
| | | | 117/24 | 0.0770 | | | | 8/3/1 | 0.0896 |
| | | | 117/25 | 0.1072 | | | | 6/23 | 0.1036 |
| | | | 117/17 | 0.1392 | | | | Cart Track | 0.0205 |
| | | | 117/16/2 | 0.0440 | | | | 6/22/2 | 0.1200 |
| | | | 117/15 | 0.0014 | | | | 6/22/1 | 0.0210 |
| | | | 117/14 | 0.1823 | | | | 6/19 | 0.1138 |
| | | | 117/7 | 0.1837 | | | | 6/20 | 0.1514 |
| | | | 117/4 | 0.1837 | | | | 6/11 | 0.0986 |
| | | | 88/24 | 0.1817 | | | | 5/15 | 0.0105 |
| | | | 88/23 | 0.0020 | | | | TOTAL | 7.5905 |
| | | | 88/18 | 0.0476 | | | Jajjal | 72/9 | 0.1045 |
| | | | 88/17 | 0.1361 | | | | 72/10 | 0.0595 |
| | | | 88/13 | 0.1102 | | | | 72/2 | 0.0066 |
| | | | 88/14 | 0.0735 | | | | 72/1 | 0.0487 |
| | | | 88/8 | 0.1695 | | | | TOTAL | 0.2194 |
| | | | 88/7 | 0.0142 | | | Parli Kalan | 33/10/2 | 0.0002 |
| | | | 88/3/1 | 0.0546 | | | | 33/1 | 0.1523 |
| | | | 512 (Cart Track) | 0.0518 | | | | 29/21 | 0.1568 |
| | | | 88/3/2 | 0.0773 | | | | 30/25/2 | 0.0494 |
| | | | 81/23/2 | 0.0947 | | | | 29/20/2 | 0.0111 |

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---------|---------|------------|--------------|---------------|---------|---------|----------|--------------|--------|
| Sonipat | Sonipat | ParliKalan | 30/16/1 | 0.0855 | Sonipat | Sonipat | Dipalpur | 56/4 | 0.1372 |
| | | | 30/16/2 | 0.1135 | | | | 56/3 | 0.1183 |
| | | | 30/15/1 | 0.1590 | | | | Cart Track | 0.0122 |
| | | | 30/14 | 0.0502 | | | | 39/23 | 0.1449 |
| | | | 30/6 | 0.0101 | | | | 39/22 | 0.0914 |
| | | | 30/7 | 0.1986 | | | | Asphalt Road | 0.0380 |
| | | | 30/4 | 0.1532 | | | | 39/19 | 0.1347 |
| | | | 30/3 | 0.0523 | | | | Cart Track | 0.0111 |
| | | | 26/24 | 0.0093 | | | | 39/20 | 0.1005 |
| | | | 26/23/2 | 0.1824 | | | | 39/11 | 0.1748 |
| | | | 26/23/1 | 0.0099 | | | | 40/15 | 0.0809 |
| | | | 26/18/2 | 0.0527 | | | | 40/6 | 0.1838 |
| | | | 26/18/1 | 0.0930 | | | | 40/7 | 0.0546 |
| | | | 26/19 | 0.0620 | | | | 40/5 | 0.0065 |
| | | | 26/13 | 0.0063 | | | | 40/4 | 0.2212 |
| | | | 26/12 | 0.2080 | | | | 35/24 | 0.1219 |
| | | | 26/9 | 0.1444 | | | | Cart Track | 0.0119 |
| | | | 26/10 | 0.0568 | | | | 35/17 | 0.0008 |
| | | | 26/2 | 0.0086 | | | | 35/23 | 0.0777 |
| | | | 26/1 | 0.1797 | | | | 35/18 | 0.2081 |
| | | | 22/21/2 | 0.0005 | | | | 35/13 | 0.1045 |
| | | | SH-11 | 0.0885 | | | | 35/12 | 0.1056 |
| | | | 25/5/1 | 0.0011 | | | | 35/9 | 0.2089 |
| | | | 22/21/1 | 0.0034 | | | | 35/2 | 0.0815 |
| | | | 23/25 | 0.2006 | | | | 35/10 | 0.0012 |
| | | | 22/16 | 0.1239 | | | | 35/1 | 0.1287 |
| | | | 23/17 | 0.0868 | | | | Cart Track | 0.0174 |
| | | | 23/15/2 | 0.0010 | | | | 21/21 | 0.1874 |
| | | | 23/14 | 0.1938 | | | | 22/25 | 0.0054 |
| | | | 23/7 | 0.1153 | | | | 21/20 | 0.0612 |
| | | | 23/8 | 0.1099 | | | | 22/16 | 0.1422 |
| | | | 23/3 | 0.1977 | | | | 22/15/2 | 0.0016 |
| | | | 23/2 | 0.0014 | | | | Cart Track | 0.0309 |
| | | | CTR | 0.0087 | | | | 22/15/1 | 0.1728 |
| | | | 10/23 | 0.0582 | | | | 22/14 | 0.0079 |
| | | | 10/22 | 0.2028 | | | | 22/6 | 0.0566 |
| | | | 10/19 | 0.0610 | | | | 22/7 | 0.1497 |
| | | | CTR | 0.0092 | | | | 22/4 | 0.2002 |
| | | | 10/21 | 0.0019 | | | | 22/3 | 0.0061 |
| | | | 10/20 | 0.1228 | | | | 16/14 | 0.0620 |
| | | | 10/11 | 0.1410 | | | | 16/23 | 0.1443 |
| | | | TOTAL | 3.9348 | | | | 16/18 | 0.2018 |
| Sonipat | Sonipat | Dipalpur | 57/11 | 0.0253 | | | | 16/19 | 0.0045 |
| | | | 56/15 | 0.1307 | | | | 16/13 | 0.0676 |
| | | | 56/6 | 0.1341 | | | | 16/12 | 0.1387 |
| | | | 56/7 | 0.1381 | | | | 16/9 | 0.2031 |

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---------|---------|----------|--------------|---------------|---------|---------|----------|----------------|--------|
| Sonipat | Sonipat | Dipalpur | 16/10 | 0.0031 | Sonipat | Sonipat | Makimpur | 27/4/2 | 0.1064 |
| | | | 16/2 | 0.0735 | | | | 27/4/1 | 0.0872 |
| | | | 16/1 | 0.1328 | | | | 24/24/2 | 0.0001 |
| | | | 11/21 | 0.1976 | | | | Cart Track* | 0.0080 |
| | | | Cart Track | 0.0421 | | | | 24/24/1 | 0.1578 |
| | | | 12/25 | 0.0020 | | | | 24/23 | 0.0234 |
| | | | 11/20 | 0.0549 | | | | Cart Track | 0.0066 |
| | | | 12/16/3 | 0.0132 | | | | 24/17 | 0.0660 |
| | | | 12/16/2 | 0.0686 | | | | 24/18/1 | 0.1216 |
| | | | 12/16/1 | 0.0341 | | | | 24/18/2 | 0.0007 |
| | | | 12/15 | 0.2051 | | | | 24/14 | 0.0014 |
| | | | 12/14 | 0.0011 | | | | 24/13 | 0.1910 |
| | | | 12/6 | 0.0860 | | | | 24/8 | 0.1816 |
| | | | 12/7/2 | 0.0466 | | | | 24/9 | 0.0020 |
| | | | 12/7/1 | 0.0737 | | | | 24/3 | 0.1228 |
| | | | 12/4/2 | 0.1304 | | | | 24/2 | 0.0670 |
| | | | 12/4/1 | 0.0753 | | | | 13/23 | 0.0259 |
| | | | 12/3 | 0.0005 | | | | 13/22 | 0.1679 |
| | | | 6/24 | 0.0926 | | | | 13/19 | 0.1792 |
| | | | 6/23 | 0.1137 | | | | Cart Track | 0.0082 |
| | | | 6/18 | 0.2027 | | | | 13/12/2 | 0.1343 |
| | | | 6/13 | 0.0715 | | | | 13/12/1 | 0.0427 |
| | | | Cart Track | 0.0313 | | | | 13/11 | 0.0196 |
| | | | 6/19 | 0.0001 | | | | 13/9/2 | 0.0449 |
| | | | 6/12 | 0.1069 | | | | 13/9/1 | 0.0073 |
| | | | 6/9/1 | 0.1380 | | | | 13/10 | 0.1440 |
| | | | 6/9/2 | 0.0684 | | | | 13/1/2 | 0.0172 |
| | | | 6/10 | 0.0000 | | | | 13/1/1 | 0.1871 |
| | | | 6/2/2 | 0.0960 | | | | 14/5 | 0.0071 |
| | | | 6/2/1 | 0.0104 | | | | 11/21 | 0.0593 |
| | | | 6/1 | 0.0999 | | | | 10/25/2 | 0.1184 |
| | | | 4/22 | 0.0001 | | | | 10/25/1 | 0.0259 |
| | | | 4/21 | 0.1704 | | | | 10/16 | 0.1322 |
| | | | Cart Track | 0.0051 | | | | Asphalted Road | 0.0286 |
| | | | 4/20 | 0.0146 | | | | 10/17 | 0.0592 |
| | | | TOTAL | 7.3133 | | | | 10/15/1 | 0.0510 |
| | | Makimpur | 27/25 | 0.0168 | | | | 10/14 | 0.1558 |
| | | | 26/20 | 0.1178 | | | | 10/7 | 0.1700 |
| | | | 27/16 | 0.0605 | | | | 10/4 | 0.0231 |
| | | | 26/11 | 0.0051 | | | | Cart Track | 0.0304 |
| | | | Cart Track | 0.0093 | | | | 10/8/1 | 0.0159 |
| | | | 27/15/2 | 0.1389 | | | | 10/3/2 | 0.1300 |
| | | | 27/15/1 | 0.0624 | | | | 10/3/1 | 0.0373 |
| | | | 27/6 | 0.1361 | | | | 2/23/2 | 0.1228 |
| | | | 27/7 | 0.0674 | | | | 2/23/1 | 0.0629 |
| | | | 27/5 | 0.0049 | | | | | |

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---------|---------|----------|------------------|---------------|---------|---------|---------|--------------|---------------|
| Sonipat | Sonipat | Makimpur | 2/22 | 0.0128 | Sonipat | Sonipat | Asadpur | 60/8 | 0.0695 |
| | | | 2/18 | 0.0528 | | | | 60/4 | 0.0029 |
| | | | 2/19 | 0.1226 | | | | 60/3 | 0.2031 |
| | | | TOTAL | 4.1590 | | | | 61/23 | 0.1444 |
| | | Nandnaur | 89/19 | 0.0511 | | | | 61/22 | 0.0698 |
| | | | 89/12 | 0.1742 | | | | 61/18 | 0.0032 |
| | | | 89/11 | 0.0167 | | | | 61/19 | 0.2020 |
| | | | 89/9 | 0.0376 | | | | 61/12 | 0.1409 |
| | | | 89/10 | 0.1883 | | | | 61/11 | 0.0776 |
| | | | 89/1 | 0.1890 | | | | 61/9 | 0.0016 |
| | | | 88/5 | 0.0140 | | | | 61/10 | 0.0271 |
| | | | 79/21 | 0.0359 | | | | TOTAL | 1.1761 |
| | | | Cart Track | 0.0074 | | Morthal | Khas | 225/11 | 0.0024 |
| | | | 80/25 | 0.1557 | | | | 225/10 | 0.1628 |
| | | | Cart Track | 0.0106 | | | | 225/1 | 0.1319 |
| | | | 80/16 | 0.1888 | | | | 224/5 | 0.0492 |
| | | | 80/17 | 0.0161 | | | | 188/21 | 0.0038 |
| | | | 80/15 | 0.0394 | | | | Cart Track | 0.0344 |
| | | | 80/14 | 0.1509 | | | | 189/25/1 | 0.1512 |
| | | | 80/7 | 0.1838 | | | | 189/25/2 | 0.0347 |
| | | | 80/8 | 0.0125 | | | | 189/25/3 | 0.0140 |
| | | | 80/4 | 0.0419 | | | | 189/16 | 0.1301 |
| | | | 80/3 | 0.1836 | | | | 189/17 | 0.0557 |
| | | | 63/23 | 0.0194 | | | | Cart Track | 0.0257 |
| | | | (Cart Track) 993 | 0.0164 | | | | 189/15 | 0.0044 |
| | | | 63/23 | 0.1617 | | | | 189/14 | 0.1950 |
| | | | 63/22 | 0.0261 | | | | 189/7 | 0.0980 |
| | | | 63/18 | 0.0252 | | | | Cart Track | 0.0144 |
| | | | 63/19 | 0.1837 | | | | Cart Track | 0.0103 |
| | | | 63/12 | 0.1748 | | | | 189/8 | 0.0707 |
| | | | 63/11 | 0.0285 | | | | Cart Track | 0.0211 |
| | | | 63/9 | 0.0242 | | | | 189/4 | 0.0003 |
| | | | 63/10 | 0.1901 | | | | 189/3 | 0.2091 |
| | | | 63/1 | 0.1586 | | | | 184/23 | 0.1138 |
| | | | 62/5 | 0.0349 | | | | 184/22 | 0.0944 |
| | | | Cart Track | 0.0104 | | | | 184/18 | 0.0005 |
| | | | 56/21 | 0.0137 | | | | 184/19 | 0.2061 |
| | | | 57/25 | 0.1924 | | | | 184/12 | 0.1091 |
| | | | 57/16 | 0.1722 | | | | 184/20 | 0.0038 |
| | | | 57/17 | 0.0429 | | | | 184/11/1 | 0.1016 |
| | | | 57/15 | 0.0131 | | | | 184/11/2 | 0.0038 |
| | | | 57/14 | 0.0984 | | | | Cart Track | 0.0264 |
| | | | TOTAL | 3.2842 | | | | 184/10 | 0.1785 |
| | | Asadpur | Cart Track | 0.0096 | | | | 184/1 | 0.0946 |
| | | | 60/14 | 0.0726 | | | | 183/6 | 0.0002 |
| | | | 60/7 | 0.1517 | | | | 183/5 | 0.1161 |

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---------|---------|--------------|------------|--------|---------|---------|--------------|------------------|--------|
| Sonipat | Sonipat | Morthal Khas | I46/25 | 0.1817 | Sonipat | Sonipat | Morthal Khas | 102/17 | 0.1485 |
| | | | Cart Track | 0.0274 | | | | 102/18 | 0.1173 |
| | | | I46/16 | 0.0890 | | | | 102/13 | 0.1643 |
| | | | I46/24 | 0.0009 | | | | 102/12 | 0.1039 |
| | | | I46/17 | 0.1202 | | | | 102/9 | 0.1671 |
| | | | Asphalted | | | | | 102/2 | 0.0003 |
| | | | Road | 0.0418 | | | | 102/10 | 0.0869 |
| | | | I46/14 | 0.1798 | | | | 102/1 | 0.1816 |
| | | | I46/13 | 0.0069 | | | | 101/5 | 0.0856 |
| | | | I46/7 | 0.0589 | | | | 79/25 | 0.1685 |
| | | | I46/8 | 0.0913 | | | | Cart Track (353) | 0.0219 |
| | | | Cart Track | 0.0248 | | | | 79/24/2 | 0.0028 |
| | | | I46/3 | 0.2180 | | | | 79/24/1 | 0.0718 |
| | | | I46/2 | 0.0266 | | | | 79/16 | 0.0007 |
| | | | I37/23 | 0.0194 | | | | 79/17/1 | 0.0133 |
| | | | I37/22 | 0.2223 | | | | 79/17/2 | 0.0466 |
| | | | I37/19 | 0.0659 | | | | 79/17/3 | 0.1477 |
| | | | I37/21 | 0.0024 | | | | 79/18 | 0.0411 |
| | | | I37/20 | 0.1305 | | | | Cart Track | 0.0325 |
| | | | I37/11 | 0.2022 | | | | 79/14/1 | 0.0088 |
| | | | I36/15 | 0.0559 | | | | 79/13 | 0.1261 |
| | | | I37/10 | 0.0072 | | | | 347 (Asphalt | |
| | | | I36/6 | 0.1785 | | | | Road) | 0.0614 |
| | | | I36/5 | 0.1163 | | | | 79/12 | 0.0640 |
| | | | I36/4 | 0.1408 | | | | 79/8 | 0.0023 |
| | | | I06/24 | 0.1605 | | | | 79/9/2 | 0.0390 |
| | | | I06/23/2 | 0.0163 | | | | 79/9/1 | 0.1758 |
| | | | I06/23/1 | 0.0475 | | | | 79/2 | 0.0040 |
| | | | I06/17 | 0.0019 | | | | 79/10/1 | 0.0536 |
| | | | I06/18/1 | 0.1206 | | | | 79/10/2 | 0.0021 |
| | | | I06/18/2 | 0.0410 | | | | 79/1 | 0.1969 |
| | | | I06/19 | 0.0011 | | | | 80/5 | 0.0333 |
| | | | Cart Track | 0.0283 | | | | 74/21 | 0.0065 |
| | | | I06/13 | 0.0421 | | | | 73/25 | 0.1599 |
| | | | I06/12 | 0.1368 | | | | Cart Track | 0.0248 |
| | | | Cart Track | 0.0321 | | | | 73/24 | 0.1811 |
| | | | I06/9 | 0.0700 | | | | 73/23 | 0.0501 |
| | | | I06/11 | 0.0020 | | | | Cart Track | 0.0514 |
| | | | I06/10 | 0.1040 | | | | 73/17 | 0.0138 |
| | | | Asphalted | | | | | 73/18 | 0.1240 |
| | | | Road | 0.0428 | | | | 73/19 | 0.1928 |
| | | | I06/1 | 0.1314 | | | | 73/12 | 0.0267 |
| | | | I07/5 | 0.0961 | | | | 73/11/1 | 0.0140 |
| | | | Cart Track | 0.0317 | | | | 73/11/2 | 0.0793 |
| | | | I02/25 | 0.1458 | | | | 72/15 | 0.2032 |
| | | | I02/24 | 0.1269 | | | | 72/14 | 0.0443 |

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---------|---------|--------------|------------------|---------|---------|---------|-----------|----------------|--------|
| Sonipat | Sonipat | Morthal Khas | 72/6 | 0.0406 | Sonipat | Sonipat | Hassanpur | 36/21/1 | 0.0005 |
| | | | 72/7 | 0.1397 | | | | 36/20/2 | 0.0190 |
| | | | Cart Track | 0.0522 | | | | 36/20/1 | 0.1714 |
| | | | 72/4 | 0.0042 | | | | 36/11 | 0.0506 |
| | | | 72/8/2 | 0.0633 | | | | Cart Track | 0.0065 |
| | | | 72/8/1 | 0.0177 | | | | 37/16 | 0.0001 |
| | | | 72/3 | 0.1401 | | | | 37/15 | 0.2035 |
| | | | 72/2 | 0.2198 | | | | 37/6/3 | 0.0507 |
| | | | 72/1 | 0.1388 | | | | 37/14 | 0.0053 |
| | | | 62/21 | 0.0795 | | | | 37/7 | 0.2306 |
| | | | 71/5 | 0.0035 | | | | 37/8/2 | 0.0021 |
| | | | 63/25 | 0.2311 | | | | 37/8/1 | 0.0280 |
| | | | 63/16 | 0.0036 | | | | 37/4 | 0.0141 |
| | | | Asphalted Road | 0.0273 | | | | 37/3 | 0.2221 |
| | | | 63/24 | 0.0358 | | | | 37/2 | 0.0538 |
| | | | 845 (Cart Track) | 0.0152 | | | | Cart Track | 0.0200 |
| | | | 63/17 | 0.1506 | | | | Cart Track | 0.0202 |
| | | | 63/18 | 0.2093 | | | | 34/23 | 0.0000 |
| | | | 63/19/1 | 0.0533 | | | | 34/22 | 0.1564 |
| | | | 63/18/2 | 0.0029 | | | | 34/21 | 0.1260 |
| | | | 63/12 | 0.1503 | | | | 34/20 | 0.1147 |
| | | | 845 (Cart Track) | 0.0131 | | | | Cart Track | 0.0151 |
| | | | 63/19/2 | 0.0162 | | | | Cart Track | 0.0151 |
| | | | 63/11/3 | 0.0271 | | | | 33/16 | 0.1529 |
| | | | 63/11/2 | 0.0469 | | | | Cart Track | 0.0135 |
| | | | 63/11/1 | 0.1117 | | | | Cart Track | 0.0015 |
| | | | 63/10 | 0.0584 | | | | 33/15 | 0.0704 |
| | | | 64/15 | 0.0035 | | | | 33/14 | 0.2048 |
| | | | 64/6 | 0.2311 | | | | 33/17 | 0.0003 |
| | | | 64/7/2 | 0.0016 | | | | 33/7 | 0.0463 |
| | | | 64/7/1 | 0.0039 | | | | Cart Track | 0.0053 |
| | | | 64/4/2 | 0.0823 | | | | 33/13 | 0.0027 |
| | | | 64/5/2 | 0.0216 | | | | 33/8 | 0.2136 |
| | | | Cart Track | 0.0275 | | | | 33/3 | 0.0587 |
| | | | 64/4/1 | 0.1385 | | | | 33/9 | 0.0038 |
| | | | Cart Track | 0.0283 | | | | 33/2 | 0.2160 |
| | | | 64/4/3 | 0.0348 | | | | 33/1 | 0.0241 |
| | | | 64/3 | 0.0494 | | | | Field Channel | 0.0098 |
| | | | Cart Track | 0.0276 | | | | 24/22 | 0.0160 |
| | | | 48/24 | 0.0013 | | | | 24/21/2 | 0.0653 |
| | | | 48/23 | 0.1567 | | | | Asphalted Road | 0.0238 |
| | | | 48/22 | 0.0398 | | | | 24/21/1 | 0.1324 |
| | | | TOTAL | 12.4980 | | | | 25/25 | 0.0613 |
| | Sonipat | Hassanpur | 36/22 | 0.1644 | | | | 24/20/2 | 0.0031 |
| | | | 36/19 | 0.0812 | | | | 25/16 | 0.1880 |

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---------|-------------------|----------------|---------------|---|---------|----------------|------------------|--------|---|
| Sonipat | Sonipat—Hassanpur | 25/17 | 0.1526 | | Sonipat | Gannaur—Bhagan | 64/17/1 | 0.0705 | |
| | (Contd.) | 25/14 | 0.0767 | | | (Contd.) | 64/14/2 | 0.0035 | |
| | | 25/18 | 0.0041 | | | | 64/17/2 | 0.1374 | |
| | | 25/13/2 | 0.1332 | | | | 64/18 | 0.2144 | |
| | | 25/13/1 | 0.0804 | | | | 64/19 | 0.1641 | |
| | | 25/12 | 0.1345 | | | | 64/22/1 | 0.0472 | |
| | | 25/9 | 0.0925 | | | | 64/20 | 0.2064 | |
| | | 25/11 | 0.0018 | | | | 64/21 | 0.0042 | |
| | | 25/10/2 | 0.1935 | | | | 65/16/2 | 0.0304 | |
| | | 25/10/1 | 0.0267 | | | | 65/16/1 | 0.0043 | |
| | | 25/1 | 0.0000 | | | | NH-1 (G.T. ROAD) | 0.1868 | |
| | Asphalted Road | | 0.0235 | | | | 65/24 | 0.1992 | |
| | | 26/6/2 | 0.0011 | | | | 65/23/2 | 0.0983 | |
| | | 26/6/1 | 0.0893 | | | | 65/23/1 | 0.1003 | |
| | | 26/7 | 0.0000 | | | | 65/22 | 0.1984 | |
| | | 26/5/2 | 0.1075 | | | | Cart Track | 0.0101 | |
| | | 26/5/1 | 0.0048 | | | | 65/21 | 0.1916 | |
| | | 26/4 | 0.2255 | | | | 66/25 | 0.2111 | |
| | | 26/3/2 | 0.0247 | | | | Cart Track | 0.0101 | |
| | | 26/3/1 | 0.0718 | | | | 66/24 | 0.1882 | |
| | | 19/24/1 | 0.0011 | | | | 66/23 | 0.1980 | |
| | | 19/23 | 0.1229 | | | | 66/22 | 0.1960 | |
| | | 19/22 | 0.2198 | | | | 66/21 | 0.2101 | |
| | | 19/19 | 0.0025 | | | | 67/25 | 0.1887 | |
| | | 19/21 | 0.0832 | | | | 67/24 | 0.2089 | |
| | | 19/20 | 0.1422 | | | | Metal Road | 0.0180 | |
| | Cart Track | | 0.0066 | | | | 67/23 | 0.1780 | |
| | | 18/16 | 0.2083 | | | | 67/22 | 0.2040 | |
| | | 18/15 | 0.0097 | | | | 67/21 | 0.1919 | |
| | | 18/17 | 0.0584 | | | | 67/20 | 0.0028 | |
| | | 18/14 | 0.1639 | | | | 68/25 | 0.1847 | |
| | | 18/13/2 | 0.1097 | | | | 68/16 | 0.0204 | |
| | | 18/13/1 | 0.1028 | | | | 68/24 | 0.1603 | |
| | | 18/8 | 0.0111 | | | | 68/17 | 0.0199 | |
| | Field Channel | | 0.0067 | | | | Cart Track | 0.0497 | |
| | | 18/12 | 0.0509 | | | | 68/18 | 0.0039 | |
| | | 18/9 | 0.1172 | | | | 68/23 | 0.1648 | |
| | | 18/10 | 0.1530 | | | | 68/22 | 0.1458 | |
| | | 18/11/1 | 0.0357 | | | | 68/19 | 0.0584 | |
| | Lined Canal | | 0.0302 | | | | 68/21/1 | 0.1145 | |
| | TOTAL | | 6.3651 | | | | 68/20 | 0.0637 | |
| | Gannaur Bhagan | Cart Track-100 | 0.0549 | | | | Cart Track | 0.0352 | |
| | | 64/15/2 | 0.0867 | | | | 69/25 | 0.1192 | |
| | | 64/16/1 | 0.0925 | | | | 69/16/2 | 0.0740 | |
| | | 64/16/2 | 0.0173 | | | | 69/24 | 0.1162 | |

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|------------------------------------|---|---|-----------------------|--------|------------------------------------|---|-------|--------------|---------------|
| Sonipat Gannaur—Rajpur (Contd.) | | | 57/14/2 | 0.0361 | Sonipat Gannaur—Rajpur (Contd.) | | | 33/11 | 0.0439 |
| | | | 57/14/1 | 0.1657 | | | | 33/10/1 | 0.0197 |
| | | | 57/13 | 0.2074 | | | | 33/10/2 | 0.0513 |
| | | | 57/12 | 0.1588 | | | | 33/10/8 | 0.1256 |
| | | | 57/9 | 0.0106 | | | | 32/6/1 | 0.1134 |
| | | | 57/11 | 0.1625 | | | | 32/6/2 | 0.0027 |
| | | | 57/10 | 0.0638 | | | | 32/5 | 0.1287 |
| | | | 56/15 | 0.1003 | | | | 33/1 | 0.0004 |
| | | | 56/14 | 0.0135 | | | | 32/4 | 0.2321 |
| | | | 56/6 | 0.1075 | | | | 32/3 | 0.0373 |
| | | | 56/7 | 0.2070 | | | | Cart Track | 0.0519 |
| | | | 56/8 | 0.0398 | | | | 30/24 | 0.0017 |
| | | | 56/3 | 0.0174 | | | | 30/23 | 0.0757 |
| | | | Asphalted Road | 0.0445 | | | | 30/22 | 0.0104 |
| | | | 46/21 | 0.0020 | | | | TOTAL | 7.1213 |
| | | | 47/25 | 0.1417 | | | Bhuri | 8/7 | 0.0030 |
| | | | 47/16 | 0.0222 | | | | 8/8/1 | 0.0212 |
| | | | 47/24 | 0.0201 | | | | 8/8/2 | 0.0715 |
| | | | 47/17 | 0.1135 | | | | 8/3 | 0.0755 |
| | | | 47/18 | 0.0140 | | | | 8/9 | 0.0007 |
| | | | Asphalted Road | 0.0611 | | | | 8/2/1 | 0.2091 |
| | | | 48/5 | 0.1284 | | | | 8/2/2 | 0.0141 |
| | | | 48/4 | 0.0267 | | | | 8/1 | 0.0612 |
| | | | 34/25 | 0.0051 | | | | 6/22/2 | 0.0048 |
| | | | Asphalted Road | 0.0430 | | | | 6/21/1 | 0.0021 |
| | | | 34/24 | 0.1480 | | | | 6/21/2 | 0.1119 |
| | | | 34/23 | 0.1909 | | | | 6/20 | 0.0031 |
| | | | 34/18 | 0.0306 | | | | 42 | 0.0294 |
| | | | WB (Field Channel) | 0.0018 | | | | TOTAL | 0.6075 |
| | | | 34/22 | 0.0995 | | | Rajlu | 57/17/2 | 0.0407 |
| | | | 34/19 | 0.0963 | | | | 57/17/1 | 0.0394 |
| | | | 34/21 | 0.0620 | | | | 57/18 | 0.0775 |
| | | | 34/20 | 0.0749 | | | | 57/14 | 0.0000 |
| | | | B.G.Rail- Way | 0.1590 | | | | 57/13 | 0.1211 |
| | | | 33/16/2 | 0.1084 | | | | 57/12 | 0.1751 |
| | | | 33/16/1 | 0.0213 | | | | 57/9 | 0.0864 |
| | | | 33/17 | 0.2046 | | | | 57/11/1 | 0.0002 |
| | | | 33/18 | 0.1884 | | | | 57/10/4 | 0.0102 |
| | | | 33/13 | 0.0511 | | | | WB | 0.0050 |
| | | | 33/19/1 | 0.0077 | | | | 57/10/3 | 0.0501 |
| | | | 33/12 | 0.2272 | | | | 57/10/2 | 0.0736 |
| | | | 33/9/2 | 0.0099 | | | | 57/10/1 | 0.0552 |
| | | | | | | | | 57/1 | 0.0541 |
| | | | | | | | | 58/6 | 0.0059 |
| | | | | | | | | 58/5 | 0.1204 |
| | | | | | | | | 50/23 | 0.0779 |

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|-----------------|-------------------|---|--------------|---------------|-----------------|--------------------------|---|--------------|---------------|
| Sonipat Gannaur | Rajul (Contd.) | | 50/18 | 0.0026 | Sonipat Gannaur | Panchi Jatan (Contd.) | | 40/8 | 0.1296 |
| | | | 50/22 | 0.0391 | | | | 40/9/1 | 0.1265 |
| | | | 50/19/2 | 0.1913 | | | | 40/9/2 | 0.0007 |
| | | | 50/19/1 | 0.0053 | | | | 40/2 | 0.1488 |
| | | | 50/20 | 0.1181 | | | | 40/1/2 | 0.1170 |
| | | | 50/11 | 0.1214 | | | | 13/21/2 | 0.0776 |
| | | | 51/15/2 | 0.1039 | | | | 40/1/1 | 0.0004 |
| | | | 51/15/1 | 0.0964 | | | | 13/21/1 | 0.0618 |
| | | | 50/14/3 | 0.0152 | | | | 14/25/2 | 0.0281 |
| | | | 51/6 | 0.0325 | | | | 14/25/1 | 0.0052 |
| | | | 51/7/1 | 0.1115 | | | | TOTAL | 3.3527 |
| | | | 51/7/2 | 0.0805 | Sonipat Gannaur | Udesipur | | 62/21 | 0.0170 |
| | | | 51/8 | 0.1572 | | | | 62/25 | 0.1027 |
| | | | Nala | 0.0391 | | | | 61/16/2 | 0.0889 |
| | | | TOTAL | 2.1069 | | | | 61/16/1 | 0.0537 |
| Sonipat Gannaur | Panchi Jatan | | Nala | 0.0470 | | | | 29 | 0.0004 |
| | | | 73/8 | 0.0001 | | | | 61/17/2 | 0.0046 |
| | | | 73/9 | 0.1743 | | | | 61/17/1 | 0.0793 |
| | | | Cart Track | 0.0304 | | | | 61/17/3 | 0.0321 |
| | | | 73/10 | 0.1125 | | | | 61/14/3 | 0.0208 |
| | | | 73/1 | 0.1435 | | | | 61/14/2 | 0.1183 |
| | | | 74/5 | 0.0987 | | | | 61/14/1 | 0.0263 |
| | | | 44/25 | 0.1836 | | | | 61/13/2 | 0.0009 |
| | | | 44/16 | 0.0011 | | | | 61/13/1 | 0.0925 |
| | | | 44/24 | 0.0712 | | | | 61/8 | 0.1289 |
| | | | 44/17 | 0.2014 | | | | 61/8 | 0.0503 |
| | | | 44/18/1 | 0.0500 | | | | 61/9 | 0.0684 |
| | | | 44/14 | 0.0062 | | | | CTR | 0.0113 |
| | | | 44/13 | 0.2201 | | | | 61/3/2 | 0.0010 |
| | | | 44/12 | 0.0369 | | | | 61/2 | 0.2047 |
| | | | 44/8 | 0.0114 | | | | 61/1 | 0.0532 |
| | | | 44/9 | 0.2319 | | | | 46/22 | 0.0048 |
| | | | 44/10/1 | 0.0228 | | | | 46/21 | 0.2168 |
| | | | 44/2 | 0.0225 | | | | 46/20/2 | 0.0114 |
| | | | 44/1 | 0.2071 | | | | 47/25 | 0.0373 |
| | | | Cart Track | 0.0278 | | | | 47/16 | 0.2233 |
| | | | 45/5 | 0.0141 | | | | 47/17 | 0.0242 |
| | | | 41/21 | 0.0186 | | | | 47/15 | 0.0209 |
| | | | 40/25 | 0.2171 | | | | 47/14/2 | 0.1086 |
| | | | 40/16 | 0.0443 | | | | 47/14/1 | 0.1155 |
| | | | Field ct | 0.0213 | | | | 47/13 | 0.0139 |
| | | | 40/24 | 0.0023 | | | | 47/7/3 | 0.0332 |
| | | | 40/17 | 0.1905 | | | | 47/8/5 | 0.0317 |
| | | | 40/14 | 0.0842 | | | | 47/8/4 | 0.0318 |
| | | | Field ct | 0.0210 | | | | 47/8/3 | 0.0054 |
| | | | 40/13 | 0.1431 | | | | 47/8/2 | 0.0275 |

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---------|---------|----------|--------------|---------------|---------|---------|----------|---------------|---------------|
| Sonipat | Gannaur | Udesipur | 47/8/1 | 0.1230 | Sonipat | Gannaur | Udesipur | 10/21 | 0.0026 |
| | | (Contd.) | 47/9 | 0.0065 | | | (Contd.) | CTR | 0.0227 |
| | | | 47/3 | 0.0482 | | | | 16/5 | 0.0477 |
| | | | 47/2 | 0.2088 | | | | 9/25 | 0.2064 |
| | | | 47/1 | 0.0018 | | | | 9/24 | 0.0862 |
| | | | 43/22 | 0.0351 | | | | 9/16 | 0.0002 |
| | | | 43/21 | 0.0244 | | | | 9/17 | 0.1661 |
| | | | NALA | 0.0334 | | | | 9/18 | 0.1343 |
| | | | 27/15 | 0.1393 | | | | 9/13 | 0.1178 |
| | | | 27/6 | 0.0363 | | | | 9/12 | 0.1810 |
| | | | 27/14 | 0.0086 | | | | 9/11 | 0.0015 |
| | | | 27/7 | 0.1775 | | | | 9/9 | 0.0711 |
| | | | CTR | 0.0041 | | | | 9/10 | 0.2147 |
| | | | 27/8 | 0.0294 | | | | 9/1 | 0.0413 |
| | | | 27/4 | 0.0181 | | | | 8/6 | 0.0095 |
| | | | 27/3 | 0.2197 | | | | 8/5 | 0.2195 |
| | | | 27/2 | 0.0626 | | | | 8/4 | 0.0085 |
| | | | 25/23 | 0.0031 | | | | 7/25 | 0.0435 |
| | | | 25/22 | 0.1895 | | | | 7/24 | 0.1821 |
| | | | 25/21 | 0.1078 | | | | 7/17 | 0.0005 |
| | | | 25/20 | 0.1444 | | | | TOTAL | 7.9338 |
| | | | 24/16 | 0.1560 | Sonipat | Gannaur | Bhader | CTR | 0.0251 |
| | | | 24/15 | 0.0939 | | | | 16/7 | 0.0242 |
| | | | 24/14 | 0.2009 | | | | 16/6 | 0.0031 |
| | | | 24/13 | 0.0056 | | | | CTR | 0.0133 |
| | | | 24/7 | 0.0534 | | | | 16/15 | 0.0490 |
| | | | 24/8 | 0.2233 | | | | 17/11/2 | 0.1224 |
| | | | 24/9 | 0.0236 | | | | 17/20 | 0.1088 |
| | | | 24/3 | 0.0232 | | | | 17/19 | 0.2169 |
| | | | 24/2 | 0.2228 | | | | 17/22 | 0.0142 |
| | | | 24/1 | 0.0540 | | | | 17/1 8/2 | 0.0286 |
| | | | CTR | 0.0440 | | | | 17/18/1 | 0.0134 |
| | | | 14/22 | 0.0010 | | | | 17/23 | 0.1892 |
| | | | 14/21 | 0.1525 | | | | 17/24 | 0.1608 |
| | | | 15/25 | 0.1032 | | | | 17/25 | 0.0035 |
| | | | 15/16 | 0.1552 | | | | 26/4/1 | 0.0448 |
| | | | 15/17 | 0.1451 | | | | 26/4/2 | 0.0255 |
| | | | 15/14 | 0.1070 | | | | 26/5/1 | 0.0252 |
| | | | 15/13 | 0.1901 | | | | 26/5/2 | 0.2004 |
| | | | 15/12 | 0.0032 | | | | 26/6 | 0.0033 |
| | | | 15/8 | 0.0620 | | | | DISTRY | 0.0362 |
| | | | 15/9 | 0.2022 | | | | 25/1 | 0.0450 |
| | | | DRAIN | 0.0178 | | | | 25/10 | 0.1487 |
| | | | 15/2 | 0.0289 | | | | 25/9/1 | 0.0386 |
| | | | 15/10 | 0.0184 | | | | 25/9/2 | 0.1523 |
| | | | 15/1 | 0.2062 | | | | 25/12 | 0.0402 |

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---------|---------|--------------------|---------------|---------------|---------|---------|---------------------|---------------|--------|
| Sonipat | Gannaur | Bhadet (Condt.) | 25/8 | 0.0153 | Sonipat | Gannaur | Purkhas (Condt.) | 49/24/1 | 0.0082 |
| | | | 25/13 | 0.2159 | | | | 49/17/1 | 0.0266 |
| | | | 25/14 | 0.1116 | | | | 49/17/2 | 0.0824 |
| | | | 25/17/1 | 0.0839 | | | | 49/18 | 0.1639 |
| | | | 25/17/2 | 0.0357 | | | | 49/13 | 0.1112 |
| | | | 25/16 | 0.2172 | | | | 49/12 | 0.1579 |
| | | | 25/25 | 0.0220 | | | | 49/11 | 0.0021 |
| | | | ASP | 0.0380 | | | | 49/9 | 0.1091 |
| | | | 24/20/2 | 0.0138 | | | | 49/10 | 0.1667 |
| | | | 24/21 | 0.1449 | | | | Cart Track | 0.0085 |
| | | | 24/22 | 0.0164 | | | | 49/1/1 | 0.0943 |
| | | | TOTAL | 2.6471 | | | | 50/5 | 0.1683 |
| | | | | | | | | 39/25 | 0.1105 |
| | | | | | | | | 39/24 | 0.1280 |
| Sonipat | Gannaur | Purkhas | 70/24 | 0.0649 | | | | 39/17 | 0.1744 |
| | | | 70/23 | 0.0024 | | | | 39/19 | 0.0660 |
| | | | 70/17 | 0.0614 | | | | Cart Track | 0.0051 |
| | | | 70/18 | 0.1982 | | | | 39/14 | 0.0015 |
| | | | 70/19 | 0.0011 | | | | Cart Track | 0.0094 |
| | | | 70/13/2 | 0.0661 | | | | 39/13 | 0.1613 |
| | | | 70/13/1 | 0.0028 | | | | 39/12 | 0.0515 |
| | | | 70/12 | 0.2067 | | | | Cart Track | 0.0104 |
| | | | 70/11/1 | 0.0051 | | | | 39/8 | 0.0031 |
| | | | 70/9 | 0.0517 | | | | 39/9 | 0.2385 |
| | | | 70/10 | 0.1876 | | | | 39/10 | 0.0027 |
| | | | 70/1/2 | 0.0316 | | | | 39/2 | 0.0632 |
| | | | Field Channel | 0.0159 | | | | 39/1 | 0.1818 |
| | | | Cart Track | 0.0319 | | | | 24/21 | 0.0977 |
| | | | 71/6 | 0.0016 | | | | Field Channel | 0.0178 |
| | | | 71/5/2 | 0.0193 | | | | 25/25 | 0.1293 |
| | | | 71/5/1 | 0.1488 | | | | 25/16/2 | 0.0987 |
| | | | 64/25 | 0.0856 | | | | 25/16/1 | 0.0762 |
| | | | 71/4 | 0.0001 | | | | 25/17/2 | 0.0191 |
| | | | 64/24 | 0.1719 | | | | 25/17/1 | 0.0491 |
| | | | 64/17 | 0.0921 | | | | 25/15 | 0.0014 |
| | | | 64/18 | 0.1236 | | | | 25/14 | 0.2083 |
| | | | Asphalted | | | | | 25/13 | 0.0223 |
| | | | Road | 0.0397 | | | | 25/7 | 0.0234 |
| | | | 64/13 | 0.1144 | | | | 25/8 | 0.1742 |
| | | | Cart Track | 0.0171 | | | | 25/3 | 0.1167 |
| | | | Field Channel | 0.0164 | | | | 25/2/1 | 0.0510 |
| | | | 64/12 | 0.1268 | | | | Asphalted | |
| | | | 64/9 | 0.1062 | | | | Road | 0.0303 |
| | | | 64/10 | 0.1601 | | | | Field Channel | 0.0120 |
| | | | 64/1 | 0.1131 | | | | 25/2 | 0.0706 |
| | | | 63/5 | 0.1541 | | | | 14/22 | 0.1410 |
| | | | 49/25 | 0.1208 | | | | | |
| | | | 49/24/2 | 0.1432 | | | | | |

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|-----------------|------------|---------------|---------------|---|-----------------|------------|--------------|--------|---|
| Sonipat Gannaur | Purkhas | Field Channel | 0.0049 | | Sonipat Gannaur | Singhkhera | 49/21 | 0.0066 | |
| | | 14/21/2 | 0.0091 | | | | Cart Track | 0.0040 | |
| | | 14/21/1 | 0.1010 | | | | 48/25 | 0.2180 | |
| | | 14/20 | 0.1711 | | | | 48/24 | 0.0106 | |
| | | Cart Track | 0.0288 | | | | 48/16 | 0.0342 | |
| | | 14/11 | 0.0035 | | | | 48/17 | 0.2050 | |
| | | 13/16 | 0.0345 | | | | 48/14/1 | 0.0916 | |
| | | 13/15 | 0.2202 | | | | 48/13/2 | 0.0444 | |
| | | 13/14 | 0.0089 | | | | 48/13/1 | 0.1104 | |
| | | 13/6 | 0.0473 | | | | 48/8 | 0.1566 | |
| | | 13/7/1 | 0.1924 | | | | 48/9 | 0.0857 | |
| | | 13/4 | 0.1131 | | | | 48/3 | 0.0000 | |
| | | Cart Track | 0.0138 | | | | 48/2/2 | 0.0440 | |
| | | 13/3 | 0.1152 | | | | 48/2/1 | 0.1631 | |
| | | 4/23/2 | 0.1345 | | | | 48/1 | 0.0331 | |
| | | 4/23/1 | 0.0400 | | | | Cart Track | 0.0090 | |
| | | 4/22 | 0.0617 | | | | 36/22/1 | 0.0125 | |
| | | 4/18/2 | 0.0031 | | | | 36/21 | 0.2041 | |
| | | 4/19 | 0.2014 | | | | 36/20 | 0.0383 | |
| | | 4/20 | 0.0055 | | | | Cart Track | 0.0243 | |
| | | 4/12/2 | 0.0480 | | | | 37/25/2 | 0.0043 | |
| | | 4/11 | 0.2179 | | | | 37/16 | 0.1820 | |
| | | 4/10/2 | 0.0729 | | | | 37/15/4 | 0.0000 | |
| | | Field Channel | 0.0057 | | | | 37/15/3 | 0.0013 | |
| | | 5/15 | 0.0002 | | | | 37/15/2 | 0.0032 | |
| | | 5/6 | 0.1681 | | | | 37/15/1 | 0.1263 | |
| | | 5/5 | 0.1467 | | | | 37/14/3 | 0.1225 | |
| | | 5/4 | 0.0999 | | | | Cart Track | 0.0118 | |
| | | 2/24 | 0.0459 | | | | 37/7 | 0.1702 | |
| | | Field Channel | 0.0058 | | | | 37/8/1 | 0.0458 | |
| | | TOTAL | 8.6492 | | | | 37/4 | 0.0077 | |
| Sonipat Gannaur | Singhkhera | 50/24 | 0.1333 | | | | 37/3/2 | 0.0143 | |
| | | 50/23 | 0.0318 | | | | 37/3/1 | 0.2088 | |
| | | 50/17 | 0.0202 | | | | 37/2/2 | 0.0101 | |
| | | 50/18 | 0.2150 | | | | 32/23 | 0.0390 | |
| | | 50/19/1 | 0.0086 | | | | 32/22 | 0.1987 | |
| | | 50/13 | 0.0462 | | | | 32/21 | 0.0003 | |
| | | 50/12/2 | 0.1225 | | | | 32/19 | 0.0839 | |
| | | 50/12/1 | 0.0767 | | | | 32/20 | 0.1550 | |
| | | Cart Track | 0.0080 | | | | 32/11/2 | 0.1231 | |
| | | 50/9 | 0.0945 | | | | 31/15/2 | 0.0016 | |
| | | Cart Track | 0.0192 | | | | Asphalt Road | 0.0256 | |
| | | 50/10 | 0.1053 | | | | 32/11/1 | 0.0252 | |
| | | 50/1 | 0.1916 | | | | 31/15/1 | 0.0830 | |
| | | 51/5/2 | 0.0434 | | | | 31/6 | 0.1831 | |
| | | Cart Track | 0.0091 | | | | Cart Track | 0.0091 | |

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---------|---------|------------------------|------------|--------|---------|---------|------------------------|--------------|----------------|
| Sonipat | Gannaur | Singhkhera (Contd.) | 31/5 | 0.0588 | Sonipat | Gannaur | Singhkhera (Contd.) | 8/25/1 | 0.0480 |
| | | | 31/4 | 0.1986 | | | | 8/25/26 | 0.0109 |
| | | | 20/24 | 0.0958 | | | | 8/24 | 0.1272 |
| | | | Cart Track | 0.0146 | | | | 8/17/2 | 0.0995 |
| | | | 20/23 | 0.1120 | | | | 8/23 | 0.0004 |
| | | | Cart Track | 0.0154 | | | | 8/18 | 0.2218 |
| | | | 20/18 | 0.1562 | | | | 8/19 | 0.0639 |
| | | | 20/19 | 0.0922 | | | | 8/13 | 0.0065 |
| | | | 20/12 | 0.2014 | | | | 8/12 | 0.1659 |
| | | | 20/11 | 0.0355 | | | | 8/11 | 0.1742 |
| | | | 20/9 | 0.0131 | | | | Cart Track | 0.0276 |
| | | | 20/10 | 0.2090 | | | | 8/10/2 | 0.0390 |
| | | | 20/1 | 0.0302 | | | | 9/15 | 0.0131 |
| | | | Cart Track | 0.0257 | | | | 9/6 | 0.1978 |
| | | | 21/6 | 0.0066 | | | | 9/7 | 0.1345 |
| | | | 21/5 | 0.2270 | | | | Cart Track | 0.0299 |
| | | | 15/25 | 0.0013 | | | | 9/4 | 0.0676 |
| | | | 21/4/2 | 0.0694 | | | | 9/8 | 0.0079 |
| | | | 21/4/1 | 0.0156 | | | | Cart Track | 0.0080 |
| | | | 15/24 | 0.1691 | | | | 9/3/2 | 0.1398 |
| | | | 15/23 | 0.1692 | | | | 9/3/1 | 0.0605 |
| | | | Cart Track | 0.0263 | | | | Cart Track | 0.0104 |
| | | | 15/22 | 0.0230 | | | | Cart Track | 0.0054 |
| | | | 15/18/2 | 0.0178 | | | | 2/23 | 0.0026 |
| | | | Cart Track | 0.0028 | | | | 9/2 | 0.0847 |
| | | | 15/19/1 | 0.0854 | | | | 2/22/2 | 0.0854 |
| | | | 15/19/2 | 0.1075 | | | | 2/22/1 | 0.0540 |
| | | | 15/20 | 0.1474 | | | | 2/21 | 0.2102 |
| | | | 15/11 | 0.0859 | | | | 2/20 | 0.0241 |
| | | | 14/16 | 0.0018 | | | | 1/25 | 0.1558 |
| | | | 14/15 | 0.2176 | | | | 1/16 | 0.0405 |
| | | | 14/14/2 | 0.0498 | | | | 1/24 | 0.1517 |
| | | | 14/14/1 | 0.0669 | | | | Sardhana | |
| | | | 14/7 | 0.1225 | | | | Distributory | 0.0722 |
| | | | Cart Track | 0.0122 | | | | 1/23 | 0.1266 |
| | | | 14/8/2 | 0.0485 | | | | 10/3 | 0.0078 |
| | | | Cart Track | 0.0184 | | | | TOTAL | 10.8543 |
| | | | 14/8/1 | 0.1481 | Sonipat | Gannaur | Mohammadpur Majra | 14/23 | 0.0349 |
| | | | 14/9/1 | 0.0602 | | | | 28/3 | 0.0109 |
| | | | 14/3 | 0.0078 | | | | 28/2 | 0.0580 |
| | | | 14/2 | 0.1533 | | | | 14/22 | 0.1738 |
| | | | 14/1 | 0.1777 | | | | 14/21 | 0.1547 |
| | | | 7/21 | 0.0364 | | | | 14/20 | 0.0930 |
| | | | Cart Track | 0.0137 | | | | 15/16/2 | 0.1628 |
| | | | 13/5 | 0.0162 | | | | 15/16/1 | 0.0433 |
| | | | 8/25/2 | 0.1578 | | | | 15/17/1 | 0.0047 |

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---------|---------|-------------|----------------|--------|---------|---------|----------------|--------------|---------------|
| Sonipat | Gannaur | Mohammadpur | 15/17/2 | 0.0010 | Sonipat | Gannaur | Mohammadpur | 7/17 | 0.0661 |
| | | Majra | 15/15/1 | 0.0234 | | | Majra (Contd.) | 7/15 | 0.0022 |
| | | | 15/15/2 | 0.0075 | | | | 7/14 | 0.2113 |
| | | | 96/Drain | 0.0206 | | | | 7/13 | 0.0069 |
| | | | 15/14 | 0.2152 | | | | 7/8 | 0.0138 |
| | | | Cart Track | 0.0144 | | | | 7/7 | 0.0436 |
| | | | 15/7 | 0.0111 | | | | TOTAL | 4.8501 |
| | | | 15/13 | 0.0334 | Sonipat | Gannaur | Teori | 60/8 | 0.1637 |
| | | | 15/8 | 0.2068 | | | | 60/3 | 0.1199 |
| | | | 15/3 | 0.0000 | | | | 60/2 | 0.1658 |
| | | | 15/9 | 0.0917 | | | | 60/1 | 0.0042 |
| | | | 15/2 | 0.1563 | | | | 58/21 | 0.1067 |
| | | | 15/1 | 0.1533 | | | | 58/22 | 0.0673 |
| | | | 12/21 | 0.0947 | | | | Bhainswal | |
| | | | 11/25 | 0.2049 | | | | Distributory | 0.1146 |
| | | | Cart Track | 0.0149 | | | | 57/25 | 0.1008 |
| | | | 11/24 | 0.0101 | | | | 57/16 | 0.1641 |
| | | | 11/16 | 0.0335 | | | | 57/17/2 | 0.0015 |
| | | | 11/17 | 0.2211 | | | | 57/17/1 | 0.0872 |
| | | | 11/18 | 0.0401 | | | | 57/14 | 0.2043 |
| | | | 11/14 | 0.0116 | | | | 57/13 | 0.0225 |
| | | | 11/13/2 | 0.0241 | | | | 57/7 | 0.0225 |
| | | | 11/13/1 | 0.1856 | | | | 57/8 | 0.2134 |
| | | | 11/8 | 0.0002 | | | | 57/9 | 0.0011 |
| | | | 11/12 | 0.0880 | | | | 57/3 | 0.0715 |
| | | | 11/9/2 | 0.1761 | | | | 57/2 | 0.1589 |
| | | | 11/9/1 | 0.0007 | | | | Cart Track | 0.0093 |
| | | | 11/10/2 | 0.0890 | | | | 44/22 | 0.1542 |
| | | | 11/10/1 | 0.0764 | | | | 44/21/1 | 0.0821 |
| | | | 11/1 | 0.0549 | | | | 44/20 | 0.2037 |
| | | | 203/Cart Track | 0.0204 | | | | 44/11 | 0.0210 |
| | | | 10/5 | 0.0165 | | | | 45/16 | 0.0311 |
| | | | 10/6 | 0.0462 | | | | 45/15 | 0.2158 |
| | | | Delhi Branch | | | | | 45/14 | 0.0027 |
| | | | Western | 0.3463 | | | | 45/6 | 0.0551 |
| | | | Yamuna Canal | | | | | 45/7 | 0.1768 |
| | | | 76/Cart Track | 0.0180 | | | | 45/4 | 0.1431 |
| | | | 10/8 | 0.1668 | | | | 45/3 | 0.0985 |
| | | | 10/9 | 0.2433 | | | | 115 | 0.0395 |
| | | | 10/10 | 0.0363 | | | | 38/23 | 0.1644 |
| | | | 10/1 | 0.1963 | | | | 38/22 | 0.0331 |
| | | | 10/2 | 0.0129 | | | | 38/18 | 0.0147 |
| | | | 235/Cart Track | 0.0213 | | | | 38/19 | 0.2108 |
| | | | 6/21 | 0.0728 | | | | 38/12 | 0.1074 |
| | | | 7/25 | 0.1486 | | | | 38/11 | 0.1046 |
| | | | 7/16 | 0.1642 | | | | 38/10 | 0.1768 |

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---------|---------|----------------|--------------|---------------|---------|---------|----------|------------|--------|
| Sonipat | Gannaur | Teori (Contd.) | Dabheta | | Sonipat | Gannaur | Pugthala | 101/12 | 0.0471 |
| | | | Distributory | 0.3594 | | | | 101/9/2 | 0.0639 |
| | | | 28/25 | 0.0844 | | | | 101/9/1 | 0.1185 |
| | | | 28/24 | 0.0153 | | | | 101/2/2 | 0.1117 |
| | | | 28/16 | 0.0372 | | | | 101/2/1 | 0.0607 |
| | | | 28/17 | 0.1817 | | | | Cart Track | 0.0093 |
| | | | 28/14 | 0.1861 | | | | 99/22 | 0.1991 |
| | | | 28/13 | 0.0301 | | | | 99/19 | 0.1533 |
| | | | 28/7 | 0.0204 | | | | 99/20 | 0.0141 |
| | | | 28/8 | 0.1887 | | | | 99/12 | 0.0905 |
| | | | 28/3 | 0.1472 | | | | 99/11 | 0.0867 |
| | | | 28/2/2 | 0.0607 | | | | 99/10 | 0.1554 |
| | | | 19/23 | 0.0044 | | | | 99/9 | 0.0461 |
| | | | 28/2/1 | 0.0021 | | | | 99/1 | 0.1963 |
| | | | 19/22 | 0.2044 | | | | 99/2 | 0.0002 |
| | | | 19/19 | 0.1241 | | | | 80/21 | 0.1844 |
| | | | 19/12 | 0.0006 | | | | 80/25 | 0.0012 |
| | | | 19/20 | 0.0865 | | | | 80/20 | 0.1162 |
| | | | 19/11/3 | 0.1278 | | | | 80/16/2 | 0.0132 |
| | | | 19/11/2 | 0.0558 | | | | 80/16/1 | 0.0500 |
| | | | 19/11/1 | 0.0233 | | | | 81/15 | 0.1554 |
| | | | 19/10 | 0.0803 | | | | 80/11 | 0.0218 |
| | | | Cart Track | 0.0155 | | | | 81/16 | 0.2124 |
| | | | 18/6 | 0.1175 | | | | 81/5/1 | 0.1532 |
| | | | 18/4 | 0.0001 | | | | 81/5/2 | 0.0003 |
| | | | 18/5 | 0.2041 | | | | 81/4/1 | 0.0381 |
| | | | Cart Track | 0.0091 | | | | 76/24/2 | 0.0091 |
| | | | 11/25 | 0.0623 | | | | 129 | 0.0150 |
| | | | 11/24 | 0.1134 | | | | 76/25/1 | 0.0173 |
| | | | Asphalt Road | 0.0239 | | | | 76/24/1 | 0.1557 |
| | | | 11/17 | 0.2145 | | | | 76/17 | 0.1589 |
| | | | 11/18 | 0.0109 | | | | 76/14 | 0.1341 |
| | | | 11/14 | 0.0529 | | | | 76/13 | 0.0999 |
| | | | 11/13 | 0.1390 | | | | 76/7 | 0.0000 |
| | | | Cart Track | 0.0143 | | | | 76/8 | 0.2090 |
| | | | 11/8 | 0.1827 | | | | 199 | 0.0069 |
| | | | 11/3 | 0.1636 | | | | 76/3/2 | 0.0027 |
| | | | Drain | 0.0441 | | | | 76/3/1 | 0.1052 |
| | | | 3/23 | 0.1302 | | | | 76/2 | 0.0869 |
| | | | Cart Track | 0.0372 | | | | 57/23/1 | 0.0012 |
| | | | 3/22 | 0.0163 | | | | 57/22 | 0.1983 |
| | | | 3/19 | 0.0784 | | | | 57/19/2 | 0.1374 |
| | | | 3/18 | 0.0852 | | | | 57/20 | 0.0218 |
| | | | 3/12 | 0.0737 | | | | 150 | 0.0111 |
| | | | 3/13 | 0.0194 | | | | 57/19/1 | 0.0332 |
| | | | TOTAL | 7.6635 | | | | 57/12 | 0.0186 |

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---------|---------|----------|-----------------------|--------|---------|---------|----------|--------------|---------------|
| Sonipat | Gannaur | Pugthala | 57/11 | 0.1679 | Sonipat | Gannaur | Pugthala | Cart Track | 0.0116 |
| | | | 57/10/3 | 0.0598 | | | | 24/6/2 | 0.0440 |
| | | | 57/10/2 | 0.0995 | | | | 24/6/1 | 0.0555 |
| | | | 57/10/1 | 0.0248 | | | | 24/5 | 0.2088 |
| | | | 57/1 | 0.1728 | | | | 24/4/2 | 0.0010 |
| | | | 58/5/1 | 0.0269 | | | | 11/25/2 | 0.0573 |
| | | | 52/21 | 0.0474 | | | | 11/25/1 | 0.0320 |
| | | | 51/25 | 0.1455 | | | | 11/24 | 0.1195 |
| | | | 51/16/1 | 0.1607 | | | | 11/17 | 0.0331 |
| | | | 51/16/2 | 0.0242 | | | | TOTAL | 7.5401 |
| | | | 51/15 | 0.1939 | Sonipat | Sonipat | Manoli | 52/14 | 0.0641 |
| | | | 51/14/2 | 0.0043 | | | | 52/7/3 | 0.0265 |
| | | | 51/7/1 | 0.0249 | | | | 52/13/1 | 0.1270 |
| | | | 51/6/2 | 0.0594 | | | | 52/8/2 | 0.0793 |
| | | | Asphalt Road | 0.0271 | | | | 52/12/1 | 0.1283 |
| | | | 51/7/2 | 0.0638 | | | | 52/9/2 | 0.0834 |
| | | | 51/6/1 | 0.0010 | | | | 52/11/2 | 0.0288 |
| | | | 51/4/2/2 | 0.0963 | | | | 52/10/2 | 0.0779 |
| | | | 51/4/2/1/1 | 0.0898 | | | | 52/11/1 | 0.0918 |
| | | | 51/4/1 | 0.0037 | | | | 51/15 | 0.1416 |
| | | | 34/24/1 | 0.0296 | | | | 51/6 | 0.0635 |
| | | | 34/24/2 (Asp Road) | 0.0272 | | | | 51/14/2 | 0.0955 |
| | | | 34/24/3 | 0.1110 | | | | 51/14/1 | 0.0455 |
| | | | 24/25/1 | 0.0262 | | | | 51/7 | 0.0616 |
| | | | 34/25/2 | 0.0219 | | | | 51/13 | 0.1442 |
| | | | 34/16 | 0.0007 | | | | 51/8 | 0.0633 |
| | | | 34/17 | 0.2053 | | | | 51/12 | 0.1340 |
| | | | 34/14/1 | 0.1099 | | | | 51/9 | 0.0593 |
| | | | 34/13 | 0.0898 | | | | 51/11 | 0.1373 |
| | | | 34/7/2 | 0.0002 | | | | 51/10 | 0.0539 |
| | | | 34/8/1 | 0.1193 | | | | 50/15 | 0.1756 |
| | | | 34/13/2 | 0.0341 | | | | 50/6 | 0.0435 |
| | | | 34/8/2 | 0.0567 | | | | 50/14 | 0.1739 |
| | | | 34/3 | 0.1278 | | | | 50/7 | 0.0197 |
| | | | 34/2/2 | 0.0853 | | | | 50/13 | 0.1861 |
| | | | 25/23 | 0.0007 | | | | 50/8 | 0.0081 |
| | | | 25/22 | 0.2075 | | | | 50/12 | 0.2064 |
| | | | Cart Track | 0.0211 | | | | 50/9 | 0.0000 |
| | | | 25/19 | 0.0981 | | | | 50/11 | 0.2064 |
| | | | 25/20 | 0.0884 | | | | 49/15 | 0.1914 |
| | | | 25/12 | 0.0001 | | | | 49/14 | 0.2090 |
| | | | 25/11/2 | 0.1382 | | | | 49/13 | 0.1821 |
| | | | 25/11/1 | 0.0674 | | | | 354 | 0.0150 |
| | | | 25/10 | 0.0961 | | | | 49/12 | 0.2039 |

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---------|---------|----------|--------------|---------------|---------|---------|-------|--------------|---------------|
| Sonipat | Sonipat | Jakhauli | 123/2 | 0.1047 | Sonipat | Sonipat | Patla | 14/9 | 0.1988 |
| | | | 123/10 | 0.0745 | | | | 14/10 | 0.2081 |
| | | | 123/1 | 0.1314 | | | | 15/6 | 0.1967 |
| | | | 124/6 | 0.0330 | | | | 15/7 | 0.1913 |
| | | | 124/5 | 0.1520 | | | | 15/14 | 0.0017 |
| | | | Cart Track | 0.0192 | | | | 15/13 | 0.0002 |
| | | | 124/7 | 0.0009 | | | | 52/CTR | 0.1235 |
| | | | 124/4 | 0.2072 | | | | 15/13 | 0.0072 |
| | | | 124/3 | 0.1966 | | | | 15/8 | 0.1723 |
| | | | 124/2 | 0.1955 | | | | 15/12 | 0.0245 |
| | | | 124/1 | 0.1993 | | | | 15/9 | 0.1638 |
| | | | 125/5/2 | 0.0983 | | | | 15/11 | 0.0324 |
| | | | 125/5/1 | 0.1373 | | | | 15/10 | 0.1394 |
| | | | 125/4 | 0.1664 | | | | 16/15 | 0.0485 |
| | | | 111/24 | 0.0022 | | | | 16/6 | 0.1337 |
| | | | 125/3 | 0.2040 | | | | 16/14/1 | 0.0030 |
| | | | 111/23 | 0.0019 | | | | 16/14/2 | 0.0018 |
| | | | 125/2 | 0.1915 | | | | 16/7 | 0.1718 |
| | | | 125/1 | 0.2170 | | | | 16/8 | 0.2075 |
| | | | 125/10 | 0.0083 | | | | 16/3 | 0.0000 |
| | | | 126/6 | 0.0469 | | | | 16/9 | 0.1272 |
| | | | 126/5 | 0.1269 | | | | 16/10 | 0.0085 |
| | | | 320 | 0.0269 | | | | 16/2 | 0.0845 |
| | | | 126/7 | 0.1036 | | | | 16/1 | 0.1963 |
| | | | 126/4 | 0.0302 | | | | Cart Track | 0.0085 |
| | | | 426 | 0.1164 | | | | 17/5 | 0.2166 |
| | | | 126/3 | 0.0004 | | | | 7/25 | 0.0051 |
| | | | 126/8 | 0.1757 | | | | 17/4 | 0.0957 |
| | | | 126/9 | 0.1695 | | | | 7/24 | 0.1086 |
| | | | 126/10 | 0.2094 | | | | 17/3 | 0.0019 |
| | | | 127/6 | 0.0476 | | | | 7/23 | 0.2029 |
| | | | TOTAL | 8.4463 | | | | 7/22 | 0.0501 |
| | | Patla | 13/6 | 0.1419 | | | | TOTAL | 4.6993 |
| | | | 13/7 | 0.2046 | | Sonipat | Seoli | 76/22 | 0.1387 |
| | | | 13/8/1 | 0.1432 | | | | 76/19 | 0.0161 |
| | | | 13/8/2 | 0.0537 | | | | 76/20 | 0.1322 |
| | | | Cart Track | 0.0153 | | | | 76/21 | 0.0801 |
| | | | 13/9 | 0.1973 | | | | 75/25 | 0.0006 |
| | | | 13/10/1 | 0.1117 | | | | 75/16 | 0.1986 |
| | | | 13/10/2 | 0.0485 | | | | CTR | 0.0108 |
| | | | 56/ASP | 0.0363 | | | | 75/17 | 0.1868 |
| | | | 14/6 | 0.2052 | | | | 75/14 | 0.0240 |
| | | | 14/7 | 0.2019 | | | | 75/18 | 0.0592 |
| | | | 14/8 | 0.2075 | | | | 75/13 | 0.1516 |

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---------|---------|-------------|----------------|---------------|---------|----------|------------|--------------|---------------|
| Sonipat | Sonipat | Seoli | 75/12 | 0.2172 | Sonipat | Sonipat | Jagdishpu | 46/11 | 0.0155 |
| | | | 75/11 | 0.1768 | | | | 45/15 | 0.1124 |
| | | | 75/10 | 0.0361 | | | | TOTAL | 0.1279 |
| | | | 74/15 | 0.0415 | Sonipat | Chhatera | Bahadurpur | ASP | 0.0097 |
| | | | 74/6 | 0.1626 | | | | 3/15 | 0.0557 |
| | | | 74/7 | 0.2144 | | | | ASP | 0.0062 |
| | | | 74/8 | 0.1595 | | | | 3/14 | 0.1953 |
| | | | 74/3 | 0.0512 | | | | 3/13 | 0.1947 |
| | | | 74/9 | 0.0259 | | | | 3/12 | 0.2055 |
| | | | 74/2 | 0.1797 | | | | 3/11 | 0.1684 |
| | | | 74/1 | 0.1503 | | | | 3/20 | 0.0696 |
| | | | CANAL | 0.0845 | | | | ASP | 0.0426 |
| | | | Cart Track | 0.0004 | | | | 4/16 | 0.2120 |
| | | | Cart Track | 0.0023 | | | | 4/25 | 0.0004 |
| | | | 73/5 | 0.1631 | | | | 4/24/2 | 0.0123 |
| | | | 73/4 | 0.2045 | | | | 4/24/1 | 0.0584 |
| | | | 73/3 | 0.1910 | | | | 4/17 | 0.1396 |
| | | | 73/2 | 0.0128 | | | | 4/18 | 0.0028 |
| | | | TOTAL | 3.0725 | | | | 4/23 | 0.1805 |
| | Sonipat | Budh Khalsa | 15/3 | 0.0144 | | | | 6/3 | 0.0757 |
| | | | 15/2 | 0.1888 | | | | 6/2 | 0.1556 |
| | | | 15/1 | 0.2079 | | | | 6/9 | 0.0827 |
| | | | 14/5 | 0.1945 | | | | 6/10 | 0.1896 |
| | | | 14/4 | 0.1963 | | | | 6/11 | 0.0002 |
| | | | 14/3 | 0.2009 | | | | ASP | 0.0623 |
| | | | 14/2 | 0.1982 | | | | 5/6/1 | 0.0269 |
| | | | 14/1/2 | 0.0355 | | | | 5/6/2 | 0.0402 |
| | | TP-8 | Ch. 8322.90 m | in | | | | 5/15 | 0.0694 |
| | | | to | Road | | | | 5/14 | 0.2012 |
| | | | Ch. 9417.62 m | ROU | | | | 5/13 | 0.0967 |
| | | | TOTAL | 1.2365 | | | | TOTAL | 2.5542 |
| | Sonipat | Badh Malak | Ch. 9417.62 m | in | | | | 20/13 | 0.1537 |
| | | | to | Road | | | | 20/12/2 | 0.1996 |
| | | | Ch. 10301.93 m | ROU | | | | 20/19 | 0.0082 |
| | | | TOTAL | | | | | 20/20 | 0.0208 |
| | Sonipat | Jathadi | Ch. 10301.93 m | in | | | | 20/11/2 | 0.1726 |
| | | | to | Road | | | | 21/16 | 0.0006 |
| | | | Ch. 12730.31 m | ROU | | | | 21/15/2 | 0.1939 |
| | | | TOTAL | | | | | 21/14/2 | 0.2018 |
| | Sonipat | Akbarpur | Ch. 12730.31 m | in | | | | 21/13/2 | 0.1648 |
| | Barotha | | to | Road | | | | 21/18 | 0.0301 |
| | | | Ch. 14118.68 m | ROU | | | | Asphalt Road | 0.0119 |
| | | | TOTAL | | | | | Asphalt Road | 0.0336 |
| | Sonipat | Jagdishpur | Ch. 14118.68 m | in | | | | | |
| | | | to | Road | | | | | |
| | | | Ch. 14645.94 m | ROU | | | | | |

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---------|---------|----------|--------------|---------------|---------|---------|----------|------------|--------|
| Sonipat | Sonipat | Ladpur | 21/21 | 0.0254 | Sonipat | Sonipat | Abbaspur | Cart Track | 0.0196 |
| | | | 21/19 | 0.1758 | | | | 14/25 | 0.0915 |
| | | | 21/20/2 | 0.1820 | | | | 14/24 | 0.2039 |
| | | | 22/16/2 | 0.1202 | | | | 14/23/2 | 0.0038 |
| | | | 22/16/2 | 0.0120 | | | | 25/4 | 0.0602 |
| | | | 22/25 | 0.0175 | | | | 25/3 | 0.2146 |
| | | | 22/24/2 | 0.0150 | | | | 25/2/2 | 0.0185 |
| | | | Cart Track | 0.0316 | | | | 25/8 | 0.0264 |
| | | | Cart Track | 0.0190 | | | | 25/9/1 | 0.1391 |
| | | | Asphalt Road | 0.0798 | | | | 25/9/2 | 0.0993 |
| | | | 22/17/1 | 0.0588 | | | | 25/10 | 0.0250 |
| | | | 22/24/1 | 0.0861 | | | | 25/12/1 | 0.0191 |
| | | | 22/23 | 0.1940 | | | | 25/11 | 0.2184 |
| | | | 22/18/2 | 0.0063 | | | | 26/15 | 0.0586 |
| | | | 22/18/1 | 0.0009 | | | | 25/20/1 | 0.0036 |
| | | | 22/22 | 0.1980 | | | | 26/16 | 0.2000 |
| | | | 22/21 | 0.0584 | | | | 26/17/1 | 0.0796 |
| | | | Asphalt Road | 0.0083 | | | | 26/17/2 | 0.0105 |
| | | | TOTAL | 2.4808 | | | | 26/25 | 0.0000 |
| Sonipat | Sonipat | Abbaspur | 4/22 | 0.0014 | | | | 26/24/1 | 0.1316 |
| | | | 4/21 | 0.1338 | | | | 26/24/2 | 0.0218 |
| | | | ASP | 0.0358 | | | | 26/23 | 0.1354 |
| | | | 5/25 | 0.1922 | | | | 33/3 | 0.1320 |
| | | | 5/24 | 0.1998 | | | | 33/2 | 0.1404 |
| | | | 5/23 | 0.1863 | | | | 33/9 | 0.1227 |
| | | | 5/22 | 0.2091 | | | | 33/10 | 0.1792 |
| | | | 5/21 | 0.1888 | | | | 33/11 | 0.0788 |
| | | | 6/25 | 0.1990 | | | | 32/6 | 0.0004 |
| | | | 6/24 | 0.2012 | | | | 32/15/2 | 0.1127 |
| | | | 6/23 | 0.2012 | | | | 32/15/1 | 0.0956 |
| | | | 6/22 | 0.2012 | | | | 32/14 | 0.0060 |
| | | | 6/21 | 0.2012 | | | | 32/16 | 0.0494 |
| | | | 7/25 | 0.2080 | | | | 32/17 | 0.2160 |
| | | | 7/24 | 0.0242 | | | | 32/18 | 0.0126 |
| | | | 15/5 | 0.0235 | | | | 32/23 | 0.0136 |
| | | | 15/4 | 0.2285 | | | | 32/24 | 0.2013 |
| | | | 15/7 | 0.0016 | | | | 43/4 | 0.0531 |
| | | | 15/3 | 0.0744 | | | | Express | |
| | | | 15/8 | 0.1696 | | | | Highway | 0.2816 |
| | | | 15/9 | 0.1114 | | | | 43/6 | 0.0585 |
| | | | 15/12 | 0.1388 | | | | 43/15/1 | 0.0707 |
| | | | 15/11 | 0.1601 | | | | 43/15/2 | 0.0873 |
| | | | 15/20 | 0.1072 | | | | 42/11 | 0.0564 |
| | | | 14/16 | 0.1391 | | | | 42/20 | 0.1936 |
| | | | | | | | | 43/16 | 0.0062 |
| | | | | | | | | 42/21 | 0.1270 |

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---------|---------|----------|--------------|---------------|---------|---------|-------|--------------|--------|
| Sonipat | Sonipat | Abbaspur | 42/22/1 | 0.0704 | Sonipat | Sonipat | Nahsa | 8/16 | 0.1427 |
| | | | 42/22/2 | 0.0014 | | | | 8/17 | 0.0416 |
| | | | Cart Track | 0.0108 | | | | 8/25 | 0.1250 |
| | | | 47/1 | 0.0016 | | | | 8/24 | 0.0551 |
| | | | 47/2 | 0.2168 | | | | 12/5 | 0.1138 |
| | | | 47/9 | 0.1172 | | | | 12/4 | 0.0657 |
| | | | 47/8 | 0.1066 | | | | 12/6 | 0.0950 |
| | | | 47/13 | 0.2190 | | | | 12/7 | 0.0812 |
| | | | 47/14 | 0.0003 | | | | 12/15/1 | 0.0294 |
| | | | 47/18/1 | 0.0240 | | | | 12/15/2 | 0.0393 |
| | | | 47/18/2 | 0.0153 | | | | 12/14/1 | 0.1000 |
| | | | 47/18/3 | 0.0758 | | | | 12/14/2 | 0.0101 |
| | | | 47/17 | 0.0797 | | | | 12/16/2 | 0.0473 |
| | | | 47/24/2 | 0.0155 | | | | 12/17 | 0.1348 |
| | | | 47/24/1 | 0.0306 | | | | 12/25 | 0.0185 |
| | | | 47/23 | 0.0066 | | | | 12/25 | 0.0135 |
| | | | Nalla | 0.3490 | | | | 12/24/2 | 0.1271 |
| | | | 51/7/1 | 0.0306 | | | | 12/24/2 | 0.0081 |
| | | | 51/7/2 | 0.0551 | | | | Drain | 0.0203 |
| | | | 51/6 | 0.0951 | | | | 18/5 | 0.0300 |
| | | | 51/14 | 0.0034 | | | | 18/4/1 | 0.0142 |
| | | | 51/15 | 0.1681 | | | | 18/4/2 | 0.1302 |
| | | | 51/16 | 0.0173 | | | | 18/6 | 0.0165 |
| | | | TOTAL | 9.3233 | | | | 18/7 | 0.1606 |
| Sonipat | Sonipat | Nahra | 2/16 | 0.1526 | | | | 18/15 | 0.0010 |
| | | | 2/25 | 0.1772 | | | | 18/14 | 0.2088 |
| | | | Cart Track | 0.0132 | | | | 18/7/1 | 0.1642 |
| | | | 5/5/3 | 0.0690 | | | | 18/7/2 | 0.0039 |
| | | | 5/5/1 | 0.0546 | | | | 18/24 | 0.1564 |
| | | | 5/5/4 | 0.0280 | | | | 30/4 | 0.1833 |
| | | | 5/5/2 | 0.0235 | | | | 30/7 | 0.1863 |
| | | | 5/6 | 0.1718 | | | | 30/14 | 0.1647 |
| | | | 5/15 | 0.1735 | | | | 30/17 | 0.1757 |
| | | | 5/16 | 0.1846 | | | | 30/16 | 0.0054 |
| | | | 5/25 | 0.1878 | | | | 30/25 | 0.0628 |
| | | | Drain | 0.0117 | | | | 30/24 | 0.0801 |
| | | | 8/5/2 | 0.0125 | | | | Nahari | |
| | | | 8/5/1 | 0.1560 | | | | Distributory | 0.1081 |
| | | | Cart Track | 0.0146 | | | | 40/5 | 0.1210 |
| | | | 8/6 | 0.1558 | | | | 40/4 | 0.0130 |
| | | | 8/7 | 0.0054 | | | | 40/6 | 0.1585 |
| | | | 8/15/1 | 0.0139 | | | | 40/15 | 0.1528 |
| | | | 8/14/1 | 0.0011 | | | | 41/11 | 0.0521 |
| | | | 8/15/2 | 0.1489 | | | | 41/20/1 | 0.0434 |
| | | | 8/14/2 | 0.0217 | | | | 40/16 | 0.0236 |

[illegible]

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---------|-----------|----------|--------------|---------------|---|-----------|----------|--------------|---------------|
| Sonipat | Sonipat | Halapur | 60/3/3 | 0.1121 | | Kharkhoda | Jinjauli | 11/7/1 | 0.0692 |
| | | | 60/4 | 0.0493 | | | | 74 (Canal) | 0.0759 |
| | | | 60/8 | 0.1399 | | | | 11/7/3 | 0.0752 |
| | | | 60/7 | 0.0372 | | | | 11/8/2 | 0.0049 |
| | | | 60/14 | 0.0199 | | | | 11/13 | 0.0025 |
| | | | 60/13 | 0.0694 | | | | 11/14/1 | 0.1129 |
| | | | Canal | 0.0030 | | | | 11/17 | 0.1729 |
| | | | 113 | 0.5824 | | | | 11/18/1 | 0.0036 |
| | | | 81/3/1 | 0.0291 | | | | 11/24/1 | 0.1774 |
| | | | 81/3/2 | 0.0150 | | | | 11/23 | 0.0063 |
| | | | 81/4 | 0.0023 | | | | 28/4 | 0.1817 |
| | | | 81/7 | 0.0153 | | | | 28/3 | 0.0014 |
| | | | 81/8/1 | 0.0608 | | | | 28/7/1 | 0.1319 |
| | | | 81/8/2 | 0.0728 | | | | 28/7/2 | 0.0545 |
| | | | 81/8/3 | 0.0473 | | | | 28/14 | 0.1745 |
| | | | 81/14/1 | 0.0104 | | | | 28/17/1 | 0.0516 |
| | | | 81/14/2 | 0.0098 | | | | 28/17/2 | 0.1283 |
| | | | 81/13/1 | 0.0815 | | | | 28/24 | 0.1793 |
| | | | 81/13/2 | 0.0633 | | | | 33/4/1 | 0.1290 |
| | | | 81/17/2 | 0.0302 | | | | 33/4/2 | 0.0395 |
| | | | 81/18 | 0.1517 | | | | 33/4/3 | 0.0176 |
| | | | 81/24/1 | 0.0374 | | | | 33/7 | 0.1776 |
| | | | 81/23 | 0.1398 | | | | 33/14/1 | 0.0277 |
| | | | 84/4/1 | 0.0460 | | | | 33/14/2 | 0.1502 |
| | | | 84/3 | 0.1344 | | | | 33/17 | 0.1754 |
| | | | 84/7 | 0.0518 | | | | 33/24 | 0.1860 |
| | | | 84/8 | 0.1215 | | | | 49/4 | 0.1902 |
| | | | 84/14 | 0.0677 | | | | 49/7 | 0.1701 |
| | | | 84/13 | 0.1273 | | | | 49/14 | 0.1845 |
| | | | 84/18 | 0.0563 | | | | 49/17 | 0.1883 |
| | | | 84/17 | 0.0074 | | | | 49/24 | 0.1732 |
| | | | TOTAL | 5.0517 | | | | 52/4 | 0.1614 |
| | Kharkhoda | Nahri | 97/17 | 0.0512 | | | | 52/7 | 0.1863 |
| | | | 97/18 | 0.0517 | | | | 52/14 | 0.1332 |
| | | | 97/24/1 | 0.0331 | | | | 52/17 | 0.0758 |
| | | | 97/24/2 | 0.0229 | | | | 52/24 | 0.0404 |
| | | | 97/23 | 0.1409 | | | | 64/4 | 0.0060 |
| | | | 98/4/1 | 0.0038 | | | | Western | |
| | | | 98/4/2 | 0.0118 | | | | Yamuna | |
| | | | 98/3 | 0.0359 | | | | Canal | 0.3011 |
| | | | TOTAL | 0.3513 | | | | TOTAL | 4.4513 |
| | Kharkhoda | Jinjauli | 11/4 | 0.0790 | | | | | |
| | | | 11/3 | 0.0321 | | | | | |
| | | | 11/8/1 | 0.0228 | | | | | |

[File No.: L-14014/28/07-G.P.]

S. B. MANDAL, Under Secy.

श्रम एवं रोजगार मंत्रालय

नई दिल्ली, 20 दिसम्बर, 2007

का.आ. 108.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार मै. इंडियन एयर लाइन्स के प्रबंधन के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निदिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण/ श्रम न्यायालय सं. 2, नई दिल्ली के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 134/2005) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 19-12-2007 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-11012/16/2005-आई आर (सी-1)]

स्नेह लता जवास, डेस्क अधिकारी

MINISTRY OF LABOUR AND EMPLOYMENT

New Delhi, the 20th December, 2007

S.O. 108.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the Award (Ref. No. 134/2005) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-labour Court, 2, New Delhi now as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of M/s. Indian Airlines, and their workmen which was, received by the Central Government on 19-12-2007.

[No. L. 11012/16/2005-IR (C-I)]

SNEH LATA JAWAS, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE**BEFORE THE PRESIDING OFFICER: CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT-II, NEW DELHI****PRESIDING OFFICER: R. N. RAI****ID. Nos. 134/2005**

In the Matter of:—

Shri Prem Parkash Arya,
S/o. Shri Murari Lal Arya,
M-40, Mahalaxmi Garden,
Near Railway Station,
Gurgaon-122006
(Haryana),

VERSUS

The Managing Director,
Indian Airlines, Airlines House (HQ),
Plot No. 113, Gurdwara Rakab Ganj Road,
New Delhi.

AWARD

The Ministry of Labour by its letter No. L-11012/16/2005 IR(C-I) Central Government Dt. 19-12-2005 has referred the following point for adjudication.

The point runs as hereunder:

“Whether the demand of Shri Prem Parkash Arya, Sweeper from the management of Indian Airlines for reinstatement in service is justified? If so, to what

relief is the workman entitled and from what date.”

The workman applicant has filed claim statement. In the claim statement it has been stated that the applicant/workman was appointed as a permanent worker in the respondent department on 07-08-1990 as a Loader and was deployed to work at IGI Airport's Terminal-II, New Delhi. No appointment letter was given to the applicant/workman by the respondent. No salary slip was given by the respondent and the respondent was also not given any account to the applicant/workman with regard to PF / ESI contribution.

That the respondent was practicing unfair labour practice since beginning. Due to this reason the applicant/workman who was working as a Loader in the respondent department from 07-08-1990, his designation was changed from 08-11-94. The applicant/workman was in need of job and due to fear of losing the same the applicant/workman was forced to work as Sweeper. The applicant/workman had served at various sub-departments of the respondent. The respondent was assigning various types of duties to the applicant/workman such as peon duty, sweeper duty and dispatching and filing work in the office. The applicant/workman is graduate. But due to poverty the applicant/workman is forced work as peon / loader / sweeper.

That the applicant/workman was issued one gate pass/ daily permit pass by the respondent to enter in the premises of respondent. The respondent on the other hand had shown the applicant/workman on contract basis w.e.f. 08-11-1994 but no alleged contractor was engaged by the respondent under whom the applicant/workman had ever work. This is nothing but camouflage contact which never exist. All the petty cash vouchers are signed by the concerned officer of the respondent. The applicant/workman was serving from 9.00 AM to 5.30 PM daily but as per record he was shown on duty from 9.00 AM to 4.30 PM. The applicant/workman was paid Rs. 56/- per day by the respondent but whereas the applicant/workman was carrying out duty from morning to evening regularly.

That the respondent illegally deducting the salary/wages of the applicant/workman if he used to take leave. The applicant/workman was discharging his duties honestly and with dedication and gave no chance of any misconduct etc. during his service career. The respondent changed the cadre/nature of work of the applicant/workman from 08-11-1994 from loader (who was appointed on regular basis) to sweeper on alleged contract basis. The applicant/workman had performed duty at booking office at Parliament House for two days i.e. on 25/26-09-1996.

That the respondent in order to victimize the workman had planned to remove the applicant/workman from service without any cause or reason. In this, the respondent Sh. Savtantra Kumar, Deputy Manager and Shri Y. Shanker, Duty Manager, with mala fide intentions on 26-10-1998 shown the name of applicant/workman from Prem Parkash Arya to Parveen Kumar in the petty cash vouchers. The

applicant/workman had served at various places namely reservation office of the respondent at PTI Building, Parliament Street, New Delhi. Because applicant/workman was demanding and requesting the respondent to regularize his services on the ground that he had been working since long. The intention of the respondent was malafide while showing the changed name of the applicant/workman in the petty cash vouchers from 26-10-1998 to 31-10-1998. However, the applicant/workman continued to sign on said vouchers as Prem Parkash Arya which is his original name.

That the respondent while pursuing unfair labour practice, stopped entry of the applicant/workman in the premises of respondent department w.e.f. 09-02-1999. The applicant/workman was given no benefits of any retrenchment compensation etc. while illegally terminating his services. The due and earned wages of the applicant/workman was not paid as per the enhanced rates. But on the other hand the respondent was discriminating in payment of salary/wages to the applicant/workman. On 25-01-1991, as per the Govt. order he was to pay Rs. 102 per day and to Rs. 127 per day from 08-05-1996 but was paid only Rs. 56 per day. The copy of the said letter is annexed as Annx. A-1 & A-2.

That the applicant/workman served a legal notice dated 13-11-1999 through is counsel on the respondent but the respondent gave no response. Subsequently, one reminder to this legal notice was also sent on 11-02-2000 to the respondent but respondent gave no response. The applicant/workman submitted various representations to the respondent and other authorities praying for his reinstatement with the respondent but the applicant/workman could not get any help.

That on the other hand the respondent had not terminated or removed the similary placed the workmen who were working alongwith the applicant/workman the name of some of them are Sh. Vijay Pal, Shri Nem Babu, Shri Mahesh Kumar, Shri Jai Parkash, Shri Hira Lal who were working as casual sweeper at the PTI Building and there were subsequently transferred to the head quarter of the respondent and their services were regularized.

That in the year 2000, the applicant/workman approached one lawyer, and requested him to pursue his matter. The applicant/workman is no legally trained person and as per the legal advice he had filed one civil suit praying to issue a decree for mandatory injunction against the defendant/respondent to reinstate him and give all the benefits as are given to the regular employee. However, the said suit was decided against the plaintiff/ applicant/workman on 21-01-2004 by which the Ld Civil Judge, Delhi directed the applicant/workman to approach Labour Court cum Industrial Tribunal established as per the appropriate Act by the Government. For no fault of the applicant/workman and due to wrong advice and guidance by the advocate his case continued in civil court for almost 4-5 years. The copy of the order dated 21-01-2004 is annexed as Annx. A-3.

That the applicant/workman filed one claim statement before ALC/Conciliation Officer (CG), New Delhi on 07-06-2000. The ALC concerned on the basis of wrong submissions of the respondent that the applicant/workman was not the employee of the respondent, the matter cannot be entertained by the ALC. The ALC unfortunately did not appreciate the contention of the applicant/workman and dismissed the claim petition. Subsequently, the applicant/workman approached the Ministry of Labour & Employment (CG), New Delhi and this matter was referred by the appropriate government before your Honour.

That the applicant/workman has sufficient evidence to prove the averments made in the claim statement etc. which the applicant/workman shall prove at the time of evidence. The applicant/Workman belongs to Balmiki Community (Schedule Caste) and is having poor background. The applicant/workman was expecting that his services are permanent and will also get promotion being educated. But on the other hand the respondent who was pursuing unfair labour practice, terminated the services of the applicant/workman illegally, arbitrarily and without issuance of any show cause notice, without conducting any enquiry, without payment of any compensation and due benefits to the applicant/workman. The applicant/workman who is now 37 years old is not getting any job and is unemployed from the date of termination till today. He is managing to survive by taking loans/help from the relatives in very difficult situation.

The Management has filed written statement. In the written statement it has been stated that the statement of claim filed by the claimant is liable to be rejected in view of the fact that the claimant has not come to this Hon'ble Court with clean hands. The Claimant has concealed material facts which disentitles him from seeking any relief as claimed.

That the claimant was engaged as a part time daily rated casual Safaiwala on day to day basis for only 3 to 4 hours a day as per exigencies of work to clean/sweep the then booking office of the management located at PTI building premises. The claimant was paid remuneration as per the actual days of the work. The claimant was never engaged on a permanent basis as alleged. On the contrary, he was engaged on a casual daily rated part time basis for a short period of time for the jobs of cleaning/sweeping and his services were disengaged/ discontinued after posting of a permanent employee at the Booking Office. The claimant has no lien against the post.

That the claim is liable to be dismissed as the statement of claim is without any basis and not maintainable. It is submitted that the management has its own statutory Recruitment and Promotion Rules and all permanent appointments are made in accordance with the rules. The management is also required to follow the Govt. instructions with regard to reservations for SC's ST's OBC's and physically Handicapped etc. besides

reservations as applicable and admittedly the engagement of the workman was not as per the abovementioned procedure.

It is specifically denied that the claimant was appointed as a permanent worker with the management in the post of loader on 07-08-1990 and was deployed at IGI Airport, Terminal II, New Delhi. It is submitted that the claimant was engaged as a part time daily rated safaiwala on day to day basis for 3 to 4 hours in a day for cleaning/ sweeping of the Booking Office of the management located at PTI Building premises. Subsequently, on posting of a permanent safaiwala at the Booking Office of the services of the claimant was disengaged/discontinued. It is further submitted that as the claimant was never appointed on permanent basis, therefore, there arises no question of issuing him appointment letter. Further, it is submitted that the claimant was paid wages on day to day basis @ Rs. 42 per day as per the number of days he worked and therefore there arises no question of issuing him a salary slip etc.

It is denied that the management was practicing unfair labour practice. It is further denied that the designation of the workman was changed from Loader to Sweeper on 8th November, 1994. It is further denied that the workman was ever appointed on permanent basis. It is specifically denied that the management has assigned various types of duties such as peon/sweeper/loader dispatching and filing work in the office to the claimant. It is specifically submitted that the services of the claimant was engaged only on daily rated part-time basis for sweeping/ cleaning of the Booking Office of the management situated at PTI premises.

It is specifically denied that the claimant had been engaged on contract basis w.e.f. 08-11-94 by the management. It is denied that the claimant was paid Rs. 56 per day and was serving from 9.00 AM to 5.30 PM. The claimant should be put to strict proof of the said averments.

It is denied that the claimant was appointed as loader on permanent basis and the cadre/nature of his job was changed to sweeper w.e.f. 08/11/94 on contract basis. It is further denied that salary/wages were deducted by the management, whenever the claimant used to be on leave. It is submitted that the claimant was paid remuneration on day to day basis @ Rs. 42 per days as per the actual days of working. The claimant was never appointed against any post on regular basis as alleged and the claimant should be put to strict proof the same.

It is denied that the management of any of its officials have ever tried to victimize the claimant in any manner or changed his name in any of the petty cash vouchers as alleged. The claimant should be put to strict proof regarding the said allegations.

It is submitted that the claimant was engaged as a casual daily rated part-time safaiwala as per the exigencies of work and was paid remuneration as per actual days of working. His services were disengaged when there was no

more work for him. Annexure A-I shows the rate of daily wages paid to casual daily rated workers for full days work and the said annexure is not applicable to casual daily rated part-time workers like the claimant/workman who was engaged for a few hours in a day and not for full day.

The claimant is not entitled to any retrenchment compensation etc. because he is not fulfilling the statutory requirement of being retrenched from the services. His services have not been terminated illegally by the management. On the other hand, the services of the claimant were disengaged when there was no more work for him after the posting of a permanent employee at the then Booking Office at PTI Building. The claimant has never worked at any other place of the management except the then Booking Office of the Management.

It is submitted that the claimant was engaged on casual daily rated part-time basis and were disengaged when was no more required due to unavailability of work and as such no reply to the legal notice was given.

The claimant should be put the strict proof of the same. The services of the claimant have not been terminated illegally by the management. On the other hand, as there was no work available the services of the claimant were disengaged. It is submitted that as to the knowledge of the management, the claimant is gainfully employed,

It is denied that the management practices unfair labour practice.

The workman applicant has filed rejoinder. In the rejoinder he has reiterated the averments of his claim statement and has denied most of the paras of the written statement. The management has also denied most of the paras of the claim statement.

Evidence of both the parties has been taken.

Heard argument from both the sides and perused the papers on the record.

From the pleadings of the parties the following issues arise for adjudication:

1. Whether the workman has completed 240 days work in the years of his employment?
2. Whether the workman is entitled to reinstatement?
3. To what amount of back wages the workman is entitled?
4. Relief if any?

ISSUE NO. 1.

It was submitted from the side of the workman that he was appointed as a permanent Loader in the respondent department on 07-08-1990 as a Loader and was deployed to work at IGI Aripport, Termnal - II, New Delhi. His designation was changed on 08-11-1994 and he was forced to work as a sweeper in view of need of the job and due fear of losing the same he accepted the change. He served various departments from 07-08-1990 to 09-02-1999. The

management stopped entry of the workman illegally and arbitrarily. The workman has not even paid his due wages.

It was submitted from the side of the management that the claimant was engaged as a part time daily rated casual safaiwala on day to day basis only for 3 to 4 hours a day as per exigencies of work to clean and sweep the booking office of the management allocated at PTI Building. Payment to him was made as per his actual days of working. He was never engaged on permanent basis.

The workman has filed WE-1 / 557 gate passes issued by the Bureau of Civil Aviation from 07-08-1990 to 06-09-1990. This discloses the entry of the workman as a Loader. He has annexed with the record other photocopies of gate passes which show the working of the workman up to the last of 1997. Some gate passes have been issued by Sr. Manager, Security. These gate passes are on the letter head of the management and have been duly signed sometimes Dy. Manager sometimes Sr. Manager. The signatures on these photocopies have not been specifically denied by the management.

The management was requested to file originals of all the documents but the management took the stand that the originals of the photocopy documents filed by the workman have been weeded out, and are not available.

In ID cases the original documents are always in the possession of the management as these are management's documents. The originals passes are taken by the management when new passes are issued, so the workman cannot be said to be in the possession of the original gate passes. These photocopy gate passes bear signature and seal of the management and they are on the letter of the management. It cannot be even presumed that the workman forged the signature of the Dy. Manager and Sr. Manager. Thus, these photocopy gate passes are admissible in evidence. The management has deliberately concealed the original.

The workman has filed documents relating to the engagement of other employees who have been made permanent by the management. These documents also indicate that the version of the workman is correct.

The workman has filed 571 vouchers of payment made to him as daily wage of the years 1994, 1995, 1996, 1997, 1998 & 1999. The management witness has admitted that the workman was paid through petty cash vouchers. Such petty cash vouchers are kept for only 5 years. The management witness has also stated that he could not recollect the names of the officers who have allegedly signed the documents produced by the workman.

The workman has filed the list of names of 25 officers who have signed several petty cash vouchers and gate passes. This witness has not denied the signature of the officers on all the photocopy documents filed by the workman. The management witness has further stated that after checking the names of the officers he could file certificate whether the officers have signed the photocopies

filed by the workman. The management has not filed such certificate.

The management witness has further admitted that except one month when the workman was not working he was working for 4 years on need basis as a casual daily rated part time safaiwala.

It was argued by the management that the workman was engaged as part timer. The management witness has admitted that there was no other part time employee as it was sub-office. In case there was no other part time employee, it becomes obviously true that this workman was working for the whole day. At PTI Building there is booking office of the management. For a booking office there is requirement of a permanent peon. The management witness has admitted that there was no other part time employee in the sub-office. It implies that it was the workman who worked the whole day in that sub-office.

The workman has filed Ex. WE1/572. This is Police Verification paper of the workman and it has been mentioned on the back of this police verification that there are nothing adverse against Sh. Prem Prakash Arya. This certificate is dated 01-08-1990. The workman was initially engaged on this date. WE 1/573 is a photocopy document of 1990 in which the workman has been given the designation of sweeper and number has also been assigned as 225282. In WE1/574 dated 03-08-1990 the workman has been shown as casual Loader. All these photocopy documents show that initially the workman was engaged as Loader after police verification. He was then engaged as sweeper and he was given the sweeper number.

It is settled law that if the management fails to produce the original documents, photocopies become admissible in evidence, if these copies have some nexus to the original documents.

In the instant case all the photocopy documents are on the letter head of the management and there is seal and signature of the officers of the management. Such documents cannot be said to be manipulated. The workman has filed about 571 documents to establish his working for 240 days in every year of his employment.

It has been held in air 1986 SC 458 as under:

"The expression 'actually worked under the employer' cannot mean those days only when the workman worked with hammer, sickle or pen, but must necessarily comprehended all those days during which he was in the employment of the employer and for which he had been paid wages either under express or implied contract of service or by compulsion of statute, standing orders etc. Thus, Sundays and other paid holidays should be taken into account for the purpose of reckoning the total number of days on which the workman could be said to have actually worked."

In case Sundays and Holidays are calculated as per vouchers submitted by the workman he has worked for more than 240 days in all the years of his employment. The management witness has also admitted that the workman has worked for 4 years.

That Sundays and Holidays are to be calculated while counting the working days of a workman. It may be that the workman may not have kept all the photocopy documents of payment vouchers. The management witness has categorically stated that the workman has worked for 4 years. He was the only employee in the sub-office, so it cannot be said that he was a part time employee. The workman has worked for 240 days in all the years of his employment right from 1990 to 1999. He has worked for 10 years as a whole day workman. He has been paid less but this aspect is not being considered here.

From perusal of the documents filed by the workman it is substantially found proved that he has worked for 240 days in every year of his employment right from 1990 to 1999.

This issue is decided accordingly.

ISSUE No. 2.

It was submitted from the side of the management that reinstatement is not the only relief in all the cases of illegal termination. Section 11 A of the ID Act, 1947 provides for payment of compensation also.

It was submitted from the side of the workman that compensation is payable in cases where an undertaking has become sick or it has been closed or it is in economic loss. It has not been established that the management is in economic loss and it is a sick Industry. My attention was drawn by the Ld. Counsel of the workman to 2000 LLR 523 State of UP and Rajender Singh. The Hon'ble Apex Court ordered reinstatement with full back wages as the services of the daily wagger cleaner who worked for 4 years was dispensed with without following the procedure for retrenchment. In the instant case also no retrenchment compensation has been paid. This case law squarely covers the above case of the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

It has been held in 1978 Lab IC 1668 that in case service of a workman is terminated illegally the normal rule is to reinstate him with full back wages.

My attention was further drawn to AIR 2002 SC 1313. The Hon'ble Supreme Court has held that daily wagger even if serving for a short period should be reinstated.

It was submitted from the side of the workman that in the instant case Sections 25 F, G of the ID Act are attracted. In Section 25 of the ID Act it has been provided that if a workman has performed 240 days work and if the work is of continuous and regular nature he should be given pay in lieu of notice and retrenchment compensation.

It has been held by the Hon'ble Apex Court that there is no cessation of service in case provisions of

Section 25 F are not complied. In the instant case no compensation has been paid to the workman.

In case a workman has worked for 240 days in a year and the work is of continuous and regular nature he should be paid retrenchment compensation. In case retrenchment compensation is not paid Section 25 F of the ID Act is attracted. There is no cessation of his services. He is deemed continued in service in the eye of law. In case there is breach of Section 25 F the service is continued and reinstatement follows as a natural consequence.

ID Act, 1947 has been enacted to safeguard the interest of the workmen belonging to poor segment of society. It appears that legislature wanted that such workmen should not be harassed unnecessarily so Section 25 F, U, T and Clause 10 of Vth Schedule have been enacted. The objects and reasons of ID Act, 1947 show that the respondent management should not be permitted to indulge in any unfair labour practice. The workmen should not be engaged for years and then they should be removed all of a sudden. There is provision of retrenchment Retrenchment compensation for his removal. compensation is for compensating him otherwise so that he can survive long interregnum of unemployment. In the instant case no retrenchment compensation has been paid.

It was further submitted that the Constitution Bench Judgment has afforded a right according to which the Government is not precluded from making temporary appointments or engaging workers on daily wages.

The Government is at liberty to give temporary appointment no doubt but the mandatory provisions of Section 25 F of the ID Act, 1947 are to be followed and along with retrenchment the management has to pay retrenchment compensation even for temporary employees who have worked continuously for 240 days.

Fixed term tenure appointments and temporary appointments cannot be the rule of public employment. At the time of making temporary appointments Articles 14 & 16 are infringed. There is no constitutional mandate that the Government is at liberty to go on giving fixed term appointments for the entire tenure of service.

No such Article of the Constitution has been pointed out under which the Government or Public Sector units can continue incessantly to give temporary and fixed term appointments again and again. Since fixed term appointments and temporary appointments are not governed by any constitutional scheme, such discrimination will amount to vicious discretion. The Government of Public Sector unit will go on resorting to the method of pick and choose policy and give temporary and adhoc appointments to their favourites and thus the principles of equality enshrined in the constitution will be given a go bye. Such is not the intent of the Hon'ble Apex Court. However, in this judgment the provisions of the ID Act governing the services of the workman have not been

declared un-constitutional. Reinstatement is the remedy provided in the ID Act for breach of several provisions enumerated therein or for breach of various labour welfare legislations.

A three Judges bench of the Hon'ble Apex Court has held in 1993 - II - LLJ that termination of services affects the livelihood of not only of the employee but also of the dependents. So in case of illegal termination of service the workman should be reinstated. Reinstatement should not be misconceived as regularization. By the order of reinstatement the status quo ante of the workman is restored. He is given back wages in order to compensate him for his illegal dis-engagement. This is a special remedy provided in ID Act and it has not been annulled and set aside by any judgment of the Hon'ble Apex Court. The provisions of the ID Act are still constitutional and they are to be given effect too.

In case the workman is reinstated with back wages the respondents have every right, after payment of back wages and reinstatement, to retrench him validly following the principles of first come last go so that Sections 25, G & H of the ID Act are not violated. From perusal of the records it becomes quite obvious that the workman has rendered 10 years service to the management. He is not employed in any establishment. The work is still existing. In such circumstances there cannot be any order for compensation. In case work is in existing, the workman is to be reinstated.

In view of the law cited above and the facts pertaining in this case, the workman is entitled to reinstatement.

This issue is decided accordingly.

ISSUE No. 3.

It was submitted by the management that payment of full back wages is not the natural consequence of the order of discharge or dismissal being set aside. It has been held in (2003) 6 SCC 141 that it is incumbent upon the labour court to decide the quantum of back wages.

It has been further held in this case that payment of back wages having discretionary element involved it is to be dealt with the facts and circumstances of the case. No definite formula can be evolved.

In 1978 Lab IC 1968—three Judges Bench of the Hon'ble Apex Court held that payment of full back wages is the normal rule. In case services have been illegally terminated either by dismissal or discharge or retrenchment, in such circumstance the workman is entitled to full back wages except to the extent he was gainfully employed during the enforced idleness. In the instant case the workman was always ready to work but he was not permitted on account of invalid act of the employer.

In 2005 IV AD SC 39 - three Judges Bench of the Hon'ble Apex Court held that reinstatement with full back wages is justified. In this case the workman has performed more than 240 days work and he has been retrenched without payment of compensation and pay in lieu of notice.

The workman has rendered continuous service for at least 10 years. He is not employed in any industry or undertaking/establishment. He may be doing some sort of job off and on though he is not employed in any industry.

In view of the facts and circumstances of the case the workman is entitled to 25% back wages.

This issue is decided accordingly.

ISSUE No. 4.

From the issue Nos. 1, 2 & 3 decided above the workman applicant is entitled to reinstatement/regularization along with 25% back wages.

The reference is replied thus:

The demand of Shri Prem Prakash Arya, Sweeper from the management of Indian Airlines for reinstatement in service is justified. The workman applicant is entitled to reinstatement along with 25% back wages within two months from the date of the publication of the award.

The award is given accordingly.

Date: 13-12-2007

R. N. RAI, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 24 दिसम्बर, 2007

का.आ. 109.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार केन्द्रीय बकरी अनुसंधान संस्थान के प्रबंधन के संबंध में नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण/श्रम न्यायालय कानपुर के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 261/89) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 24-12-2007 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[फा. सं. एल-42011/22/88-डी-2 (बी)]

सुरेन्द्र सिंह, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 24th December, 2007

S.O. 109.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the Award (Ref. No. 261/89) of Central Government Industrial Tribunal-Cum-Labour Court, Kanpur as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the employer in relation to the management of Central Institute for Research on Goats and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 24-12-2007.

[F. No. L-42011/22/88-D.2 (B)]

SURENDRA SINGH, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE SRI R. G. SHUKLA, PRESIDING OFFICER
: CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL
TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT, KANPUR

Industrial Dispute No. 261 of 1989

In the matter of dispute between:

Uttar Pradesh Khetihar Mazdoor Congress
2/236 Namneir Agra.

And

Central Institute for Research on Goats
Makhdoom P.O. Farah District Mathura

AWARD

1. Central Government, MOL, New Delhi vide its notification no. L-42011/22/88-D, 2 (B) dated 24-10-89 has referred the following dispute for adjudication to this Tribunal:—

“Whether the Director, Central Institute for Research Farah (Mathura) was justified in dismissing Sri Panna Lal and 17 others as per list enclosed w.e.f. 19-10-87. If not to what relief are the workmen entitled and from what date?”

2. At the outset it may be pointed out that in the instant my learned predecessor vide award dated 23-10-96 has answered the reference in favour of the workmen involved in the reference order as per list annexed thereto. The opposite party being aggrieved by the said award challenged the same before the Hon'ble High Court by filing Civil Misc. Writ Petition no. 6149 of 97 which was ultimately decided by the Hon'ble Court vide Judgment dated 1-3-2007, remanding the case to the Industrial Tribunal for deciding the same afresh after providing opportunities of hearing to the contesting parties.

3. On receipt of the certified copy of the order of the Hon'ble High Court, the tribunal issued notices to the contesting parties on 19-4-07 fixing 1-5-07 for hearing, although the representative for the workmen put his appearance before the tribunal on 2-4-07 but since no Presiding Officer was there in the tribunal upon completion of earlier Presiding Officer w.e.f. 20-1-07 therefore no substantial proceedings in the case could be held under the direction of the Hon'ble High Court on 2-4-07. The Presiding Officer resumed the charge of the Tribunal on 19-4-07 when the instant file was placed before me and after going through the orders of the Hon'ble High Court 1-5-07 was fixed as date of hearing of the case. Final arguments in the case were heard on 1-12-07.

4. Now coming to the merit and Case of the workmen, before discussing the same it is pertinent to highlight the brief facts of the contesting parties. In short the case of the union as set up on behalf of the 18 workmen involved in the case mentioned in the annexure to the schedule of reference order are that they are casual daily rated workers of the opposite party Central Institute for Research on Goats Makhdoom Farah, Mathura District. According to the management on 12-10-87 at about 11.50 a.m. all these concerned workmen in furtherance of their common object had assaulted Nand Kishore, the then Administrative Officer of opposite party who was sitting in his official Jeep No. U. S. 07627 and also threatened to kill him. On account of this misconduct the opposite party had dispensed with the services of the concerned workmen by order dated 19-10-87. Feeling aggrieved these workmen

have raised the present industrial dispute. In the claim statement it is denied that on 12-10-87 they had assaulted Nand Kishore at all. In any case without holding any enquiry the services could not be brought to an end, and since the services have been dispensed with without any departmental inquiry, the action of the management is bad in law and all the concerned workmen are entitled for their reinstatement with full back wages continuity of service and all consequential benefits attached with the post.

5. The opposite party has filed reply in which it is alleged that the incident is true. In this regard F.I.R. was lodged and criminal case is pending. It is further alleged that there was no need to hold inquiry since all the employees were daily rated employee and working with the opposite party as casual labours. The action of the management was perfect, legal and justified and the workmen involved in the reference order are not entitled for any relief.

6. As pointed out above, both contesting parties were given adequate opportunities to adduce their respective evidence, after remand of the case from the Hon'ble High Court, whereas the union has examined its sole witness Sri Pancham Singh who adduced evidence on his behalf as well as other co-employees involved in the case and after his evidence was closed, the authorised representative for the workmen closed his evidence. The authorised representative for the opposite Party on 22-6-07 moved an application before the tribunal to the effect that he wants to cross examination of the remaining workers involved in the case on which auth. rep. for the union objected on the ground that the evidence of the workmen stands concluded and the management may be directed to produce a list of witnesses to be examined by them. On the said application the tribunal vide order dated 22-6-07 directed the management that in case they want to cross examine the remaining workers they may do so at their own expenses and both contesting parties were directed to produce list of witnesses together with their address before the Tribunal. Thereafter the cross examination of the remaining witnesses were concluded and the management also adduced their evidence in support of their case.

7. I have heard the learned representative for both sides and have carefully gone through evidence as led by the parties.

8. As already detailed above initially an award was given by my learned predecessor on 23-10-96 in which it has been held that the dismissal of all the above eighteen persons was bad in law since no disciplinary inquiry was held by the management before they were dismissed. The management had argued before the learned predecessor that in case there was no enquiry ever held by the management even then the management can prove charges against the delinquent but learned predecessor did not agree because this was not pleaded by the management in their statement of claim. The management feeling aggrieved approached the Hon'ble High Court where it was held that

the management be given opportunity to prove the charges against the delinquents and thus the case was remanded back to this tribunal with the above observations. The management were therefore, given opportunity to prove the charges against the above eighteen persons. The management summoned each worker who had filed affidavit denying the allegations made by the management and learned for the management cross examine each of them at length except one who died during the pendency of the case. In their cross examination workers statement differed at some places on trivial points but remained intact with regard to denying the charges against them on 12-10-87 at about 11.50 a.m. to the effect that they in furtherance of their common object had assaulted Nand Kishore Administrative Officer of the management when he was in official jeep No. US 07627 and also threatend to kill him.

9. The management produced Nand Kishore as sole witness to establish the fact that he was beaten by these workers as alleged by the management. Nand Kishore though stated that he was beaten by these workers on 12-10-87 at about 11.50 a.m. when he was sitting in the official jeep and stated that he had sustained injuries and was medically examined but it is strange to note that no medical report has been filed by the management. The medical officer has also not been examined by the management. Nand Kishore in his previous statement on 13-8-96 had stated that he was accompanied by two guards of DIG while on this court on 16-11-07 stated that the said guards were of Mathura Refinery. At any rate these guards were independent witnesses but they have not been examined. The driver of the jeep was also material witness and has not been examined. Mr. HS Sharma, Asstt. Admn. Officer of the management on 29-6-07 had submitted that besides Nand Kishore driver of the jeep security guard and Anil Behari Assistant Administrative Officer shall be examined by the management. These were the material witnesses of the occurrence but except Nand Kishore none was examined. The reasons for not examining them have not been given except the management remained taking time to produce them but after having availed sufficient opportunity, they were denied further time. In the absence of other material witness the alleged occurrence could not be established without corroboration by the independent witness who were available and not examined the presumption can very well be taken that in case these witness would have been examined they would have not supported the case of the management. The acquittal of the workers by the criminal court, though not biding to this case court nevertheless this is a strong circumstances giving room for doubt about the actual occurrence which is alleged to have taken place. It is true that the degree of proof as required in civil court is lessor than in criminal case but at the same time the sole testimony of Nand Kishore must inspire confidence when he says that he was beaten and sustained injuries then why the medical report was kept out of record. Again he said that the guards have

rescued him then why they were not produced and when he says that other persons were also accompanying him then why those were not examined. He could not say as before which ALC the matter was being negotiated. It is also not on record as to how the workers reached at the point time and place at 11.50 a.m. when they were actually performing their duties at a distance of 15-16 kilometre and could not have gone out of premises without the permission of their superiors especially when it could not be established that at the relevant point of time they were having lunch interval, on the contrary it has been established that the lunch hours were at about 1.00 p.m. Under these circumstances the testimony of the sole witness is corroborated by doubts and thus the charges against these workers cannot be said to have been established with the help of this witness.

10. The learned authorised representative for the management has argued that these workers were daily ated casual workers and they could have been dispensed with their services as the do not have any right to their post. The learned authorised representative for the workmen has relied upon the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Civil appeal No. 2280 of 2000 arising out of SLP 'C' No.9648 of 1999 in which the casual labours were charged and prosecuted for the offence 324, 427 and 504 I.P.C. The criminal court acquitted them but meanwhile the management in that case dispensed with the services of those casual labours and no disciplinary inquiry was held by the management in that case. The Hon'ble Supreme Court held that those labourers could not be dismissed without holding domestic inquiry. Similarly here also since the 18 workers were having worked for more than 240 days with the management it is deemed that they acquired temporary status and therefore, domestic enquiry was condition precedent for passing of order of removal from service of these workers. At any rate this argument that these workers could have been removed from service without conducting any deptt. enquiry being daily rated employees did not find favour before the Hon'ble High Court. The Hon'ble Court while remanding the case had directed this court to give an opportunity to prove charges against these workers on the basis of which they were removed and not to evaluate the case of management regarding daily rated employee. Since, as held above, the evidence of Nand Kishore lacs confidence, it cannot be held that the charges against the workers have been established before this tribunal. Consequently it is hereby held that the dismissal of the workers was illegal and as such the impugned order of the management is not valid under the law. It follows that each of the worker deserves to be reinstated in the services of the management. I am informed that the management is regularly making payment of their wages as per directions of the Hon'ble High Court therefore such payment by the management shall be deemed as back wages and no separte order is required to be passed with respect to back wages.

11. The reference order is therefore, answered accordingly.

R. G. SHUKLA, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 24 दिसम्बर, 2007

का.आ. 110.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार एम. टी. एन. एल. के प्रबंधन के संबंधित नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण/श्रम न्यायालय नं. II, नई दिल्ली के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 140/99) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 24-12-2007 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-40011/17/98-आई आर (डीयू)]

सुरेन्द्र सिंह, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 24th December, 2007

S.O. 110.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the Award (Ref. No. 140/99) of Central Government Industrial Tribunal-Cum Labour Court, No. II, New Delhi as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of M.T.N.L. and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 24-12-2007.

[No. L-40011/17/98-IR (DU)]

SURENDRA SINGH, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

**BEFORE THE PRESIDING OFFICER: CENTRAL
GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-
LABOUR COURT-II, NEW DELHI**

PRESIDING OFFICER: R. N. RAI

I.D. No. 140/1999

In the Matter of:—

Shri Mahavir Singh & 2 others,
R.K. Mishra, C/o Shri B. B. Gupta, X-3A, CI
Vilside, Tis Hazari Courts,
Delhi - 110054.

VERSUS

The Chief General Manager, MTNL,
Khursheed Lal Bhawan, Janpath,
New Delhi - 110001.

AWARD

The Ministry of Labour by its letter No. L-40011/17/98-IR(DU) Central Government Dt. 26-04-1999 has referred the following point for adjudication.

The point runs as hereunder:—

“Whether S/Sh. Mahavir Singh, Satbir Singh & R.K. Mishra, employed in MTNL as security guards

through a contractor have a claim for regularization of their services in view of their having engaged on employment which was a prohibited category under Section 10 of CL (R&A) Act, 1970. If so, from what date?”

The workman-applicant has filed claim statement. In the claim statement it has been stated that the above named applicants/workmen S/Shri Mahavir Singh, Shri Satbir Singh and Shri R. K. Mishra were working with the aforesaid management at its Divisional Office/Telephone Exchange (FRS), Hari Nagar, New Delhi. The details of the above named workmen are given as under:—

| Name of the workman | Post | Last Drawn | Date of Apptt. |
|---------------------|-------|------------|----------------|
| Sh. Mahavir Singh | Guard | 2800/- | 10-10-95 |
| Sh. Satbir Singh | Guard | 2600/- | 15-03-97 |
| Sh. R.K. Mishra | Guard | 2800/- | 15-05-95 |

That the workman Shri Mahavir Singh was appointed as Guard in the Telephone Exchange, Hari Nagar, w.e.f. 10-10-1995 vide office order No. B1/HN/95-96/46 dated 10-10-1995 passed by SDE (FRS), Delhi and likewise Shri R.K. Mishra was appointed as Guard w.e.f. 15-05-1995 vide office order No. B1/HN/95-96/43 dated 15-5-1995 passed by SDE (FRS), Delhi.

That the applicants/workmen are very honest and sincere persons and their work and conduct was quite satisfactory and they never gave any chance of any complaint to the Respondent/Management and their superior.

That the Respondent/Management was not keeping the proper records of the workmen and was also not paying them the wages as fixed by the Delhi Administration and were also not providing other legal benefits and facilities during their service tenure such as weekly offs, festivals holidays, casual leave, earned leave, annual increments, provident fund, uniform and bonus etc. etc. to which the applicants/workmen were legally entitled to and the workmen were made to serve continuously without any break.

That even the Management was paying the monthly wages to the workmen through cheques through some other agencies while the workmen were not in the knowledge of the particulars of the persons/agencies through whom the wages was being paid to them by the Management as the officials of the Respondent/Management used to hand over the said cheque of monthly wages to the workmen directly by the said officials.

That as the workmen had completed 90 days continuously with the Management without any break at all, the workmen requested the Management to take them on permanent basis and to provide all the legal benefits and facilities of a permanent employee and also demanded the difference in the minimum wages and also the wages in

lieu of weekly offs, festivals holidays, earned leaves, annual increment, medical facility, uniform allowances and bonus etc. but the Management instead of providing all the said legal benefits to the workmen to which they were entitled to under law, terminated the services of Shri Mahavir Singh on 24-3-1998 and also terminated the services of Shri Satbir and Shri R.K. Mishra on 18-2-1998 illegally, unlawfully and against the provisions of law and without issuing any show cause notice to the workmen and also without holding any enquiry against the workmen.

That the termination of the services of the workmen by the Management is absolutely wrong, illegal, unlawful, unjustified and in contravention of the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act and the Management neither gave any notice nor any pay in lieu of the notice and as such the same amounts to unfair labour practice on the part of the Management.

That even otherwise the post of the guards on which the applicants were employed by the Management are still lying vacant and the Management has illegally, unlawfully and against the principle of natural justice and provisions of law have employed new persons juniors to the workmen on the said posts, while the workmen are still unemployed despite their best efforts. The Management has filed written statement. In the written statement it has been stated that the present petition is misconceived and not maintainable.

That the reference is the result of non-application of mind and without taking into consideration the reply of the answering respondent as the alleged workmen were never directly employed by the answering respondent. It is submitted that there is no relationship of master and servant between the alleged workmen and the answering respondent. As such the whole proceedings are coram non-judice as held by the Hon'ble High Court in the case of DTC Vs. Delhi Administration reported in 1973 (2) LLJ 306 and by Hon'ble Supreme Court in AIR 1974 SC 2089, as such the reference is liable to be rejected.

That the present dispute cannot be said to be an industrial dispute as it does not fall within the definition of Section 2(K) which defines the term industrial dispute under the said Act.

That the three alleged workmen were never employed by the respondent/MTNL. In fact, as a matter of fact of public policy and pursuant to the instructions of the Govt. of India that the security persons in the public undertaking should be engaged through the Directorate General Resettlement, R.K. Puram, New Delhi which is an organization for re-settlement/rehabilitation of ex-armymen etc. keeping in view the said instructions the answering respondent approached the Directorate General Resettlement, R.K. Puram, New Delhi and it was through them that the alleged workmen were employed in terms the contract dated 25-3-95 on a consolidated remuneration of Rs. 3556 per month. The answering respondent were paying directly to the said agency (agency of Directorate

General of Resettlement) who in turn were paying to the alleged workmen through cheques and the alleged workmen were accepting the said payment without any protest or demur. As such there was no privity of contract or employment between the alleged workmen and the MTNL. It is further relevant to state that pursuant to the inspection of the Labour Enforcement Inspector the objections were taken that the alleged assignment though given through the Directorate General of Resettlement was violative of Section 10 of the Contract Labour Act through which fact was not agreed.

The answering respondent immediately disengaged the services of the said agency i.e. Directorate General of Resettlement. The present reference is liable to be rejected. That the present reference is bad in law inasmuch as Directorate General Resettlement was the proper and necessary party and as such by not making them a party, the present reference is liable to be rejected on this sole ground.

That without prejudice to what is stated hereinabove it is submitted that in case the workmen were having any grievance regarding their non-employment it is with respect to the said agency nominee of Directorate General Resettlement and the said agency does not fall within the jurisdiction of this Hon'ble Tribunal as such also the present petition is liable to be dismissed.

It is submitted that the alleged workmen were never employed by the answering respondent and of at all they had ever worked at Divisional Office/Telephone Exchange it was never directly under the answering respondent and the alleged workmen are put to strict proof thereof.

The alleged workmen cannot take benefit of any communications which may have been wrongly addressed or written by any person working with the answering respondent. It is specifically denied that Shri Mahavir and Shri Mishra were appointed directly by the answering respondent. It is submitted that admittedly the answering respondent never paid any salary/wages to the alleged workmen as such there was no question of answering respondent employing the alleged workmen.

It is submitted that the answering respondents were not making any payment to the workmen and the answering respondents were bound by the terms and conditions of the agreement entered into between the answering respondent and the said agency. It is submitted that as the alleged workmen were never employed by the answering respondent there was no question of the answering respondent supervising the work of the alleged workmen or giving them any benefits as alleged or otherwise. It is submitted that the payments if any were being paid as per the agreement to the agency provided by the Directorate General of Resettlement and there was no relationship of employer and employee between the answering respondent and the alleged workmen and as such there was no question of providing any legal benefits as alleged or otherwise.

The workmen applicants have filed rejoinder. In their rejoinder they have reiterated the averments of their claim statement & have denied most of the paras of the written statement. The management has also denied most of the paras of the claim statement.

Evidence of both the parties has been taken.

Heard arguments from both the sides & perused the papers on the record.

It transpires from perusal of the record that claim has been filed by Shri Mahavir Singh and Shri Satvir Singh but they have not filed affidavit. Only Shri R.K. Mishra has filed affidavit and is contesting the case.

It was submitted from the side of the workman that Shri R. K. Mishra was appointed as Guard w.e.f. 15-05-1995 vide office order dated 15-05-1995. He discharged his duties sincerely. The management was not keeping proper records of the workman. He was not paid the wages fixed by the Delhi Administration and several others legal benefits and facilities just as holidays, weekly off, increments etc. were not provided to the workman by the management. Payment to the workman was made through some agencies whereas the workman was directly engaged. He has performed 90 days of service without any break. He requested the management to take him on permanent basis and to provide him all the facilities. The management was annoyed and terminated the services of the workman on 18-02-1998 illegally, unlawfully and against the provisions of the law. The post is still lying vacant. The workman deserves reinstatement.

It was submitted from the side of the management that the applicant is not a workman under industrial dispute Act, 1947. It was further submitted that the workman was never employed by the management. He was engaged through the Director General, Re-settlement, R.K. Puram, New Delhi which is an organization for resettlement/rehabilitation of the Ex. Army Men.

It was further submitted that the management approached the Director General of Re-settlement and through the Director the workman was employed as per the contract dated 25-03-1995 on the consolidated remuneration of Rs.3556/- per month. The management made payment of the entire amount to the Director General of Re settlement and the workman received his wages from the Director General of Re-settlement. There is no copy of contract of employment between the management and the workman.

The management has filed photocopy B - 60 to B61. It is contract agreement between the Institute and the Director General of Re-settlement and the management. It has been mentioned in this agreement that in case the performance of Security Guard is found unsatisfactory, the Institute shall be responsible for the replacement of the Guard. This document establishes the fact that there was contract between the Institute of Industrial management and the Security and the MTNL.

The management has filed document B - 62 to B 102. These documents relate to the payment made by MTNL to the Institute of Industrial Management and Security. Every time payment has been made over Rs.10, 000/-. These documents disclose that the Guards were engaged by IIMS and payment has been made directly to them. These payments have been made on the letter head. These documents are photocopy but the workman has not denied the same.

There are government orders from B - 55 to 57 which disclose that this system of taking Security Guards through IIMS was stopped for the reasons of security. The workman was engaged by IIMS. He received payment through IIMS and IIMS was responsible for his satisfactory service. The workman was not directly engaged by the management.

It is of course true that the workman has worked for 2 to 3 years as Security Guard in the MTNL but he was engaged by IIMS Institute of Security Guard and an agreement has been entered into between the IIMS and the MTNL. The workman is an employee of IIMS and when government directed the MTNL not to take Guards from IIMS the services of the workman have been terminated.

From the above it becomes quite obvious that there is no relation of employer and employee in view of section 2 (k) of the ID Act, 1947. This dispute is not between the employer and the employee. The dispute is not covered under Section 2 (k) of the ID Act, 1947 in view of 1973 (2) LLJ 306 and AIR 1974 SC 2089. The reference is liable to be rejected as there is no industrial dispute for want of relation of master and servant. The workman is not entitled to get any relief as prayed for.

The reference is replied thus:

S/Sh. Mahavir Singh, Satbir Singh & R.K. Mishra, employed in MTNL as Security Guards though a contractor does not have a claim for regularization of their services in view of their having been engaged on employment which was a prohibited category under section 10 of CL (R&A) Act 1970. The workmen applicants are not entitled to get any relief as prayed for.

The award is given accordingly.

Date: 13-12-2007

R. N. RAI, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 24 दिसम्बर, 2007

का.आ. 111.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार ऐयरोनाटिक्स क्वालिटी एश्यूरेंस के प्रबंधन के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण/श्रम न्यायालय कानपुर के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 159/98) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 24-12-07 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-14012/3/98-आईआर(डीयू)]

सुरेन्द्र सिंह, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 24th December, 2007

S.O. 111.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Dispute Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 159/98) Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Kanpur as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Aeronautics Quality Assurance and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 24-12-2007.

[No. L-14012/3/98-IR(DU)]

SURENDRA SINGH, Desk Officer
ANNEXURE

BEFORE SRI R G SHUKLA, PRESIDING OFFICER,
CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL
TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT, KANPUR

Industrial Dispute No.159 of 98

In the matter of dispute between:

Ram Chandra son of Sri Jangali

106/371 Heera Ganj

Kanpur,

And

The Director General

Aeronautics quality Assurance

Kanpur.

•AWARD

1. Central Government of India, MOL, New Delhi vide Notification No.L-14012/3/98/IR(DU) dated 11-8-98 has referred the following dispute for adjudication to this tribunal:—

“Whether the action of the management of Director General Aeronautics Quality Assurance, Kanpur, in terminating the services of the workman Ram Chandra w.e.f. 5-2-97 is legal and justified, If not to what relief workman is entitled?

2. The claim of the workmen as set up by him in his statement of claim is that in order to keep the workmen from attaining the status of regular and permanent employee of the opposite party, they appointed him on 21-6-94 against regular and permanent post of class IV daily wages and not only it but his attendance also has been recorded incorrectly by the opposite party. The workman has worked more than 240 days in 12 calendar month. The working hours of the workman were from 8.30 a.m. to 4.30 p.m. but the workman was required to work much more hours than the prescribed one. The workman further pleads that his work and conduct remained satisfactory during the period of his employment i.e. 21-6-94 to 6-2-97. The opposite party intentionally avoided to make payment of his wages at the prescribed minimum rate. Beside the above the opposite

party removed his services w.e.f. 6-2-97 in breach of provisions of Industrial disputes Act, 1947 which is against the principles of natural justice and as such is liable to be set aside holding that the workman is entitled for his reinstatement with full back wages continuity of service and other benefits attached with the post.

2. The opposite party has vehemently denied the claim of the workman on variety of grounds out of which main ground is that a daily rated employee has no right to claim regular or permanent status in public employment without undergoing through selection process prescribed under service rules. As such the claim of the workman is not maintainable.

3. In rejoinder filed by the workmen nothing new has been mentioned except reiterating the pleadings already raised in the statement of claim.

4. Both contesting parties have adduced oral as well as documentary evidence in the form of photocopies. It is settled principle of law that photocopies of the documents cannot not read to be as admissible evidence in support of pleadings of a party. From this point of view photocopies of the documents filed by the contesting parties are being ignored by the tribunal.

5. In view of it now there remains only oral evidence of the workman which appears to be of no help to him as admitted according to his own case he was appointed on daily rate basis by the opposite party. Therefore, there appears no need to appreciate that evidence in the instant case.

6. Having regard to the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India, in leading case viz (Himanshu Kumar Vidyarthi versus State of Bihar and another and Secretary state of Karnataka versus Smt. Uma Devi, the controversy as to whether a daily rated casual employee can be given benefit of regular and permanent employment in public employment has now been finally set at rest by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India by laying law that a daily rated worker casual worker temporary of adhoc worker appointed on a post falling under public employment either under the Government Central, state or public sector undertaking cannot claim regularization against such post unless he has undergone through selection process under prescribed service rule. If it is so Industrial Tribunal/Labour Courts should not be allowed to be used as a measure for providing back door entry to such persons without adhering the prescribed selection process.

7. Therefore, in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India, there remains hardly any need to discuss oral as well as documentary evidence of the contesting parties in as much as from the pleadings of the parties it is common ground that the workman was appointed as a daily rated employee without following the prescribed recruitment rules. Even otherwise the claim of the workmen appears to be barred by the provisions of

Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, as the provisions of the Act would not come into play automatically in the case of the workmen in the absence of the pleadings by the workman to the effect that the opposite party have breached the provisions of service regulations applicable to him for the time being and the said breach of such provisions of services regulation is analagous to any of the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947; on the ground on which the action of the management has been assailed by the workmen before the Tribunal. A bare perusal of entire statement of claim would go to show that no such pleadings has been raised by him in the claim statement, therefore, the action of the opposite party cannot be construed to be a retrenchment as defined under the provision of Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, and when it is not a retrenchment, the workman cannot be given protection of the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

8. In any view of the matter the present case cannot be said to be a case of retrenchment or termination of the service under the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, therefore, workman cannot be granted any relief as claimed by him in his statement of claims. The claim of the workman fails and it is held that he is not entitled for his reinstatement in the service of the opposite party together with benefits as claimed by him.

9. Reference is , therefore, answered against the workman and in favour of the opposite party.

R. G. SHUKLA, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 26 दिसम्बर, 2007

का.आ. 112.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसारण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार एस.ई.सी.एल के प्रबंधन के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण/श्रम न्यायालय, जबलपुर के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 128/2003) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 26-12-2007 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-22012/389/2002-आईआर(सी-II)]

अजय कुमार गौड़, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 26th December, 2007

S.O. 112.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 128/2003) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Jabalpur as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of S.E.C.L and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 26-12-2007.

[No. L-22012/389/2002-IR(C-II)]

AJAY KUMAR GAUR, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT, JABALPUR

NO. CGIT/LC/R/128/2003

Presiding Officer: SHRI C.M. SINGH

The Secretary,
Rashtriya Colliery Workers Federation,
GM Complex, Branch,
Johilla Area of SECL,
PO: Nowrozabad,
Umaria (MP) : Workman/Union

Versus

The General Manager,
Johilla Area of SECL,
PO: Nowrozabad,
Umaria (MP) Management

AWARD

Passed on this 29th day of November, 2007

1. The Government of India, Ministry of Labour vide its Notification No.L-22012/389/2002-IR(C-II) dated 11-7-2003 has referred the following dispute for adjudication by this tribunal:—

“Whether the demand of Sh. K. B. Dwivedi, Machinist Category-V to promote him in the same capacity w.e.f. 01-01-97 i.e. the date when Sh. Manoj Tiwari was promoted is legal and justified? If yes, to what relief he is entitled?”

2. Vide order dated 26-10-2006 passed on the ordersheet of this reference proceeding the reference proceeded exparte against the workman.

3. The workman has not filed his statement of claim.

4. The management has filed their Written Statement. Their case in brief is as follows. Workman has claimed promotion from I-1-97 whereas the dispute has been raised only in the year 2003. Hence the dispute is highly belated and therefore the same is not maintainable. That the promotion cannot be claimed as a matter of right as it is a managerial function. That the service conditions of the workman are governed by standing orders and the various settlements that have been arrived at between various Unions and the management from time to time and is generally known as NCWA. The said settlement carry Job Nomenclature, Cadre Scheme etc. Cadre Scheme has been formulated under NCWA for each category of workers giving the promotional channel, scale of pay, minimum qualification, eligibility for promotion and mode of promotion. Clause 3.5 of the Cadre Scheme which is relevant in this case is reproduced as under :—

“The promotional zone for filling the vacancy in Category-I to Category-VI will be Unit/Project. As regards

Technical and Supervisory Grade-C to A. Promotional zone would be area, unless otherwise specifically provided in the scheme itself."

With reference to the above promotions of machinist, Cat-IV and Cat-V were being done unitwise and subject to vacancy in the unit concern as per MP budget in accordance with the cadre scheme. Therefore no similarly situated employee of other unit can be compared with the employee of other units. Since the workman was posted in other unit during promotion of Shri Manoj Kumar to the post of machinist Cat-IV & Cat-V, he cannot be promoted either with or before Shri Manoj Kumar was promoted and therefore it is crystal clear that there is neither violation of cadre scheme nor any supersession as alleged. Now as the workman has already been promoted to the post of machinist Cat-V vide order dated 31-98/1-4-98 and to the post of machinist Cat-VI vide order dated 26-4-03, the reference becomes infructuous.

5. As the case proceeded exparte against the workman, no evidence is on record for proving the case of the workman.

6. The management in order to prove their case has filed affidavit of their witness Shri S.K. Bhargav, then working as Dy. CPM at Area Headquarter Colliery of SECL, Jhilla Area.

7. I have heard Shri A.K. Shashi, Advocate, the learned counsel for the management. I have very carefully gone through the evidence on record.

8. The case of the management is fully established from the uncontroverted and unchallenged affidavit of their witness Shri S.K. Bhargav. Therefore the reference deserves to be decided in favour of the management and against the workman without any orders as to costs.

9. In view of the above, the reference is decided in favour of the management and against the workman without any orders as to costs holding that the demand of Shri K.B. Dwivedi, Machinist Category-V to promote him in the same capacity w.e.f. 01/01/97 i.e. the date when Sh. Manoj Tiwari was promoted is not legal and justified. Consequently the workman is not entitled to any relief.

C. M. SINGH, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 26 दिसम्बर, 2007

का.आ. 113.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार एस.ई.सी.एल के प्रबंधन के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण/श्रम न्यायालय, जबलपुर के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 70/95) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 26-12-2007 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-22012/473/1994-आईआर(सी-11)]

अजय कुमार गौड़, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 26th December, 2007.

S.O. 113.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 70/95) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Jabalpur as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of S.E.C.L. and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 26-12-2007.

[No. L-22012/473/1994-IR(C-II)]

AJAY KUMAR GAUR, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT, JABALPUR

NO. CGIT/LC/R/70/95

Presiding Officer: SHRI C.M. SINGH

The Vice President,
National Colliery Workers Federation,
Camp-72, Transport Nagar,
Korba, Post Korba Colliery,
Distt. Bilaspur : Workman/Union

Versus

The General Manager,
S.E.C.L, Gevra Project,
Post Gevra Project,
Distt. Bilaspur : Management

AWARD

Passed on this 12th day of December 2007

1. The Government of India, Ministry of Labour vide its Notification No. L-22012/473/94-IR(C-II) dated 2-5-95 has referred the following dispute for adjudication by this tribunal:—

"Whether the action of the management of SECL, Gevra Project in punishing Shri Sachish Kumar, Shovel Operator for damaging jeep & deducting Rs. 16,000 (500 equal monthly instalments from wages) is justified? If not, to what relief is the workman concerned entitled to?"

2. Vide order dated 15-7-05 passed on the ordersheet of this reference proceeding, the reference proceeded exparte against workman. No statement of claim has been filed on behalf of workman.

3. The management has filed their Written Statement. Their case in brief is as follows. That workman Shri Sachish Kumar was initially appointed as Driver (T) Cat-II on 14-2-91 at Gavera Project. He was subsequently transferred to Dipka Augmentation in the existing capacity w.e.f. 24-10-92. He was deputed on jeep No. MOI4I on 30-10-91 to Bilaspur along with Shri R. P. Singh, Executive Engineer (Ex.cav.) to bring certain articles from M/s. Allied Sales

and Services, Bilaspur. While coming from Bilaspur due to negligence of Shri Sachish Kumar the driver, dashed the jeep with a tree near Pali, resulting injury to Shri R.P. Singh, Executive Engineer (Ex.cav.) who was sitting in the jeep and the jeep was also badly damaged. As soon as the message was received by the Gavera Project, Shri R.K. Jaiswal SE(Ex.cav.) rushed to the place of accident along with the doctor in the ambulance. By that time, they found that Shri R.P. Singh the injured officer was taken by private jeep to the hospital. As such the team returned back to Gavera Hospital. Due to said gross negligence in duty by the workman concerned, he was issued with a chargesheet under clause 26.5, 26.23 of the standing order applicable to him. As the reply was found unsatisfactory, a departmental enquiry was held in the matter. As a consequence thereof, a lenient punishment of recovery of Rs. 16000 was imposed on workman for the damages caused to the Government property.

4. As the case proceeded ex parte against the workman, no evidence has been adduced on behalf of workman.

5. The management in order to prove their case, filed affidavit of their witness Shri T.K. Nag, then working as General Manager in Dipka Project of SECL.

6. I have heard Shri A.K. Shashi, Advocate for the management, I have very carefully gone through the entire evidence on record.

7. The case of the management is fully established from the uncontroverted and unchallenged affidavit of their witness Shri T.K. Nag. Therefore the reference deserves to be decided in favour of the management and against the workman without any orders as to costs.

8. In view of the above, the reference is decided in favour of the management and against workman without any orders as to costs holding that the action of management of SECL, Gevra Project in punishing Shri Shri Sachish Kumar, Shovel Operator for damaging jeep & deducting Rs. 16,000 (500 equal monthly instalments from wages) is justified and consequently the workman is not entitled to any relief.

C. M. SINGH, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 26 दिसम्बर, 2007

का.आ. 114—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार एस. ई. सी. एल. के प्रबंधन के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण/श्रम न्यायालय, जबलपुर के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 59/92) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 26-12-07 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-22012/482/1991-आईआर(सी-II)]

अजय कुमार गौड़, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 26th December, 2007

S.O. 114.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the Award (Ref. No. 59/92.) Central Government Industrial Tribunal/Labour Court, Jabalpur as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of S.E.C.L. and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 26-12-2007.

[No. L-22012/482/1991-IR(C-II)]

AJAY KUMAR GAUR, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT

INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CUM LABOUR COURT,

JABALPUR

NO. CGIT/LC/R/59/92

PRESIDING OFFICER: SHRI C. M. SINGH

The General Secretary,

M.P. K.K.M.P. (H.M.S.),

Junnardeo,

Distt. Chhindwara

Workman/Union

Versus

The General Manager,

W.C.L, Kanhan Area,

P.O. Dungaria,

Distt. Chhindwara

Management

AWARD

Passed on this 3rd day of December 2007

1. The Government of India, Ministry of Labour, vide its Notification No. L-22012/482/91-IR(C-II) dated 26-3-92 has referred the following dispute for adjudication by this tribunal:

"Whether the action of the management of Ghorawari Colliery of W.C.Ltd. in dismissing from services to S/Shri Prem S/o Dhonda w.e.f. 16-3-90; Madu S/o Kriya w.e.f. 7-9-90 and Kunnihal S/o Hiralal w.e.f. 8-4-88 is legal and justified? If not, to what relief the concerned workmen are entitled to?"

2. The case of the workmen in brief is as follows. Shri Prem (now dead) was employed as General Mazdoor with the management. He was appointed on 10-12-80. He was chargesheeted vide No. GHC/CS/2020/88 dated 12-8-88 charging him that he was absenting from duty w.e.f. 27-5-88. The charge sheet was not supplied to him. The workman was illegally stopped from duty without written notice or suspension. The so-called enquiry shown on the papers only conducted after a gap of one year on 13-9-88 and after a gap of one year again the next date was fixed after enquiry i.e. 6-9-89 which was only shown on the papers and the last date was shown as 23-10-89 of the so-called enquiry. The management had shown two thumb impressions on the enquiry proceedings, one of Prem (now dead) and the other

of Chhannoolal, co-worker. Both these persons were illiterate and innocent. Four Trade Unions are working at Ghorawari Colliery and hundreds of workers of the Unions are all the times ready for co-worker's duty. Infact no enquiry was conducted by the management and the thumb impression of Shri Prem (now dead) is false on the enquiry record. The workman was dismissed w.e.f. 16-3-90 as a result of the so-called enquiry. Workman Shri Madu Adivasi, Tub Loader was appointed on 1-1-1974. He was chargesheeted vide No. GHC/CS/1290/87 dated 15-11-87 charging him that he was absenting from duty from 10-8-87 to 24-11-87. The chargesheet was not supplied to him. After 18 months from the date of chargesheet, the first date in the enquiry was shown on papers as 15-5-89. Again after one year, the next date of enquiry was shown on papers as 20-5-90. Workman Shri Madu was illegally and verbally stopped from duty since March 1990. He was enlisted for retrenchment. The Enquiry Officer gave enquiry report undated. It was a bogus and false enquiry. The workman Shri Madu was dismissed on 7-9-90. Thus the retrenchment of the workman is legal. Workman Kunnilal, Tub loader was appointed on 26-9-73. He was chargesheeted vide No. GHC/CS/2321/86 dated 30-9-86. The chargesheet was not supplied to him. The charge against him was that he had been absent from 1-8-86. One more chargesheet has been shown against him on papers i.e. GHC/CS/1379/87 dated 28-11-87 that he had been absenting from 14-8-87. He was suspended for unlimited period on papers w.e.f. 30-9-86 and was dismissed after a gap of 3 years. He was not paid suspension allowance to date. He was dismissed on 8-4-88. This enquiry against him was also bogus and fabricated. It has been prayed on behalf of the workmen that illegal order of the management be set-aside and the workmen be reinstated with all back wages and benefits.

3. The management has filed their Written Statement. Their case in brief is as follows. Workman Shri Prem (now dead) was appointed as General Mazdoor on 10-12-80. He was working in Ghorawari Colliery. Ever since his appointment, it was observed that Shri Prem was in the habit of remaining absent unauthorisely. Because of his unauthorized absence from duty without any intimation and sanction from competent authority w.e.f. 27-5-88, a chargesheet dated 12-8-88 was issued to him by the Manager, Ghorawari Colliery. A chargesheet was issued to him on the address available with the management as per the service record of the workman. In spite of issuance of charge sheet, the workman did not respond to the same and did not submit any reply to the chargesheet. As no reply was received, a departmental enquiry was conducted into the charges levelled against him according to the rules. After a fair and proper enquiry, it was established that the workman was guilty of charges levelled against him and it was proved beyond doubt that Shri Prem (now dead) was a habitual absentee and has committed serious acts of misconduct as alleged in the chargesheet. As such, the

action of the management in not continuing him in the service is legal and proper. Workman Madu was appointed as a Tub loader in Ghorawari in 1-1-1974. He was also a habitual absentee and he was not attending to his duty properly. On account of his unauthorized absence from duty w.e.f. 10-8-87 a charge sheet dated 15-11-87 was issued to him by the Manager, Ghorawari Colliery. Shri Madu submitted reply to the aforesaid chargesheet denying the charges levelled against him. But the reply was not found satisfactory. Therefore a detailed enquiry was conducted into the charges levelled against him as per rules. In spite of sufficient service of notice, workman Madu did not participate in the enquiry proceeding. After conducting a proper and legal enquiry, the Enquiry Officer came to the conclusion that the workman was guilty of the charges levelled against him. Keeping such an employee on the roll is not at all in the interest of management. As such the action of the management is legal and proper. Workman Sri Kunnilal was appointed in the Ghorawari Colliery w.e.f. 26-9-83. He was also a habitual absentee. He was unauthorisely absent without any intimation or information w.e.f. 1-8-84. He was continuously absent for 2 years. His whereabouts were not known. As such a chargesheet dated 30-9-86 was issued to him for remaining absent for such a long time. A reply was submitted by the workman Shri Kunnilal. But the reply was not found satisfactory. Therefore a detailed enquiry into the charges levelled against Shri Kunnilal was conducted by the management. Since the workman failed to appear even after intimation of the date fixed in the enquiry, therefore the Enquiry Officer had no other option but to conduct an exparte enquiry. The Disciplinary Authority after considering the enquiry report took a proper action against workman because it was not in the interest of management to permit such worker to continue in the service of the Bank. It is prayed by the management that in view of the above, the workmen are not entitled to any relief.

4. On 18-7-95, my learned predecessor in office framed following issues upon the pleadings of the parties :—

- i. Whether the enquiry is just, proper & legal?
- ii. Whether the management is entitled to lead evidence before this tribunal?
- iii. Whether the charges of misconduct are proved on the facts of the case?
- iv. Whether the punishment awarded is proper and legal?
- v. Relief and costs?

5. The management in order to prove that the enquiry was conducted against the workman justly, properly and legally examined their witness Shri S.K. Shrivastava, then posted as Personnel Manager in WCL, Kanhan Area.

6. The workman did not examine any witness for proving that the enquiry was not conducted against him properly and legally.

FINDINGS

7. Issues No.1 & 2—My learned predecessor-in-office after having heard the learned counsel for the parties and after going through the evidence on record, gave findings on preliminary issues No.1&2. It was held by him that the enquiry was properly conducted against the workmen and the management therefore is not required to lead any evidence in this case to prove the alleged misconduct of the workman and answered the preliminary issue No.1 & 2 accordingly. The findings on Issue No.1 & 2 shall form the part of this award.

8. Issue No. 3—In view of findings recorded by my learned predecessor on Issues No.1 & 2, it is hereby held that the charges of misconduct are proved on the facts of the case. Issue No.3 is decided accordingly.

9. Issues No.4 & 5—I have heard Shri A.K.Shashi, Advocate for the management I could not have an opportunity of hearing the learned counsel for the workmen as none appeared on behalf of the workmen.

10. Shri A.K.Shashi, Advocate learned counsel for the management submitted that appropriate punishment has been given to the workmen after having considered the acts of their misconduct. He prayed that this tribunal should not interfere with the punishment imposed on the workmen and in this respect, he placed his reliance on 1997(2)L.L.N. 1019. SC in the case of State of Punjab and others versus Bakhshish Singh & 1998(1)L.L.N.710 Madras High Court in the case of Anna Transport Corporation, Salem versus Labour Court, Salem and another. I have very carefully gone through the law cited by the learned counsel for the management. It has been held therein that it is settled legal position that it is for the disciplinary authority to pass appropriate punishment; the Civil Court cannot substitute its own view to that of the Disciplinary as well as the Appellate Authority on the nature of punishment to be imposed upon the delinquent officer. That in view of the findings of the Appellate Court, it is a grave misconduct, the Appellate Court ought not to have interfered with the decree of the trial court. That the High Court dismissed it without application of mind and ignoring the settled legal principle.

11. It has also been held by the Hon'ble High Court of Madras that the discretion under Sec-II A of the I.D. Act is not meant to be equated to charity.

12. In view of the law cited above and considering the misconduct of the workmen, I am of the considered opinion that the management has properly punished them and they are not entitled to any relief. But because of, one of the workmen has died and the other two have been terminated from services, it shall be just and proper that the parties should be directed to bear their own costs of this proceeding. Issues No.4 & 5 are decided accordingly.

13. In view of the findings on Issues No. 1 to 5, the reference is decided in favour of the management and

against the workmen without any orders as to costs holding that the action of the management of Ghorawari Colliery of W.C.Ltd. in dismissing from services to S/Shri Prem S/o Dhonda w.e.f. 16-3-90, Madu S/o Kriya w.e.f. 7-9-90 and Kunnial S/o Sh. Hiralal w.e.f. 8-4-88 is legal and justified and the workmen are, therefore, not entitled to any relief.

14. Let the copies of the award be sent to the Government of India, Ministry of Labour & Employment as per rules.

C. M. SINGH, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 26 दिसम्बर, 2007

का.आ. 115.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार एस. ई. सी. एल. के प्रबंधन के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण/श्रम न्यायालय, जबलपुर के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 62/95) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 26-12-2007 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-22012/439/1994-आईआर(सी-II)]

अजय कुमार गौड़, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 26th December, 2007

S.O. 115.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 62/95) Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Jabalpur as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of S. E. C. L. and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 26-12-2007.

[No. L-22012/439/1994-IR(C-II)]

AJAY KUMAR GAUR, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT
INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT,
JABALPUR

NO. CGIT/LC/R/62/95

PRESIDING OFFICER: SHRI C. M. SINGH

The Secretary,
M.P.K.K.M.U.,
Post Sonawani Colliery,
Distt. Surguja

Workman/Union

Versus

The Sub Area Manager,
Duman Hill Colliery,
SECL, Post Sonawani Colliery,
Distt. Surguja

Management

AWARD

Passed on this 14th day of December, 2007

1. The Government of India, Ministry of Labour vide its Notification No.L-22012(439)/94-IR.C-II dated 24-3-95

has referred the following dispute for adjudication by this tribunal:

"Whether the action of Sub Area Manager, Duman Hill Colliery of Chirimiri Area of SECL in penalising Shri Bishram S/o Jaidha, Trammer Cat III vide order dated 19-7-93 and demoting him from Cat I to Cat III is legal and justified? If not, to what relief is the workman entitled to?"

2. Vide order dated 16-3-05 passed on the ordersheet of this reference proceeding, the reference proceeded exparte against workman Shri Bishram. No statement of claim has been filed on behalf of the workman.

3. The management has filed Written Statement. Their case in brief is as follows. That the workman was initially appointed as General Mazdoor. He was given promotion from time to time. He was working as Trammer Cat-III at the relevant period. The employees working in the coal industries have been provided with various facilities, such as free accommodation, free fuel, free medical aid etc. Providing residential accommodation is not a service condition of the employees working in the coal industry. The workman unauthorisedly without there being any allotment had encroached and occupied miners quarter Block No. 79, Quarter No.2(14 Block), which was allotted to one Shri Bandel Sai, Dresser Duman Hill Colliery. On receipt of the report from the Security Personnel, the workman was issued with a chargesheet under clause 26.14 of the Standing Orders applicable to him. The reply submitted by the workman was not found satisfactory. Therefore a departmental enquiry was conducted against him into the charges. The enquiry was conducted legally and properly. The Enquiry Officer held that the charges were proved against the workman. The Disciplinary Authority vide order dated 19-7-93 imposed the following punishment on the workman

- i. Suspension period from 28-05-1993 to till date (19-7-93) is confirmed.
- ii. He was de-graded to Cat-I mazdoor.

4. As the case proceeded exparte against the workman, no evidence is on record on behalf of the workman.

5. The management filed affidavit of their witness Shri N. R. Das, then working as Personnel Manager at Duman Hill Colliery, SECL, Chirimiri area for proving their case.

6. I have heard Shri A.K. Shashi, Advocate for the management. I have gone through the evidence on record.

7. The case of the management is fully established and proved from the uncontroverted and unchallenged affidavit of their witness Shri N.R.Das. Therefore the reference deserves to be decided in favour of the management and against the workman without any orders as to costs.

8. In view of the above, the reference is decided in favour of the management and against the workman without any orders as to costs holding that the action of Sub Area Manager, Duman Hill Colliery of Chirimiri Area of SECL in penalising Shri Bishram S/o Jaidha, Trammer Cat III vide order dated 19-7-93 and demoting him from Cat I to Cat III is legal and justified. Consequently the workman is not entitled to any relief.

9. Let the copies of the award be sent to the Government of India, Ministry of Labour & Employment as per rules.

C. M. SINGH, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 26 दिसम्बर, 2007

का.आ. 116.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार एस. ई. सी. एल. के प्रबंधन के संबद्ध नियोजकों और इनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण/श्रम न्यायालय, जबलपुर के पंचोद (संदर्भ संख्या 63/95) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 26-12-07 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-22012/442/1994-आईआर(सी-II)]

अजय कुमार गौड़, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 26th December, 2007

S.O. 116.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), of the Central Government hereby publishes the Award (Ref. No. 63/95) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Jabalpur as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of S. E. G. L. and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 26-12-2007.

[No. L-22012/442/1994-IR(C-II)]

AJAY KUMAR GAUR, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT

INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT,
JABALPUR

NO. CGIT/LC/R/63/95

PRESIDING OFFICER: SHRI C. M. SINGH

The Secretary,
K.K.S.S.(B.M.S),

Qr.No. B/48, Govinda,

Post Kotma Colliery,

Distt. Shahdol.

Workmen/Union

Versus

The General Manager,

Jamuna & Kotma Area, SECL,

Post Jamuna Colliery,

Distt. Shahdol

Management

AWARD

Passed on this 12th day of December, 2007

1. The Government of India, Ministry of Labour vide its Notification No. L-22012(442)94-IR(C-II) dated 24-3-95 has referred the following dispute for adjudication by this tribunal:

"Whether the action of General Manager, SECL Jamuna & Kotma Area in superseding S/Sh Mohd Yusuf Sheikh, R.S. Sahu, Gopal Singh, Dayaram Soni & S.M. Srivastava overmen of Govinda colliery by promoting Shri M. Kumar overmen to the post of Sr. overman vide order dated 4-4-91 is legal and justified? If not, to what relief the workmen concerned are entitled to?"

2. Vide order dated 3-1-06 passed on the ordersheet of this reference proceeding, the reference proceeded exparte against the workmen/Union. No statement of claim has been filed on behalf of workmen/Union. It appears that on behalf of workmen/Union, an application dated 11-9-95 has been filed by which certain copies of the paper have been brought on record.

3. The management filed their Written Statement. Their case in brief is as follows. That the workmen Sarya Shri Mohd Yusuf Sheikh, R.S. Sahu, Gopal Singh, Dayaram Soni & S.M. Srivastava were employed as overmen, T & S, Gr. B in Sub area Jamuna & Kotma of the management. It has been pleaded in the Written Statement that the case of the workmen is that they are senior to Shri M. Kumar who has been promoted to the post of Sr. overman and therefore the order of supersession dated 4-4-91 of the management is not legal and justified. It has been further pleaded by the management that the promotion to the post of Sr. overman is done as per the cadre scheme. That the cases of the eligible candidates were considered for promotion to the post of Sr. overman taking into account their merit by the Departmental Promotion Committee wherein the case of workmen was also considered along with several candidates. That the merit of each of the candidate was considered by the DPC. The DPC had made a report thereof. The promotion of Shri M. Kumar has been done on the principle of Merit-cum-seniority as per recommendation of DPC. Hence Shri M. Kumar was promoted to the post of Sr. overman and the workmen could not be promoted.

4. As the case proceeded exparte against the workmen/Union, no evidence has been brought on record on behalf of workmen/Union.

5. The management in order to prove their case filed affidavit of their witness Shri R.G. Yadav, then working as Area Personnel Manager in Jamuna & Kotma area of SECL.

6. I have heard Shri A.K. Shashi, Advocate for the management. I have very carefully gone through the evidence on record.

7. As there is neither any statement of claim of the workmen/Union nor any evidence on their behalf, the case

of the workmen is not at all proved. The case of the management is fully established from the uncontroverted and unchallenged affidavit of management's witness Shri R.C. Yadav. The reference, therefore, deserves to be decided in favour of the management and against the workmen/Union without any orders as to costs.

8. In view of the above, the reference is decided in favour of the management and against the workmen/Union without any orders as to costs holding that the action of General Manager, SECL Jamuna & Kotma Area in superseding S/Sh Mohd Yusuf Sheikh, R.S. Sahu, Gopal Singh, Dayaram Soni & S.M. Srivastava overmen of Govinda colliery by promoting Shri M. Kumar overman to the post of Sr. overman vide order dated 4-4-91 is legal and justified and consequently the workmen are not entitled to any relief.

9. Let the copies of the award be sent to the Government of India, Ministry of Labour & Employment as per rules.

C. M. SINGH, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 27 दिसम्बर, 2007

का.आ. 117—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसार में, केन्द्रीय सरकार दूर संचार विभाग के प्रबंधकों के संबंध जियोपूरों और उनके कार्यों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में औद्योगिक अधिकरण/श्रम न्यायालय जोधपुर के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 27-12-2007 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं.एल-40012/67/2004-आई आर (डी यू)]

सुरेन्द्र सिंह, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 27th December, 2007

S.O. 117.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award of the Industrial Tribunal/Labour Court, Jodhpur as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Department of Telecom and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 27-12-2007.

[No. L-40012/67/2004-IR(DU)]

SURENDRA SINGH, Desk Officer

अनुबन्ध

औद्योगिक विवाद अधिकरण एवं श्रम न्यायालय जोधपुर

पीठासीन अधिकारी: श्री सुरेन्द्र सिंह हाड़ा और एच. जे. एस.

औद्योगिक विवाद संख्या: 2/2005

श्री राजेन्द्र प्रसाद जाया पुत्र श्री संतोकलाल जी जाया जति मेहतर निवासी, काजीपुरा मोहल्ला, हरिजन बस्ती मरवाड़ जंक्शन, जिला पाली।

बनाम

1. सब डिवीजनल ऑफिसर तार सुमेरपुर, जिला पाली।

2. महाप्रबंधक दूर संचार जिला पाली, मरवाड़।

अग्रार्थी/प्रतिवादी

रेफरेंस अन्तर्गत धारा 10 औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947**उपस्थिति:-**

- (1) श्री डी. के चौहान, प्रतिनिधि प्रार्थी उप.
- (2) श्री वासुदेव व्यास, प्रतिनिधि अप्रार्थी उप.

अवार्ड**दिनांक:- 27-9-2007**

1. भारत सरकार ने अपनी अधिसूचना क्रमांक एल. 40012/67/2004-(आई आर (डीयू) दिनांक 4-11-2004 के तहत निम्न विवाद अन्तर्गत धारा 10 औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 इस न्यायालय को रेफर किया है :-

"Whether the action of the management of S.D.O. (Tar), Sumerpur O/o The General Manager, Bharat Sanchar Nigam Ltd., Pali, Marwar in terminating the services of their workman Shri Rajinder Prasad Jawa S/o Shri Santok Lal Jawa, Part Times Sweeper w.e.f. 1-4-1997 is legal and justified? If not, to what relief the workman is entitled?"

2. प्रार्थी ने अपना मांग-पत्र इस आशय का प्रस्तुत किया है कि प्रार्थी की नियुक्ति अप्रार्थी संख्या -1 ने फरास व सफाई वाले के पद पर दिनांक 23-5-88 को अस्थाई तौर पर की प्रार्थी ने अप्रार्थी सं. 1 के पास दिनांक 30-3-88 से 1-4-97 तक बिना किसी ब्रेक के प्रत्येक कलेण्डर वर्ष में 240 दिन से अधिक काम किया तथा प्रार्थी को प्रतिमाह 500/- रुपये वेतन दिया जाता था। प्रार्थी से 30-3-88 से 31-5-89 तक फरास/सफाई वाले का कार्य लिया गया तत्पश्चात् प्रार्थी को उपरोक्त कार्य के अतिरिक्त दिनांक 1-6-89 से कार्यालय में रात्रीकालीन चौकीदार के पद पर भी लगाया गया। इस प्रकार प्रार्थी ने 30-3-88 से 1-4-97 तक लगातार सफाईकर्म एवं चौकीदार का कार्य किया। दिनांक 1-4-97 को अप्रार्थी सं. 1 ने बिना कोई कारण बताये मौखिक आदेश से प्रार्थी की सेवाएं समाप्त कर दी, सेवा समाप्ति से पूर्व प्रार्थी को न तो नोटिस दिया न ही नोटिस के एवज में वेतन दिया न ही छंटनी मुआवजा दिया गया। प्रार्थी ने पुनः सेवा में लेने हेतु 29-1-98 तथा 20-2-2000 को अप्रार्थीगण को लिखित में भी प्रार्थना-पत्र दिये लेकिन अप्रार्थीगण द्वारा प्रार्थी को मात्र आश्वासन दिये जाते रहे लेकिन सेवा में पुनर्स्थापित नहीं किया गया। तत्पश्चात् प्रार्थी की पत्नी को मिरगी के दौरे पड़ने शुरू हो गये तथा प्रार्थी अपनी पत्नी के ईलाज में व्यस्त रहा व स्वयं प्रार्थी की मानसिक स्थिति पत्नी की बिमारी के कारण खराब हो गई। प्रार्थी की पत्नी का ईलाज तीन वर्ष तक चलता तब प्रार्थी ने पुनः अप्रार्थी विभाग में जाकर नौकरी हेतु निवेदन किया तथा 27-3-2003 को पुनः प्रार्थना-पत्र दिया लेकिन अप्रार्थीगण से कोई जवाब नहीं दिया। अप्रार्थी द्वारा प्रार्थी को सेवामुक्त करने से पूर्व कोई वरिष्ठता सूची प्रकाशित नहीं की गई। प्रार्थी कम पढ़ा-लिखा होने के कारण व अपनी पत्नी की बिमारी के कारण व कानून से अनभिज्ञ होने के कारण पूर्व में शिकायत प्रस्तुत नहीं कर सका। अतः प्रार्थी को सेवा समाप्ति की दिनांक से सेवा में बहाल किये जाने तक सेवाएं लगातार मानी जावे तथा प्रार्थी को सम्मत अनुत्ताम अप्रार्थीगण से दिलाये जावें।

3. अप्रार्थी की ओर से जवाब में कहा गया है कि प्रार्थी को पूर्णतया अस्थाई तौर पर आकस्मिक मजदूर के रूप में पार्ट टाइम दो घण्टे प्रतिदिन के लिए सफाई कार्य करने हेतु 30-3-88 को तारघर सुमेरपुर में रखा गया था। प्रार्थी को कभी भी श्रमिक के रूप में अप्रार्थीगण ने नियुक्ति नहीं दी। यह गलत है कि प्रार्थी ने अप्रार्थी सं. 1 के अधीन 30-3-88 से 1-4-97 तक बिना किसी ब्रेक के कार्य किया हो, यह भी गलत है कि उसे प्रतिमाह 500/- रुपये वेतन दिया जा रहा हो, वास्तव में उसे तारघर सुमेरपुर में सफाई का कार्य हेतु प्रतिदिन दो घण्टे के लिए अंशकालीन आकस्मिक मजदूर के रूप में पूर्णतया अस्थाई तौर पर रखा गया था, जब-जब सफाई के कार्य की आवश्यकता होती थी तब-तब प्रार्थी से सफाई का कार्य करवाया जाता था, 31-12-1990 से 30-6-1991 के पत्र के जरिये प्रार्थी की सेवाओं की आवश्यकता नहीं होने के कारण प्रार्थी को काम पर नहीं आने हेतु सूचित किया गया था तथा उसके पश्चात् कार्य की आवश्यकता होने पर प्रार्थी को पुनः कार्य पर रखा गया था। प्रार्थी द्वारा किये जाने वाले कार्य की प्रकृति को देखते हुए यह नहीं माना जा सकता कि प्रार्थी ने एक कलेण्डर वर्ष में 240 दिनों से अधिक अवधि तक काम किया हो। प्रार्थी का यह कथन भी गलत है कि उसने 30-3-88 से 1-4-97 तक अप्रार्थीगण के अधीन सफाई कर्म एवं चौकीदार के पद पर कार्य किया हो। वास्तव में प्रार्थी से 1-6-89 से 8-6-89 तक कुल आठ दिनों की अवधि के लिए प्रति दिन पांच घण्टे के लिए चौकीदारी का कार्य करवाया गया जिसका भुगतान उसे किया गया, प्रार्थी को कभी भी चौकीदार के पद पर नियुक्ति नहीं दी गई। प्रार्थी द्वारा किये जाने वाले कार्य की प्रकृति के अनुसार तथाकथित सेवामुक्ति छंटनी की तारीफ में नहीं आती है न ही प्रार्थी के मामले में आई.डी. एक्ट के प्रावधान लागू होते हैं। अप्रार्थीगण द्वारा प्रार्थी को कभी भी सेवा में पुनर्स्थापित करने कोई आश्वासन नहीं दिया गया प्रार्थी ने कोई लिखित प्रार्थना-पत्र प्रस्तुत नहीं किये। प्रार्थी ने 27-3-2003 को प्रार्थना-पत्र प्रस्तुत कर नियमित नियुक्ति देने की प्रार्थना की थी किन्तु प्रार्थी को नियमानुसार नियमित नियुक्ति नहीं दी जा सकती थी क्योंकि प्रार्थी 1-4-97 के बाद कार्य पर नहीं आया। आकस्मिक मजदूर के रूप में कार्य करने वाले व्यक्ति के संबंध में वरिष्ठता सूची बनाने अथवा प्रकाशन करने की आवश्यकता नहीं रहती। अतिरिक्त कथन में कहा गया है कि भारत संचार निगम का गठन वर्ष 2000 में हुआ अतः तथाकथित रूप से 1-4-97 को प्रार्थी को सेवा से पृथक् करने की तारीख को भारत संचार निगम अस्तित्व में नहीं था। सब डिवीजनल ऑफिसर एवं महाप्रबन्धक नियोक्ता की परिभाषा में नहीं आते। नियमों के अन्तर्गत निर्धारित प्रक्रिया के अनुरूप ही किसी व्यक्ति को सफाई कर्मचारी अथवा अन्य किसी पद पर नियुक्ति दी जा सकती है। प्रार्थी ने अपना विवाद अत्यन्त विलम्ब से उठाया है। अतः प्रार्थी किसी अनुतोष का अधिकारी नहीं है। प्रार्थी का मांग-पत्र स्वयं खारिज किया जाये।

4. मांग-पत्र के समर्थन में प्रार्थी ने स्वयं का शपथ-पत्र प्रस्तुत किया जिस पर अप्रार्थी प्रतिनिधि द्वारा जिरह की गई तथा अप्रार्थी की ओर से बस्तीमल परिहार व शम्भूसिंह पेमावत के शपथ-पत्र प्रस्तुत किये गये जिन पर प्रार्थी प्रतिनिधि द्वारा जिरह की गई।

5. दोनों पक्षों के प्रतिनिधीगण की बहस सुनी गई। पत्रावली का अवलोकन किया गया।

6. यह सिद्ध करने का भार प्रार्थी पर था कि उसने सेवामुक्ति की रेफरेन्स में बताई दिनांक 1-4-1997 से पूर्व के एक वर्ष में 240 दिन अप्रार्थी के अधीन कार्य किया (2006) 3 एल.एल.जे. 320। प्रार्थी ने इस तथ्य को सिद्ध करने के लिए स्वयं की मौखिक साक्ष्य के अलावा प्रदर्श ए-1 पत्र दिनांक 1-6-89 प्रस्तुत किया है जिसमें प्रार्थी को रात्रि में ऑफिस में किसी भी व्यक्ति को नहीं सोने देने की हिदायत दी गई है। माननीय उच्चतम न्यायालय द्वारा (2006) 1 एल.जे. 268 में यह निर्णित किया गया है कि इस तथ्य को सिर्फ शपथ-पत्र के आधार पर सिद्ध होना नहीं माना जा सकता बल्कि इस सम्बन्ध में आवश्यक दस्तावेजी साक्ष्य भी प्रस्तुत की जानी चाहिये। प्रार्थी द्वारा उक्त पत्र के अलावा इस संबंध में कोई दस्तावेजी साक्ष्य न तो प्रस्तुत की गई है न ही तलब करवाई गई है। जब कि प्रार्थी इस तथ्य को उपस्थिति रजिस्टर अथवा वेतन भुगतान रजिस्टर से सिद्ध कर सकता था। प्रार्थी की साक्ष्य के रिकॉर्ड में अप्रार्थी विभाग की ओर से जो साक्ष्य प्रस्तुत की गई है उसमें श्री बस्तीमल, परिहार सीनीयर टेलीफोन ऑपरेटिंग एसिस्टेंट ने अपनी साक्ष्य में इस तथ्य को तो स्वीकार किया है कि प्रार्थी को 30-3-88 से प्रतिदिन दो घण्टे सफाई कार्य के लिए अंशकालीन मजदूर के रूप में रखा गया था। प्रार्थी को कोई नियुक्ति नहीं दी गई थी, उसे प्रति घण्टा के हिसाब से पाक्षिक रूप से भुगतान किया जाता था। प्रार्थी ने 30-3-88 से 1-4-97 तक लगातार सफाई कर्मचारी या चौकीदार का कार्य नहीं किया, इस दौरान 1-6-89 से 8-6-89 तक कुल 8 दिन ही प्रतिदिन पांच घण्टे चौकीदारी का कार्य प्रार्थी से लिया गया। जिरह में इस गवाह ने यह स्वीकार किया है कि राजेन्द्र प्रसाद जावा ने 1-4-97 तक कार्य किया परन्तु इसका आशय यह नहीं लिया जा सकता कि प्रार्थी ने 30-3-88 से 1-4-97 तक लगातार कार्य किया हो। चूंकि इस गवाह ने स्पष्ट रूप से यह दिनांक बताई है जब उसने पांच घण्टे कार्य किया व शेष समय में दो घण्टे सफाई कार्य प्रार्थी द्वारा किया जाना बताया व यह भी कहा है कि 30-3-88 से 1-4-97 तक ऐसे पद पर कार्य नहीं किया। इस प्रकार प्रार्थी ऐसी कोई साक्ष्य प्रस्तुत नहीं कर पाया है जिसके आधार पर यह तथ्य सिद्ध होना माना जा सके कि सेवामुक्ति की रेफरेन्स में बताई गई दिनांक 1-4-97 से पूर्व के एक वर्ष में प्रार्थी ने अप्रार्थी विभाग में 240 दिन कार्य किया हो।

7. प्रार्थी स्वीकारतः दैनिक वेतन भोगी कर्मचारी था व माननीय उच्चतम न्यायालय द्वारा 2005(8)एस.सी.सी. 750 में यह निर्णित किया गया है कि दैनिक वेतन भोगी कर्मचारियों के लिए सीनीयोरिटी लिस्ट रखा जाना आवश्यक नहीं है। प्रार्थी का केस मुख्यतया धारा 25-एफ आई.डी. एक्ट, 1947 के उल्लंघन पर आधारित है। प्रार्थी ने धारा 25-जी व 25-एच आई.डी. एक्ट, 1947 के उल्लंघन के कोई तथ्य नहीं बताये हैं। अतः इनका उल्लंघन अप्रार्थी विभाग द्वारा किया जाना नहीं माना जा सकता। इस प्रकार 240 दिन की सेवा प्रार्थी द्वारा पूरी किये जाने का तथ्य सिद्ध नहीं होने से धारा 25-एफ आई.डी. एक्ट, 1947 का उल्लंघन अप्रार्थी विभाग द्वारा किया गया हो, यह सिद्ध होना नहीं माना जा सकता। इन परिस्थितियों में प्रार्थी की सेवामुक्ति अनुचित या अवैध नहीं माना जा सकती।

8. उक्त विवेचन के अनुसार इस रेफरेन्स का उत्तर इस अवाई की टर्म्स में निम्न प्रकार दिया जाता है।

9. प्रार्थी को दिनांक 1-4-1997 से अप्रार्थी द्वारा सेवामुक्ति किया जाना अनुचित एवं अवैध नहीं था। प्रार्थी किसी अनुतोष का अधिकारी नहीं होगा।

10. यह अवाई आज दिनांक 27-9-2007 को खुले न्यायालय में सुनाया गया।

पुष्पेन्द्र सिंह हाड़ा, न्यायाधीश

नई दिल्ली, 27 दिसम्बर, 2007

का.आ. 118—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार दूर संचार विभाग के प्रबंधन के संबंध में नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण/श्रम न्यायालय, जोधपुर के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 27-12-07 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-40011/21/2001-आई आर(डी यू)]

सुरेन्द्र सिंह, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 27th December, 2007

S.O. 118.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award of the Industrial Tribunal /Labour Court, Jodhpur as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Department of Telecom and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 27-12-2007.

[No. L-40011/21/2001-IR(DU)]

SURENDRA SINGH, Desk Officer

अनुबन्ध

औद्योगिक विवाद अधिकरण एवं श्रम न्यायालय, जोधपुर
पीठासीन अधिकारी:- श्री पुष्पेन्द्रसिंह हाड़ा आर. एच. जे. एस.

औद्योगिक विवाद संख्या-01/2002

श्री उम्मेदराम पुत्र श्री कीरताराम द्वारा अखिल भारतीय तार परियात कर्मचारी संघ, 516, सुभष नगर 'ए' पाली (राज.)

.....प्रार्थी

बनाम

प्रबन्धक, दूर संचार जिला पाली (राज.)

.....अप्रार्थी

रेफरेन्स अन्तर्गत धारा 10 औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम,

1947

उपस्थिति :-

- | | |
|-------------------------|---------------------|
| (1) श्री भागीरथ चन्दौरा | प्रतिनिधी प्रार्थी |
| (2) श्री बी.पी. कोहरा | प्रतिनिधी अप्रार्थी |

अवाई
दिनांक 30-8-2007

1. भारत सरकार ने अपनी अधिसूचना क्रमांक एल. 4001/1/21/2001-आई आर (डीयू) दिनांक 1-11-2001 द्वारा निम्न विवाद अर्न्तगत धारा 10 औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 के तहत इस न्यायालय को रेफर किया है :-

Whether the action of General Manager, Telecom Distt. Pali Marwar in not giving overtime wages to Sh. Umedaram S/o Sh. Kirtaman, Watchman for 12 hours duty for every day w.e.f. 3-10-1987 to till date is legal and justified? If not, what relief the union concern to is entitled to ?

2. प्राथी ने अपना मांग-पत्र इस आशय का प्रस्तुत किया है कि प्राथी उम्मेदराम चौकीदार के रूप में अप्राथी संस्थान में दिनांक 3-10-87 से निरन्तर कार्यरत रहा, प्राथी से प्रतिदिन 12 घण्टे कार्य लिया गया जब कि प्राथी की ड्यूटी 8 घण्टे प्रतिदिन निर्धारित है, प्राथी ने मई, 1999 से अप्रैल, 2000 की अवधि में जोधपुर में ऑफिस में कार्य किया जहाँ उससे कोई अधिसमय कार्य नहीं लिया गया, वर्तमान में प्राथी पाली में अप्राथी के अधीन ड्राईविंग का कार्य कर रहा है। प्राथी ने अधिसमय कार्य के वेतन की राशि अप्राथीगण से मांगी लेकिन उसे अदा नहीं की गई जिसके कारण यह औद्योगिक विवाद उत्पन्न हुआ। प्राथी के समान अन्य व्यक्तियों द्वारा कार्य करने पर उन्हें अधिसमय वेतन दिया जाता रहा है। अप्राथी द्वारा प्राथी को यह कहते हुए अधिसमय कार्य का भुगतान नहीं किया कि प्राथी की ड्यूटी 12 घण्टे की है जबकि प्राथी के स्थान पर अन्य व्यक्ति के कार्य करने की ड्यूटी 8 घण्टे की है जो अधिनियम के प्रावधानों के विपरीत है। अप्राथी संस्थान पर औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम के प्रावधान लागू होते हैं जिसके अनुसार अप्राथी किसी भी श्रमिक से प्रति सप्ताह 48 घण्टे से अधिक कार्य लेने पर अधिसमय वेतन देय होता है। प्राथी अल्पवेतन भोगी कर्मचारी है उसकी उक्त राशि अप्राथी ने अदा नहीं कर श्रम विरोधी कार्यवाही की है। प्राथी तारघर में कार्य करता है जो रात्रि में 12 बजे तक खुला रहता है। इस प्रकार प्राथी अधिसमय के वेतन की राशि रुपये 1,60,62 व उक्त राशि पर 12 प्रतिशत ब्याज की राशि की मांग करता है, जो राशि प्राथी को अप्राथी से दिलाई जावे।

3. अप्राथी की ओर से जवाब में कहा गया है कि प्राथी अप्राथी विभाग में 3-10-87 से कार्यरत है परन्तु उससे न तो कभी अधिसमय कार्य लिया गया न ही प्राथी ने अधिसमय कार्य किया, प्राथी से विभागीय नियमानुसार ही कार्य लिया गया है, जब भी प्राथी को अतिरिक्त कार्य सौंपा गया उसका भुगतान उसे नियमानुसार कर दिया गया। प्राथी को विभागीय समानानुसार एवं विभाग द्वारा जारी विभिन्न परिपत्रों अर्थात् परिपत्र नम्बर 24-11/82 टी.ई.-II दिनांक 18-6-83 के अनुसरण में ही भुगतान किया गया है। अतः प्राथी किसी अनुतोष का अधिकारी नहीं है।

4. मांग-पत्र के समर्थन में प्राथी ने स्वयं का शपथ-पत्र प्रस्तुत किया जिस पर अप्राथी प्रतिनिधी द्वारा जिरह की गई तथा अप्राथी की

ओर से राजवीर सिंह का शपथ-पत्र प्रस्तुत किया गया जिस पर प्राथी प्रतिनिधी द्वारा जिरह की गई।

5. दोनों पक्षों के प्रतिनिधीगण की बहस सुनी गई। पत्रावली का अवलोकन किया गया।

6. प्राथी ने यद्यपि अपने मांग-पत्र में जिस अवधि का ओवरटाइम उसके द्वारा क्लेम किया गया है उसका विवरण मांग-पत्र के साथ परिशिष्ट में संलग्न करना बताया है परन्तु इस प्रकार का कोई परिशिष्ट मांग-पत्र के साथ या बाद में भी प्रस्तुत नहीं किया गया है। प्राथी ने अपनी साक्ष्य में यह कहा है कि दिनांक 3-10-87 को वह अप्राथी विभाग में चौकीदार के पद पर कार्यरत है मेरे से 12 घण्टे प्रतिदिन कार्य लिया गया जब कि मेरी ड्यूटी 8 घण्टे प्रतिदिन निर्धारित थी। मैंने ज्यादातर चौकीदारी का कार्य किया है व तारघर का परिसर खुला था उक्त तारघर परिसर के चारों तरफ 2-3 फुट की दीवार थी, जेनरेटर, घड़ियाँ, लॉकर बाहर खुले में रहते थे जहाँ पर स्टोर, केश जेनरेटर का इंजन अन्य सामान रहता था, रात को 12 बजे तक ऑफिस खुला रहता था, मेरे पास केश की चाबी रहती थी, प्रतिदिन कैशियर से चार्ज लेना व देना होता था। अप्राथी को दिये गये विवरण के अनुसार 1,60,620 रुपये उसे ओवरटाइम के दिलावाये जावे। जिरह में इस गवाह ने कहा है कि पूरे बिल्डिंग की चौकीदारी मैं अकेले ही करता था। मैं कैशियर से रात को 12 बजे चार्ज लेता था, सुबह 8 बजे वापस दे देता था।

7. अप्राथी की ओर से जो शपथ-पत्र प्रस्तुत हुआ है उसमें श्री राजवीर सिंह एस.डी.ई (एच.आर.डी.) जी.एम.टी.डी. ने अपनी साक्ष्य में यह कहा है कि प्राथी को वेतन उसके द्वारा किये गये कार्य के अनुरूप दिया गया है। प्राथी चौकीदार के पद पर था व रात्रिकालीन चौकीदार तारघर व कार्यकाल विभागीय नियमानुसार 12 घण्टे होने के कारण उसने अधिसमय कार्य नहीं किया है। विभागीय परिपत्र संख्या 24-11/82 टी.ई.-II दिनांक 8-11-83 परिपत्र संख्या 24-17/71 टी.ई./पी.ई.-1 दिनांक फरवरी, 1972 एवं परिपत्र संख्या ई एस.टी./ई 10-ए/XXXXV II दिनांक, 24-1-1979 व परिपत्र सं. 24-11/टी.ई.-II दिनांक 18-6-83 से स्पष्ट है कि जहाँ पब्लिक ट्रान्जिक्शन नहीं होता एवं रात्रि में ताला लगा रहता है वहाँ चौकीदार का कार्यकाल 12 घण्टे तय किया गया है। तारघर रात्रि में दस बजे बंद हो जाता है एवं अगले दिन प्रातः सात बजे खुलता है, ऐसा तारघरों में चौकीदार का कार्यकाल 12 घण्टे ही निश्चित किया गया है जब प्राथी उम्मेदराम छुट्टी पर रहता है तो इसके स्थान पर दूसरे कर्मचारी को वैकल्पिक व्यवस्था के बतौर लगाया जाता था जो कि चौकीदार पद का न होकर किसी अन्य पद का उम्मीदवार होता था जिससे विभाग को नियमानुसार 8 घण्टे ही कार्य लिया जा सकता है।

8. अप्राथी विभाग द्वारा प्रदर्श ए-1 व प्रदर्श ए-2 प्राथी द्वारा किये गये कार्य दिवसों एवं उसे दिये गये साप्ताहिक अवकाश व उसे किये गये भुगतान का विवरण प्रस्तुत किया गया है जिसे प्राथी द्वारा अपनी साक्ष्य में अस्वीकार नहीं किया गया है। अतः उनमें उल्लेखित भुगतान आदि के तथ्य सिद्ध होने माने जा सकते हैं। जिरह में अप्राथी के गवाह ने कहा है कि उम्मेदराम से वीकली रेस्ट के दिन काम नहीं लिया गया व जब कभी उससे काम लिया गया उसका भुगतान कर

दिया गया। उससे कभी सार्वजनिक अवकाश के दिन भी काम नहीं लिया गया, तारघर के चारों तरफ बाउन्डी बनी हुई थी, लॉकर जेनरेटर आदि ताले में रहते थे, रात्रि में ऑफिस में कैश नहीं रहता था, प्रार्थी से कभी ओवरटाइम नहीं करवाया गया व यदि कभी करवाया गया है तो उसे उसका भुगतान कर दिया गया है। ओवरटाइम के प्रावधान विभाग में लागू होते थे।

9. जहाँ तक प्रार्थी को ओवरटाइम देय होने का प्रश्न है, इस सम्बन्ध में प्रार्थी द्वारा ओवरटाइम एलाउन्स के सम्बन्ध में ओ.एम. नं. 15012/3/86/एस्टबलिशमेंट (एलाउन्सेज) दिनांक 19 मार्च, 1991 द्वारा प्रसारित निर्देशों की प्रति प्रस्तुत की है जिसके द्वारा इससे पूर्व में प्रसारित सभी निर्देशों को सुपरसीड किया गया है। इन निर्देशों के क्लॉज-3 के अनुसार :

“(a) The work in all offices should be so organized as ordinarily to be capable of being done during the normal office hours. The question of overtime work to be done should arise only in special circumstances and where working beyond the prescribed offices hours is a regular feature, the offices and the officers should so stagger the working hours that the staff working in the office or attached to officer attend to such work by rotation.

(b) where in special circumstances, it becomes necessary to perform overtime work, the competent authority may authorize such overtime work after satisfying himself that the work is of such an urgent nature that it cannot be postponed in the public interest till the next working day and the competent authority shall as far as possible, specify before hand the time up to which a Government servant may be required to perform overtime work.”

.....“Cash Compensation in the form of overtime allowance for duty on Sundays/Weekly or Fortnightly off-day/Second Saturday/Public holidays may be granted only in very exceptional circumstances where an officer not below the rank of Joint Secretary in the Secretariat Offices or the Head of the Department in the case of attached subordinate or other office is satisfied and certifies that it is not possible to grant compensatory leave.”

उक्त निर्देशों से यह स्पष्ट है कि ओवरटाइम भत्ता तभी दिया जा सकता है जब कि सक्षम अधिकारी द्वारा इस सम्बन्ध में निर्देश जारी किये गये हों। इन्हीं निर्देशों के क्लॉज 5-जी के अनुसार रेजीडेन्ट (नाईट ड्यूटी) क्लर्क व चपरासी जो सचिवालय या अन्य कार्यालय में कार्यरत हों उन पर यह निर्देश लागू नहीं होंगे। प्रार्थी चूँकि अप्रार्थी विभाग का नियमित कर्मचारी था अतः उसे वर्कशॉप स्टाफ या नॉन इण्डस्ट्रियल स्टाफ जो वर्कशॉप में कार्यरत हों की श्रेणी में आना नहीं माना जा सकता। प्रार्थी ने पाँचवें केन्द्रीय वेतन आयोग की सिफारिशों की प्रति भी इस सम्बन्ध में प्रस्तुत की है परन्तु इसके

क्लॉज 65 के अनुसार ओवरटाइम एलाउन्स समाप्त करने की सिफारिश की गई है। अतः इससे प्रार्थी को कोई लाभ नहीं मिलता।

10. उक्त नियमों से स्पष्ट है कि सक्षम अधिकारी के निर्देशानुसार ही ओवरटाइम देय हो सकता है। प्रार्थी के विभाग द्वारा चौकीदारी की ड्यूटी के सम्बन्ध में जो परिपत्र जारी किये गये हैं वे इस प्रश्न के निर्धारण के लिए प्रासंगिक हैं। परिपत्र संख्या ई.एस.टी/ई. 10-ए/II दिनांक 24-1-1979 के अनुसार यदि चौकीदार विभाग के भवन की चौकीदारी कर रहा है कि जिसके दरवाजे बन्द किये जाने पर वे अपने आप में सैल्फ कन्टेन हो जाते हैं वे चौकीदार उसमें निगरानी करता है तो चौकीदार की ड्यूटी 12 घण्टे की मानी जायेगी। इसके बाद जारी किये गये परिपत्र दिनांक 18-6-83 के अनुसार भी चौकीदारों को भवन व स्टोर की सामान्य देख-रेख के लिए नियुक्त किया जाता है अतः उनकी ड्यूटी 12 घण्टे मानी जायेगी। इन परिपत्रों के अनुसार चौकीदार की ड्यूटी 12 घण्टे की निर्धारित की गई है। ऐसी स्थिति में प्रार्थी का यह तर्क माने जाने योग्य नहीं है कि फौकट्री अधिनियम के अनुसार 48 घण्टे से अधिक कार्य लिये जाने की स्थिति में उसे ओवरटाइम देय था। चूँकि प्रार्थी अप्रार्थी विभाग का नियमित कर्मचारी था व वह ओवरटाइम विभागीय नियमों के अनुसार ही प्राप्त कर सकता था। प्रार्थी ने 12 घण्टे की ड्यूटी दी इस तथ्य में कोई विवाद नहीं है परन्तु यह उसकी सामान्य कार्य अवधि थी अतः सामान्य कार्य अवधि में किये गये कार्य के लिए उसे ओवरटाइम देय नहीं था।

11. प्रार्थी के कार्य की जो प्रकृति प्रार्थी स्वयं द्वारा व अप्रार्थी विभाग के गवाह द्वारा बताई गई है उसे देखते हुए यही माना जा सकता है कि प्रार्थी को भवन की सामान्य देख-रेख के लिए चौकीदार रखा गया था व जिस सामान की देख-रेख वह करता था वह ताले में होता था ऐसे भवन की वह चौकीदारी नहीं कर रहा था जिसमें रात्रि में स्टोर के सामान का मुवमेन्ट होता हो। अतः परिपत्र के अनुसार उसकी सामान्य ड्यूटी 12 घण्टे ही मानी जा सकती है।

12. प्रार्थी ने इस तर्क के समर्थन में कि 48 घण्टे से ज्यादा काम लेने की स्थिति में ओवरटाइम देय था, 1993 (1) डब्ल्यू.एल.सी. राज. 698 पर रिलायन्स प्लेस की है परन्तु यह निर्णय फौकट्री कामगारों के सम्बन्ध में था जो फौकट्री अधिनियम से शासित थे। प्रार्थी फौकट्री अधिनियम से शासित हो यह नहीं माना जा सकता। अतः उक्त निर्णय से प्रार्थी को कोई लाभ नहीं मिलता है।

13. अप्रार्थी विभाग की ओर से यह तर्क लिया गया है कि प्रार्थी ने रेफरेन्स में जनरल मैनेजर टेलीकॉम पाली को पक्षकार बनाया है जबकि दूर संचार विभाग, पाली 1-10-2000 से समाप्त हो गया है जो अब बी.एस.एन.एल के रूप में स्वतंत्र कार्य कर रहा है जब कि इस सम्बन्ध में अप्रार्थी की ओर से पूर्व में प्रार्थना-पत्र दिनांक 8-3-07 भी प्रस्तुत किया गया था। अप्रार्थी विभाग के गवाह राजवीरसिंह द्वारा यह स्वीकार किया गया है कि विभाग की सभी लेनदारियाँ व देनदारियाँ बी.एस.एन.एल. में मर्ज हुई, जो कर्मचारी थे उन्हें ऑपेशन दिया था कि वे चाहे तो बी.एस.एन.एल. में रहें या ना रहे। जो कर्मचारी बी.एस.एन.एल. में रहे उनकी पूर्व की सेवाएँ लगातार मानी गई डी.ओ. टी. के ऐसेस्ट भी बी.एस.एन.एल. में वैस्ट हो गये, बी.एस.एन.एल. व डी.ओ.टी. की क्या शर्त रही यह मैं नहीं बता सकता अप्रार्थी की इस

साक्ष्य से यह स्पष्ट है कि कर्मचारियों को ऑप्शन दिये जाने के बाद उनकी सेवाएँ नये निगम में भी लगातार रही ऐसी स्थिति में यह नहीं माना जा सकता कि पूर्व में किये गये कार्य के सम्बन्ध में यदि कोई प्रश्न उत्पन्न होता है तो उसके लिए अप्रार्थी निगम उत्तरदायी नहीं हो। सभी लेनदारियाँ व देनदारियाँ बी.एस.एन.एल में मर्ज होना अप्रार्थी के गवाह ने स्वीकार किया है। ऐसी स्थिति में कर्मचारियों की पूर्व सेवा से संबंधित उत्तरदायित्व भी बी.एस.एन.एल में समाहित होना माना जा सकता है अतः अप्रार्थी विभाग द्वारा इस संबंध में लिया गया तर्क माने जाने योग्य नहीं है।

उक्त विवेचन के अनुसार प्रार्थी ऐसा कोई कारण नहीं बता पाया है जिसके आधार पर उसे प्रश्नगत् अवधि का ओवरटाईम एलाउन्स देय होना माना जा सके।

15. अतः इस रेफरेन्स का उत्तर निम्न अवार्ड की टर्म्स में दिया जाता है:

16. अप्रार्थी विभाग द्वारा प्रार्थी को 3-10-87 से 1-11-2001 तक ओवरटाईम एलाउन्स नहीं दिया जाना उचित व वैध था। प्रार्थी किसी अनुतोष का अधिकारी नहीं होगा।

17. यह अवार्ड आज दिनांक 30-08-2007 को खुले न्यायालय में सुनाया गया।

पुष्पेन्द्र सिंह हाड़ा, न्यायाधीश

नई दिल्ली, 27 दिसम्बर, 2007

का.आ. 119.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार दूर संचार विभाग के संबंधित के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में औद्योगिक अधिकरण/श्रम न्यायालय जोधपुर के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 27-12-07 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-40011/20/2001-आई आर (डी यू)]

सुरेन्द्र सिंह, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 27th December, 2007

S. O. 119.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Dispute Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award of the Industrial Tribunal/Labour Court, Jodhpur as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Department of Telecom and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 27-12-2007.

[No. L-40011/20/2001-IR(DU)]

SURENDRA SINGH, Desk Officer

अनुबन्ध

औद्योगिक विवाद अधिकरण एवं श्रम न्यायालय, जोधपुर

पीठासीन अधिकारी:- श्री पुष्पेन्द्रसिंह हाड़ा आर. एच. जे. एस.

औद्योगिक विवाद (केन्द्रीय) संख्या:- 32/2001

उम्मेदाराम पुत्र श्री कीरताराम द्वारा अखिल भारतीय तार परियात कर्मचारी संघ, 516, सुभाष नगर 'ए' पाली (राज.)प्रार्थी

बनाम

प्रबन्धक, दूर संचार जिला पाली (राज.)अप्रार्थी

रेफरेन्स अन्तर्गत धारा 10 औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947

उपस्थिति:-

(1) श्री भागीरथ चन्दौरा प्रतिनिधि प्रार्थी

(2) श्री बी.पी. बोहरा प्रतिनिधि अप्रार्थी

अवार्ड

दिनांक:- 30-8-2007

1. भारत सरकार ने अपनी अधिसूचना क्रमांक एल. 40011/20/2001 आई. आर. (डीयू) दिनांक 10-04-2001 द्वारा निम्न विवाद अन्तर्गत धारा 10 औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 के तहत इस न्यायालय को रेफर किया है :-

Whether the action of General Manager, Telecom Distt. Pali Marwar in not giving overtime wages to Sh. Umedaram S/o Sh. Kirtaram watchman for working on weekly day of rest w.e.f. 3-10-1987 to till date is legal and justified? If not what relief the union concern is entitled?

2. प्रार्थी ने अपना मॉग-पत्र इस आशय का प्रस्तुत किया है कि प्रार्थी उम्मेदाराम को चौकीदार के रूप में अप्रार्थी संस्थान में दिनांक 3-10-87 से नियुक्त किया गया प्रार्थी से साप्ताहिक सार्वजनिक अवकाश के दिन 12 घण्टे चौकीदारी का कार्य लिया जाता रहा जब कि प्रार्थी की ड्यूटी 8 घण्टे प्रतिदिन निर्धारित है, प्रार्थी ने मई 1999 से अप्रैल 2000 की अवधि में जोधपुर में ऑफिस में कार्य किया जहाँ उससे कोई अधिसमय कार्य साप्ताहिक अवकाश के दिन कार्य नहीं किया। वर्तमान में प्रार्थी पाली में अप्रार्थी के अधीन डाईविंग का कार्य कर रहा है। प्रार्थी द्वारा साप्ताहिक अवकाशों में अधिसमय कार्य के वेतन की राशि अप्रार्थीगण से माँगी लेकिन उसे अदा नहीं की गई जिसके कारण यह औद्योगिक विवाद उत्पन्न हुआ। प्रार्थी के समान अन्य व्यक्तियों द्वारा कार्य करने पर उन्हें साप्ताहिक अवकाश वेतन दिया जाता रहा है। अप्रार्थी द्वारा प्रार्थी को यह कहते हुए साप्ताहिक अवकाश के कार्य का भुगतान नहीं किया कि प्रार्थी की ड्यूटी 12 घण्टे की है जबकि प्रार्थी के स्थान पर अन्य व्यक्ति के कार्य करने की ड्यूटी 8 घण्टे की है, जो अधिनियम के प्रावधानों के विपरित है। अप्रार्थी संस्थान पर औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम के प्रावधान लागू होते हैं जिसके अनुसार अप्रार्थी किसी भी श्रमिक से प्रति सप्ताह 48 घण्टे से अधिक कार्य लेने पर अधिसमय कार्य की परिभाषा में आयेगा जिसके लिये अप्रार्थी को विधिनुसार मान्य वेतन श्रमिक को देना होगा। प्रार्थी अल्पवेतन भोगी

कर्मचारी है उसकी उक्त राशि अप्राप्ती ने अदा नहीं कर श्रम विरोधी कार्यवाही की है। प्राप्ती तारघर में कार्य करता है जो रात्रि में 12 बजे तक खुला रहता है। इस प्रकार प्राप्ती साप्ताहिक अवकाश के दिन किये गये कार्य की राशि मय ब्याज अप्राप्ती से प्राप्त करने का अधिकारी है, जो राशि प्राप्ती को अप्राप्ती से दिलाई जावे।

3. अप्राप्ती की ओर से जवाब में कहा गया है कि प्राप्ती अप्राप्ती विभाग में 3-10-87 से कार्यरत है परन्तु उससे न तो कभी अधिसमय कार्य लिया गया न ही प्राप्ती ने अधिसमय कार्य किया प्राप्ती से विभागीय नियमानुसार ही कार्य लिया गया है, जब भी प्राप्ती को अतिरिक्त कार्य सौंपा गया उसका भुगतान उसे नियमानुसार कर दिया गया। प्राप्ती को विभागीय समयानुसार एवं विभाग द्वारा जारी विभिन्न परिपत्रों अर्थात् परिपत्र नम्बर 24-11/82 टी.ई.-II दिनांक 18-6-83 के अनुसरण में ही भुगतान किया गया है। अतः प्राप्ती किसी अनुतोष का अधिकारी नहीं है।

4. माँग-पत्र के समर्थन में प्राप्ती ने स्वयं का शपथ-पत्र प्रस्तुत किया जिसपर अप्राप्ती प्रतिनिधी द्वारा जिरह की गई तथा अप्राप्ती की ओर से राजवीरसिंह का शपथ-पत्र प्रस्तुत किया गया जिसपर प्राप्ती प्रतिनिधी द्वारा जिरह की गई।

5. दोनो पक्षों के प्रतिनिधीगण की बहस सुनी गई। पत्रावली का अवलोकन किया गया।

6. प्राप्ती ने यद्यपि अपने माँग-पत्र में जिस अवधि की साप्ताहिक अवकाश में अधिसमय कार्य के वेतन की राशि क्लेम की है, उसका विवरण माँग-पत्र के साथ परिशिष्ट में संलग्न करना बताया है परन्तु इस प्रकार का कोई परिशिष्ट माँग-पत्र के साथ या बाद में भी प्रस्तुत नहीं किया गया है। प्राप्ती ने अपनी साक्ष्य में यह कहा है कि दिनांक 3-10-87 को वह अप्राप्ती विभाग में चौकीदार के पद पर कार्यरत है मेरे से 12 घण्टे प्रतिदिन कार्य लिया गया जब कि मेरी ड्युटी 8 घण्टे प्रतिदिन निर्धारित थी। मैंने ज्यादातर चौकीदारी का कार्य किया है व तारघर का परिसर खुला था, उक्त तारघर परिसर के चारों तरफ 2-3 फीट की दीवार थी, जेनरेटर, घाड़ियाँ, लॉकर बाहर खुले में रहते थे जहाँ पर स्टोर, केश जेनरेटर का इंजन अन्य सामान रहता था, रात को 12 बजे तक ऑफिस खुला रहता था, मेरे पास कैश की चाबी रहती थी, प्रतिदिन कैशियर से चार्ज लेना व देना होता था। मेरे द्वारा सेवा अवधि में साप्ताहिक/सार्वजनिक अवकाश के दिन किये गये कार्य की राशि अप्राप्ती में बकाया है जो मय ब्याज अप्राप्ती से दिलवाई जावे। जिरह में इस गवाह ने कहा है कि पूरे बिल्डिंग की चौकीदारी मैं अकेले ही करता था। मैं कैशियर से रात को 12 बजे चार्ज लेता था, सुबह 8 बजे वापस दे देता था।

7. अप्राप्ती की ओर से जो शपथ-पत्र प्रस्तुत हुआ है उसमें श्री राजवीरसिंह एस.डी.ई. (एच.आर.डी.) जी. एम. टी. जी. ने अपने साक्ष्य में यह कहा है कि प्राप्ती को वेतन उसके द्वारा किए गए कार्य के अनुरूप दिया गया है। प्राप्ती चौकीदार के पद पर था व रात्रिकालीन चौकीदार तारघर का कार्यकाल विभागीय नियमानुसार 12 घण्टे होने के कारण उसने अधिसमय कार्य नहीं किया है। विभागीय परिपत्र संख्या 24-11/82 टी.ई.-11 दिनांक 8-11-83 परिपत्र संख्या

24-17 टी.ई./पी.ई.-1 दिनांक फरवरी 1972 एवं परिपत्र संख्या ई.एस. टी.ई. 10-ए/XXXXV II दिनांक 24-1-1979 व परिपत्र सं. 24-11/टी. ई.-II 18-6-83 से स्पष्ट है कि जहाँ पब्लिक ट्रांजिक्शन नहीं होता एवं रात्रि में ताला लगा रहता है वहाँ चौकीदार का कार्यकाल 12 घण्टे तय किया गया है। तारघर रात्रि में दस बजे बंद हो जाता है एवं अगले दिन प्रातः सात बजे खुलता है, ऐसे तारघरों में चौकीदार का कार्यकाल 12 घण्टे ही निश्चित किया गया है, जब प्राप्ती उम्मेदराम छुट्टी पर रहता है तो इसके स्थान पर दूसरे कर्मचारी को वैकल्पिक व्यवस्था के बतौर लगाया जाता था जो कि चौकीदार के पद का न होकर किसी अन्य पद का उम्मीदवार होता था जिससे विभाग को नियमानुसार 8 घण्टे ही कार्य लिया जा सकता है। उम्मेदराम से वीकली रेस्ट में कभी काम नहीं लिया गया व कभी लिया भी गया है तो उसे उसका भुगतान कर दिया गया।

8. जहाँ तक साप्ताहिक अवकाश के बदले में ओवरटाइम दिये जाने का प्रश्न है इस सम्बन्ध में प्राप्ती द्वारा ऑफिस मेमोरेन्डम नम्बर 16/66-एस्टबलिशमेन्ट (1) दिनांक 10 जनवरी 1968 व ऑफिस मेमोरेन्डम नं. 49011/1/एस्टबलिशमेन्ट (सी) दिनांक 17-7-1976 पर रिलायन्स प्लेस की गई है। प्राप्ती ने 1987 से 10-4-2001 तक के दौरान सार्वजनिक अवकाश या साप्ताहिक अवकाश के दौरान किये गये कार्य का ओवरटाइम क्लेम किया है। अतः इस अवधि के दौरान जो परिपत्र लागू थे उन्ही के आधार पर ओवरटाइम देय होना या नहीं होना निर्णित किया जा सकता है। प्राप्ती ने जो परिपत्र प्रस्तुत किये हैं उनके अनुसार यह निर्देशित किया गया है कि 15 दिन में एक बार 24 घण्टे का रेस्ट कर्मचारी को दिया जावे। परिपत्र दिनांक 10-1-1968 के अनुसार इस प्रकार का रेस्ट एक महीने में 48 घण्टे का था इसी परिपत्र में कम्पनसेटरी अवकाश की व्यवस्था भी की गई थी। पांचवे वेतन आयोग की सिफारिश के क्लॉज 65 के अनुसार ओवरटाइम समाप्त करने की सिफारिश की गई थी व ओवरटाइम के सम्बन्ध में जो निर्देश ऑफिस मेमोरेन्डम नं. 15012/3/86 एस्टबलिशमेन्ट (एलाउन्स) दिनांक 19-3-1991 द्वारा जारी किये गये हैं उनके अनुसार ओवरटाइम तभी दिया जा सकता है जब कि सक्षम अधिकारी द्वारा इस सम्बन्ध में निर्देश जारी किये गये हो व ओवरटाइम अपवाद स्वरूप ही दिया जा सकता है, नकद क्षतिपूर्ति सम्बन्ध में इन निर्देशों के क्लॉज 3 में निम्न व्यवस्था की गई है:-

" Cash Compensation in the form of overtime allowance for duty on Sunday/Weekly or Fortnightly off-days/Second Saturdays/Public holidays may be granted only in very exceptional circumstances where an officer not below the rank of Joint Secretary in the Secretariat Offices or the Head of the Department in the case of attached subordinate or other office is satisfied and certifies that it is not possible to grant compensatory leave."

प्राप्ती द्वारा किये गये कार्य का जो विवरण प्रदर्श ए-2 अप्राप्ती द्वारा प्रस्तुत किया गया है उसके अनुसार प्राप्ती को नियमित रूप से वीकली ऑफ दिया गया है प्राप्ती ने इसके विपरीत ऐसा कोई विवरण प्रस्तुत नहीं किया है जिससे यह प्रकट होता हो कि सार्वजनिक अवकाश के

दिन या उसको admissible weekly-off rest न दिया जाकर उससे काम लिया गया हो व उसका ओवरटाइम नहीं दिया गया हो। प्रार्थी द्वारा ऐसा सिद्ध नहीं किये जाने से यही माना जा सकता है कि प्रदर्श ए-2 में जो विवरण अप्रार्थी विभाग द्वारा दिया गया है उसके अनुसार उसे अवकाश के बदले देय कार्य से weekly-off दिया गया व जब उसे अवकाश व विभागीय परिपत्रों के अनुसार रेस्ट दिया गया है तो यह नहीं माना जा सकता कि वह ओवरटाइम के लिए भी entitled हो। इस प्रकार प्रार्थी यह सिद्ध नहीं कर पाया है कि अप्रार्थी विभाग द्वारा प्रार्थी पर जो नियम लागू थे उनका उल्लंघन किया जाकर उसे सार्वजनिक अवकाश या weekly rest के दिन का कोई देय भुगतान न किया गया हो।

9. प्रार्थी ने इस तर्क के समर्थन में कि 48 घण्टे से ज्यादा काम लेने की स्थिति में ओवरटाइम देय था, 1993(1) डब्ल्यू.एल.सी राज. 698 पर रिलायन्स प्लेस की है परन्तु यह निर्णय फैक्ट्री कामगारों के सम्बन्ध में था जो फैक्ट्री अधिनियम से शासित थे। प्रार्थी फैक्ट्री अधिनियम से शासित हो यह नहीं माना जा सकता। अतः उक्त निर्णय से प्रार्थी को कोई लाभ नहीं मिलता है।

10. अप्रार्थी विभाग की ओर से यह तर्क लिया गया है कि प्रार्थी ने रेफरेन्स में जनरल मैनेजर टेलीकॉम पाली को पक्षकार बनाया है जब कि दूर संचार विभाग, पाली 1-10-2000 से समाप्त हो गया है जो अब बी.एस.एन.एल. के रूप में स्वतंत्र कार्य कर रहा है जब कि इस सम्बन्ध में अप्रार्थी की ओर से पूर्व में प्रार्थना-पत्र दिनांक 8-3-07 भी प्रस्तुत किया गया था। अप्रार्थी विभाग के गवाह राजवीरसिंह द्वारा यह स्वीकार किया गया है कि विभाग की सभी लेनदारियों व देनदारियों बी.एस.एन.एल. में मर्ज हुई, जो कर्मचारी थे उन्हें ऑपशन दिया था कि वे चाहे तो बी.एस.एन.एल. में रहें या न रहे। जो कर्मचारी बी.एस.एन.एल. में रहे उनकी पूर्व की सेवाएँ लगातार मानी गई, डी. ओ.टी. के ऐसेस्ट भी बी.एस.एन.एल. में वैस्ट हो गये, बी.एस.एन.एल. व डी.ओ.टी. की क्या शर्त रही यह मैं नहीं बता सकता। अप्रार्थी की इस साक्ष्य से यह स्पष्ट है कि कर्मचारियों को ऑपशन दिये जाने के बाद उनकी सेवाएँ नये निगम में भी लगातार रही ऐसी स्थिति में यह नहीं माना जा सकता कि पूर्व में किये गये कार्य के सम्बन्ध में यदि कोई प्रश्न उत्पन्न होता है तो उसके लिए अप्रार्थी निगम उत्तरदायी नहीं हो। सभी लेनदारियों व देनदारियों बी.एस.एन.एल. में मर्ज होना अपार्थी के गवाह ने स्वीकार किया है। ऐसी स्थिति में कर्मचारियों की पूर्व सेवा से संबंधित उत्तरदायित्व भी बी.एस.एन.एल. में समाहित होना माना जा सकता है अतः अप्रार्थी विभाग द्वारा इस संबंध में लिया गया तर्क माने जाने योग्य नहीं है।

11. उक्त धिवेचन के अनुसार प्रार्थी ऐसा कोई कारण नहीं बता पाया है जिसके आधार पर उसे प्रश्नगत अवधि का साप्ताहिक अवकाश/सार्वजनिक अवकाश का ओवरटाइम एलाउन्स देय होना माना जा सके

12. अतः इस रेफरेन्स का उत्तर निम्न अवार्ड की टर्म्स में दिया जाता है:

13. अप्रार्थी विभाग द्वारा प्रार्थी को 3-10-87 से साप्ताहिक अवकाश/सार्वजनिक अवकाश का ओवरटाइम एलाउन्स नहीं दिया

जाना उचित व वैध था। प्रार्थी किसी अनुतोष का अधिकारी नहीं होगा।

14. यह अवार्ड आज दिनांक 30-08-2007 को खुले न्यायालय में सुनाया गया।

पुष्पेन्द्र सिंह हाड़ा, न्यायाधीश

नई दिल्ली, 27 दिसम्बर, 2007

कार.आ. 120—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार डाक विभाग के प्रबंधन के संबंध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण/श्रम न्यायालय जबलपुर के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या सीजीआईटी/एलसी/आर/187/2000) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 27-12-07 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं.एल-40012/355/2000-आई आर(डी यू)]

सुरेन्द्र सिंह, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 27th December, 2007

S.O. 120.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. CGIT/LC/R/187/2000) Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum- Labour Court, Jabalpur as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Department of Post and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 27-12-2007.

[No. L-40012/355/2000-IR(DU)]

SURENDRA SINGH, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT, JABALPUR

NO. CGIT/LC/R/187/2000

Presiding Officer : Shri C.M. Singh

Shri Sirajuddin,

S/O Shri Gatasuddin,

R/O Empire Talkies Compound,

Cantt., Jabalpur.

Workman/Union

Versus

Chief Post Master (S.S.P.),

Main Post Office,

Civil Lines, Jabalpur.

Management

AWARD

Passed on this 8th day of November 2007

1. The Government of India, Ministry of Labour vide its Notification No.L-40012/355/2000/IR(DU) dated 31-10-2000 has referred the following dispute for adjudication by this tribunal:—

"Whether the action of the Chief Post Master (SSP), Head Office, Jabalpur in not regularizing the services of Sh. Sirajuddin, EDD and subsequently terminating his services w.e.f. 1-11-98 is legal and justified? If not, to what relief is the workman entitled?"

2. Vide order dated 12-6-07 passed on the ordersheet of this reference proceeding, the reference proceeded ex parte against the management. Workman Sirajuddin has filed his statement of claim. His case in brief is as follows. That he was appointed on the post of letter box peon in the year 1990 on the basis of monthly payment. That he was working with the postman Abdul Samad who has been promoted and posted at other place. That workman have 9 years experience of the regular duty of the post of letter box peon. Therefore he is entitled for regularisation, but the management wrongly called the candidate from the employment exchange. The workman also appeared in the interview but the management wrongly appointed Respondent No.4 who has no experience for the post. The action of the management is illegal and against the provisions of law. It is prayed that the order of termination of the workman be set aside and the workman be reinstated in service with all back wages and benefits.

3. The management failed to file Written Statement.

4. Workman Sirajuddin in order to prove his case filed his affidavit.

5. I have heard Shri B.S. Patel, Advocate for the workman and perused the evidence on record.

6. The case of the workman is fully established from his uncontroverted affidavit. (Annexure-P-1) sworn-in in the affidavit is a register through which the management gave salary to the workman. Annexure P-2 to the affidavit is registration of the name of workman with the Employment Exchange Office. EX. P-3 & P-4 to the affidavit are the Educational Certificates of the workman. The case of the workman is fully proved by his affidavit and the documents mentioned above. The reference, therefore, deserves to be decided in favour of the workman and against the management with costs. It has not been averred by the workman that after his termination, he has not been gainfully employed. Not only this, no evidence has been given on that point. Therefore the workman is not entitled to back wages and benefits.

7. In view of the above, the reference is decided in favour of the workman and against the management with cost holding that the action of the Chief Post Master (SSP), Head Office, Jabalpur in not regularizing the services of Sh. Sirajuddin, EDD and subsequently terminating his services w.e.f. 1-11-98 is not legal and justified. He is entitled to be reinstated but without back wages.

8. Let the copies of the award be sent to the Government of India, Ministry of Labour & Employment as per rules.

C. M. SINGH, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 27 दिसम्बर, 2007

का.आ. 121.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार नेशनल सेविंग्स ऑर्गेनाइजेशन के प्रबंधन के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण/श्रम न्यायालय, जबलपुर के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या सीजीआईटी/एलसी/आर/175/96) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 27-12-07 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-42012/136/95-आईआर(डीयू)]

सुरेन्द्र सिंह, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 27th December, 2007

S.O. 121.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Dispute Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. CGIT/LC/R/175/96) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Jabalpur as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of National Savings Organisation and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 27-12-2007.

[No. L-40012/136/95-IR(DU)]

SURENDRA SINGH, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL
TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT, JABALPUR

NO. CGIT/LC/R/175/96

Presiding Officer : Shri C.M. Singh

Shri Chhatrapal Singh Kahatri,

S/o Shri Chandan Singh Kahatri,

Vill & Post Setar,

Distt. Bilaspur (C.G)

Workman/Union

Versus

The Regional Director,

National Saving Organisation,

MP Eastern Region, 33,

Anand Nagar, Raipur.

The Deputy Regional Director,

National Saving Organisation,

Tilak Nagar,

Bilaspur (C.G)

Managements

AWARD

Passed on this 14th day of December, 2007

1. The Government of India, Ministry of Labour vide its Notification No. L-42012/136/95-IR(DU) dated 30-8-96 has referred the following dispute for adjudication by this tribunal:—

"Whether the action of the management of Regional Director, National Savings, Raipur in terminating the services of Shri Chhatrapal Singh is justified? If not, to what relief is the workman is entitled to?"

2. Vide order dated 13-6-07 passed on the ordersheet of this reference proceeding reveals that inspite of sufficient service of notice, no body put in appearance for the parties and 6-8-07 was fixed for further orders. On 6-8-07, no one responded for the parties and the reference was adjourned to 12-12-07 for further orders. Again on 12-12-07 no body responded for the parties inspite of sufficient service of notice on the parties. Under the circumstances, this tribunal was left with no option but to close the reference for award and consequently the reference was closed for award.

3. It appears from the above that the parties have no interest in this reference. Therefore no dispute award without any orders as to costs is passed in this reference.

4. Let the copies of the award be sent to the Government of India, Ministry of Labour & Employment as per rules.

C. M. SINGH, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 27 दिसम्बर, 2007

का.आ. 122—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार सी.पी.डब्ल्यू. डी. के प्रबंधन के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण/अस न्यायालय, भुवनेश्वर के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 361/2001) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 27-12-07 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-42012/8/2000-आईआर (डीयू)]

सुरेन्द्र सिंह, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 27th December, 2007

S.O. 122.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Dispute Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 361/2001) Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Bhubaneswar as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of C.P.W.D. and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 27.12.2007.

[No. L-42012/8/2000-IR(DU)]

SURENDRA SINGH, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT, BHUBANESWAR

Present : Shri N.K.R. Mohapatra,

Presiding Officer, C.G.I.T.-cum-Labour Court,
Bhubaneswar.

TR INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE CASE NO. 361/2007

Date of Passing Award-7th December, 2007

Between :-

1. The Management of the Executive Engineer,
C.P.W.D., Central Electrical Division,
Plot No. A/3, Near Governor's House,
Bhubaneswar (Orissa) 751001.

2. The Management of Accountant General (A&E),
Orissa, Bhubaneswar-751001

... 1st Party-Managements.

(And)

Their Workman, Shri Surendra Nath Das,
Ex-Lift Operator, C/o. Muralidhar Das,
Old AG Colony, Qr. No. Type-II-218,
Unit-IV, Bhubaneswar, Orissa -751 001.

... 2nd Party-Workman.

Appearances:

Shri Sant Lal,

... For 1st Party

Executive Engineer

Management No.1

None.

... For Management No.2

Shri S.N. Das,

... For himself, the

2nd Party- Workman.

AWARD

The Government of India in the Ministry of Labour, in exercise of powers conferred by Clause (d) of sub-section (1) and sub-section 2(A) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) have referred the following dispute for adjudication vide their Order No.L 42012/8/2000/IR (DU), dated 31-05-2000:

"Whether the action of the Management of C.P.W.D., Bhubaneswar by terminating the services of Shri S.N. Das, Lift Operator after engaging 9 years of continuous service in AG Office, Bhubaneswar is genuine & justified? If not, to what relief the workman/disputant is entitled?"

2. Undisputedly it is the responsibility of Central Public Works Department (C.P.W.D.) to maintain the lift points and electrical appliances installed in different office establishment of Central Government. It is alleged by the disputant that for operating the lift point of A.G. Office at Bhubaneswar he was initially engaged after necessary interview by the Asst. Engineer, C.P.W.D. (Electrical) for a period from 1-8-1990 to 30-11-1990 on payment of a contractual sum of Rs. 1000/- per month. On completion of the above period he was further engaged with time to time increase in his wages for about 9 years and then the Management by entrusting the said job to a contractor agency terminated him with effect from 1-9-1999 without payment of any retrenchment compensation or terminal benefits. It is alleged by the workman that he was refused

employment in the above manner so as to avoid his regularization.

3. The Management on the other hand averred that the disputant was a contractor and therefore on the basis of time to time quotations given by him he was engaged up till 31st Dec., 1998 to operate the lift but after entrustment of the said job to another contractor on tender basis, he was disengaged from 1-9-1999. In view of the above the workman is not entitled for any relief as claimed by him. Besides his nature of working contractual, he is also not entitled to be regularized against any vacant post.

4. On the basis of the pleadings of the parties the following issues were framed.

ISSUES

1. Whether the reference is maintainable?
2. Whether the action of the Management of C.P.W.D., Bhubaneswar, by terminating the services of Shri S.N. Das, Lift Operator after engaging 9 years of continuous service is genuine and justified?
3. What relief the workman/disputant is entitled to?
5. Each party have examined one witnesses in support of their respective cases. They have also filed some documents to justify their respective stands.

FINDINGS

ISSUE NO. 1

6. There being no substantial challenge to the question of maintainability of the case by the Management either during trial or in their counter, this Issue is answered affirmatively.

ISSUE NOS. 2 & 3

7. These issues are taken up together as they are interdependent. Admitting that the workman was engaged to operate the lift of the A.G. Building from 1-8-1990 till he was disengaged from 1-9-1999, it has been deposed by the Management Witness that the Executive Engineer of C.P.W.D. is competent to issue work order not exceeding one lakh while the Assistant Engineer was competent to issue such work order not exceeding Rs. 1500. Accordingly on the basis of single quotation the workman was given the contract of operating the lift by the Asst. Engineer and it was extended from time to time on the basis of fresh quotation given by the workman. To justify the same some work orders marked as Ext.-A to Ext.-E have been produced by the Management. These read along with the pleadings of the Management show that initially the workman was paid Rs. 1000 per month and thereafter it was raised to Rs. 1500 and then to Rs. 1647 from October 1996 and then @ Rs. 1857 per month. Challenging the above "Work Order" it is stated by the workman (W.W.-I) that though he was engaged on monthly basis with time to time increase in the wages, the Management had simply taken his signature on these

"Work Orders" so as to modulate that he was issued with work orders on the basis of quotation given by him. In view of the above stand of the workman it has now become necessary to find out the real unlying intention behind those documents.

8. The documents Ext.-A to E are the "Work Orders" said to have had been issued to the workman. The workman is found to have signed against the Column meant for the contractor as it appears from the above documents. But that itself is not sufficient to say that, the workman had taken the contract of operating the lift. It rather suggests that the amount payable to the workman was only contractual. This has gained support from the evidence of the Management Witness when he says that, on the basis of single quotation the workman was asked to operate the lift. Further more had the workman taken the contract he would have engaged some other man to operate the lift. When admittedly the workman himself was operating the lift it suggests that, he was not a contractor at all. Further more the aforesaid document indicates that the workman was paid month-wise and according to the Management Witness he was paid depending upon the rate of minimum wages changed from time to time. Had really the workman taken the contract the payment would have been made on lump-sum basis but not on monthly basis which otherwise suggests that in the garb of "work order" the workman was engaged on monthly paid daily wage basis as claimed by him and the "work orders" have been created by the Management as a substitute of a money voucher. In these premises there appears sufficient force in the saying of the workman that the concept of "work order" as advanced by the Management is nothing but a stage managed affair.

9. It is the settled law that, under the definition of Section 2(oo) of the Industrial Disputes Act, termination of a workman for any reasons whatsoever tantamounts to retrenchment attracting Section 25-F of the Industrial Disputes Act. Since the workman was admittedly engaged continuously for about 9 years with superficial break on Sunday, the refusal of employment to him amounts to retrenchment and as such he is entitled to get all the consequential benefits of such retrenchment as prescribed under Section 25-F of the Industrial Disputes Act.

10. Accordingly it is held that the action of the Management in refusing employment to the workman with effect from 1-9-1999 is not justified and proper. As the workman has not been paid any such terminal benefits he is entitled for the same and for this the Management is directed to reinstate the workman against the post of lift Operator, which according to the Management Witness is still lying vacant with 50% back wages calculated in minimum wage rate scale.

11. The reference is answered accordingly.

N. K. R. MOHAPATRA, Presiding Officer

LIST OF WITNESSES EXAMINED ON BEHALF OF THE WORKMAN

Workman Witness No.1 - Shri Surendra Nath Das.

LIST OF WITNESSES EXAMINED ON BEHALF OF THE MANAGEMENT

Management Witness No.1 - Shri Sant Lal.

LIST OF EXHIBITS ON BEHALF OF THE 2ND PARTY WORKMAN

- Ext-1 -Copy of representation of workman dated 1-12-1988.
- Ext-2 -Copy of representation of workman to the Accountant General (A & E), Orissa to regularize him.
- Ext-3 -Copy of the service order of the OTIS Elevator Company.
- Ext-4 -Copy of letter of J.E. dated 4-6-1997 which the workman carried to the OTIS Office.
- Ext-5 -Copy of the extract of the CPWD Manual in regard to the work charge establishment.
- Ext-6 -Copy of the log book maintained from time to time by the workman in the A.G. Office, Orissa.
- Ext-7 -Copy of the daily lift checking report submitted to the J.E. of C.P.W.D.
- Ext-8 -Copy of the experience certificate granted to the workman by the A.E. and the Accounts Officer of the Office of the A.G., Orissa.
- Ext-9 -Copy of the Identity card granted/issued to the workman by the office of the A.G., Orissa.
- Ext-10 -Copy of the salary certificate granted to the workman by the A.E.
- Ext-11 -Another salary certificate (Xerox copy) granted to the workman by another Asst. Engineer.
- Ext-12 -Copy of another salary certificate granted to the workman by another A.E.
- Ext-13 -Copy of another certificate granted by another A.E. to the workman.
- Ext-14 -Copy of the certificate granted to the workman by the Dy. Accountant General in regard to rendering services.
- Ext-15 -Copy of another certificate granted to the workman by the D.A.G. in regard to the services rendered by the workman.
- Ext-16 -Copy of representation of the workman as a second reminder to the S.E. (Electrical) for regularization of services.
- Ext-17 -Copy of another representation of the workman made in the form of reminder to the Chief Engineer in regard to his services.
- Ext-18 -Copy of work order.
- Ext-18/1 to 18/24 -Copy of work order.
- Ext-19 -Copy of office letter dated 9-11-2004.

Ext-20 -Copy of minutes of the meeting held with CPWD Mazdoor Union on 22-8-92

Ext-20/1 -Copy of minutes of meeting held with CPWD Mazdoor Union on 25-3-94.

Ext-21 -Copy of letter dated 7-12-1995.

Ext-21/1 -Recommendation letter dated 17-12-1996.

Ext-22 -Copy of office memo issued by Govt. of India, CPWD, New Delhi.

Ext-23 -Copy of letter dated 7-12-1995 of EE (Elect.) BCDD, C.P.W.D. Bhubaneswar to Asstt. Engineer, (Electrical) BCE Sub-Division, CPWD, BBSR.

LIST OF EXHIBITS ON BEHALF OF THE 1st PARTY MANAGEMENT

Ext.-A -Copy of work order dated 1-1-1995.

Ext.-B -Copy of work order dated 1-4-1995.

Ext.-C -Copy of work order dated 30-3-1996.

Ext.-D -Copy of work order dated 30-9-1996.

Ext.-E -Copy of work order dated 31-3-1999.

Ext.-F -Copy of Office Memo of C.P.W.D., Kolkata dated 24-1-2007.

नई दिल्ली, 27 दिसम्बर, 2007

का.आ. 123—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार सेन्ट्रल रेलवे के प्रबंधन के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण जबलपुर के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 24/1992) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 27-12-07 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं.एल-41011/46/1991-डी-2(बी)आईआर(बी-1)]

अजय कुमार, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 27th December, 2007

S.O. 123.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 24/1992) of Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Jabalpur as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the management of Central Railway and their workman, received by the Central Government on 27-12-2007.

[No. L-41011/46/1991-D-2(B)IR(B-1)]

AJAY KUMAR, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

**BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT
INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT,
JABALPUR**

NO. CGIT/LC/R/24/92

PRESIDING OFFICER: SHRI C. M. SINGH

The President,
Chaturth Shreni Railway Mazdoor Congress,
Central Railway(INTUC),
2/236, Namner, Agra

Workman/Union

Versus

The Divisional Engineer(S),
Central Railway, Bhopal,

The Divisional Railway Manager(S),
Central Railway, Bhopal

Managements

AWARD

Passed on this 14th day of December 2007

1. The Government of India, Ministry of Labour vide its Notification No. L-41011/46/91-D-2(B) dated 20-2-92 has referred the following dispute for adjudication by this tribunal :—

"Whether the action of Divisional Engineer (S), Central Railway, Bhopal, Asstt. Engineer (M), C.Rly. Itarsi and DRM(P), C.Rly., Bhopal in terminating the services of S/Sh. Nandoo, S/o Sh. Daulat, Mohanlal S/o Sh. Kishanlal and Philips S/o Sh. Uttamrao is justified? If not, what relief they are entitled to?"

2. Vide order dated 12-12-07, the reference was closed for award for the reason that none of the parties responded to the call of the case and the tribunal was left with no option but to close the reference for award.

3. It appears from the above that the parties have no interest in the reference proceeding. Perhaps no dispute is left between the parties. Therefore, no dispute award is passed without any orders as to costs.

4. Let the copies of the award be sent to the Government of India, Ministry of Labour & Employment as per rules.

C. M. SINGH, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 27 दिसम्बर, 2007

का.आ. 124.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार नोर्दन रेलवे के प्रबंधन के संबंध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण जोधपुर के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 14/2002) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 27-12-2007 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-41012/167/2001-आईआर (बी-1)]

अजय कुमार, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 27th December, 2007

S.O. 124.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 14/2002) of Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Jodhpur as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the management of Northern Railways and their workmen, received by the Central Government on 27-12-2007.

[No. L-41012/167/2001-IR (B-1)]

AJAY KUMAR, Desk Officer

अनुबंध

औद्योगिक विवाद अधिकरण एवं श्रम न्यायालय, जोधपुर

पीठासीन अधिकारी : श्री पुष्पेन्द्रसिंह हाड़ा, आर.एच.जे.एस.

केन्द्रीय श्रम विवाद संख्या : 14/2002

श्री पूनमचन्द जोशी द्वारा ललित शर्मा, संयुक्त महामंत्री, भारतीय मजदूर संघ, एस.बी.बी.जे. मंडिया रोड शाखा, एल.आई.सी.

बिल्डिंग, पाली

.....प्राथी

बनाम

उप मुख्य शक्ति इंजीनियर वर्कशॉप, उत्तर रेलवे, जोधपुर

.....अप्राथीगण

रेफरेन्स अन्तर्गत धारा 10 औद्योगिक विवाद
अधिनियम, 1947

उपस्थिति :—

- (1) श्री अनिल मेहता प्रतिनिधी प्राथी उप.
- (2) अप्राथी प्रतिनिधी श्री डी.एस. फेडरिक उप.

अवाई

दिनांक 20-9-2007

1. भारत सरकार ने अपनी अधिसूचना क्रमांक एल-41012/167/2001-आईआर (बी-1) दिनांक 30-4-2002 के तहत निम्न विवाद अन्तर्गत धारा 10 औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 इस न्यायालय को रेफर किया है :—

"क्या श्री पूनमचन्द जोशी को लेब सहायक उसके नियोजक (1) चीफ वर्कस इंजीनियर उत्तर रेलवे बड़ोदा हाऊस, नई दिल्ली (2) उप मुख्य मैकेनिकल इंजीनियर वर्कशॉप उत्तर रेलवे जोधपुर द्वारा जे.सी.एम.ए. से दिनांक 27-7-94 से लेब सहायक के पद पर पदोन्नत किया जाना उचित एवं वैध है ? यदि नहीं तो श्रमिक अपने नियोजक से क्या राहत पाने का अधिकारी है ?

2. प्राथी यूनियन की ओर से एक मांग-पत्र इस आशय का प्रस्तुत किया गया कि प्राथी पूनमचन्द जोशी भारतीय मजदूर संघ का सदस्य है, उसकी नियुक्ति अप्राथी संस्थान में लेब खलासी के पद पर दिनांक 14-3-1975 को हुई, प्राथी को 26 जुलाई, 1978 को 260-430 के वेतनमान में लेब असिस्टेंट के पद पर पदोन्नत कर डीजल रोड भगत की कोठी, जोधपुर में पदस्थापित किया गया। अप्राथी द्वारा 25-2-1983 के पत्र से प्राथी को एडहॉक बेसिस पर जे.सी.एम.ए. के पद पर पदोन्नत किया गया जिस पद पर प्राथी ने 25-2-1983 को कार्यभार ग्रहण किया व 27-7-1994 तक 11 वर्ष पांच माह 2 दिन तक कार्य किया, प्राथी ने उसे उक्त पद पर कर्म करने हेतु निवेदन किया जो अप्राथी ने अपने पत्र दिनांक 26-2-92 द्वारा प्राथी को एडहॉक जूनियर केमीकल एण्ड मेटालर्जिकल असिस्टेंट के पद से पदानवत कर पुनः लेब असिस्टेंट के पद पर पदस्थापित कर दिया, जिसके विरुद्ध प्राथी ने केन्द्रीय प्रशासनिक अधिकरण में प्रार्थना-पत्र

प्रस्तुत किया जिस पर न्यायालय द्वारा 27-1-94 को आदेश पारित किया कि यदि पदोन्नति कोटा उपलब्ध हो तो सीनीयोरिटी के अनुसार प्राथी को उक्त पद पर कन्सीडर करते हुए पदोन्नत किया जावे तब तक पदानवत नहीं किया जावे। प्राथी उक्त आदेश के फलस्वरूप पदानवत करने के पश्चात् भी अप्राथी संस्थान में जे.सी.एम.ए. के पद पर कार्य करता रहा। अप्राथी प्राथी से कनिष्ठ कर्मचारी श्री देवीलाल जो लेब असिस्टेंट की केडर में कनिष्ठ था को पदोन्नत किया जब कि प्राथी ने 1983 से 1994 तक लगातार बिना किसी व्यवधान के जे.सी.एम.ए. के पद पर कार्य किया उक्त पद पर पदोन्नत नहीं किया गया। प्राथी को अनुचित एवं अवैधानिक तरीके से जे.सी.एम.ए. के पद से लेब असिस्टेंट के पद पर पदानवत किया गया है जब कि अप्राथी संस्थान में जे.सी.एम.ए. पद की 50 प्रतिशत पदोन्नति श्रेणी में तीन पद रिक्त थे। प्राथी को लिखित परीक्षा के लिए आमंत्रित किया जिसमें प्राथी उत्तीर्ण हुआ, प्राथी का नाम परीक्षा परिणाम में उत्तीर्ण कर्मचारियों के क्रमांक-3 पर अंकित है किन्तु अप्राथी द्वारा प्राथी को किसी प्रकार की प्राथमिकता नहीं दी गई एवं जानबूझकर प्राथी से कनिष्ठ कर्मचारी को जे.सी.एम.ए. के पद पर पदोन्नत कर दिया। अतः प्राथी को अप्राथी द्वारा जे.सी.एम.ए. के पद से लेबर सहायक के पद पर पदानवत किये जाने का आदेश दिनांक 27-7-94 अवैध घोषित किया जाकर प्राथी को 27-7-94 से ही जे.सी.एम.ए. बनाये जाने का अवार्ड पारित किया जावे।

3. अप्राथी की ओर से जवाब में कहा गया है कि प्राथी को 27-7-94 से जे.सी.एम.ए. से लेब सहायक के पद पर पदानवत किया जाना बिल्कुल सही और नियमों के अन्तर्गत था। प्राथी 14-3-75 को बतौर सबस्टीट्यूट लेब खलासी के पद पर लोको फोरमैन मेड़ता रोड के अधीन नियुक्त हुआ था न कि लेब खलासी के पद पर, प्राथी को 28-12-79 के आदेश से लेब सहायक के पद पर पदोन्नत किया न कि 26-7-78 को। प्राथी को अप्राथी के पत्र दिनांक 25-2-1983 के द्वारा तदर्थ आधार पर स्थानीय व्यवस्था पर पदोन्नत कर जे.सी.एम.ए. वेतनमान 38-560 वेतन पर लोको रोड, जोधपुर में लगाया गया तथा उक्त पत्र के अनुसार प्राथी को यह साफ तौर पर तथा लिखित रूप में बताया गया कि उक्त पदोन्नति पूर्णतया अस्थाई एवं तदर्थ स्थानीय व्यवस्था पर है और भविष्य में ऐसी पदोन्नति के लिए उसे किसी प्रकार का अधिकार प्राप्त नहीं होगा। प्राथी को पत्र दिनांक 26-12-92 के अनुसार लेब सहायक के पद पर पदानवत करने के आदेश जारी किये गये क्योंकि जे.सी.एम.ए. के पद हेतु सीधी भर्ती द्वारा नियुक्त टाम्पेदार अश्विनी कुमार सी.एम.टी./लखनऊ के पत्र दिनांक 19-12-1992 के अन्तर्गत जोईन करने हेतु उपस्थित हुआ। प्राथी द्वारा सी.एम.टी. जोधपुर में प्रकरण दर्ज कर 9-1-1993 को पदानवत नहीं करने हेतु स्थगन आदेश प्राप्त किया। प्राथी 1993 में जारी वरीयता सूचि में देवीलाल, कैलाश वसन्दानी व श्री ऋषभराज कल्ला से जूनियर है, अप्राथी द्वारा देवीलाल को नियमानुसार सही तौर पर जे.सी.एम.ए. पद पर पदोन्नत किया गया। प्राथी लिखित परीक्षा में उत्तीर्ण हुआ किन्तु मौखिक परीक्षा में फेल हो गया इसलिए उसे पैनल पर नहीं रखा गया, प्राथी को भारतीय रेल स्थापना नियम 1989 के पैरा 219 में निहित निर्देशों को ध्यान में रखते हुए ही पैनल पर नहीं रखा गया व जे.सी.एम.ए. के पद पर पदोन्नत नहीं किया गया। प्राथी

किसी अनुतोष का अधिकारी नहीं है। प्राथी का क्लेम मय खर्चे खारिज किया जावे।

4. मांग-पत्र के समर्थन में प्राथी ने स्वयं का शपथ-पत्र प्रस्तुत किया जिस पर अप्राथी प्रतिनिधि द्वारा जिरह की गई तथा अप्राथी की ओर से एस.आर. वर्मा उप मुख्य बात्रिक अभियन्ता वर्कशॉप का शपथ-पत्र प्रस्तुत किया गया जिस पर प्राथी प्रतिनिधि द्वारा जिरह की गई।

5. दोनों पक्षों के प्रतिनिधिगण की बहस सुनी गई। पत्रावली का अवलोकन किया गया।

6. यह तथ्य विवादित नहीं है कि प्राथी को अप्राथी के आदेश दिनांक 25-2-83 से अस्थाई आधार पर जे.सी.एम.ए. के पद पर लगाया गया व आदेश दिनांक 26-12-92 द्वारा उसे इस पद से हटाया जाकर पुनः लेब असिस्टेंट के पद पर पदस्थापित किया गया। प्राथी द्वारा केन्द्रीय प्रशासनिक अधिकरण द्वारा जारी स्थगन आदेश के कारण प्राथी ने इस पद पर 1994 तक कार्य किया। केन्द्रीय प्रशासनिक अधिकरण द्वारा प्राथी की याचिका को इस निर्देश के साथ निस्तारण किया गया कि यदि प्रमोशन कोटा में जे.सी.एम.ए. पद रिक्त हो तो प्राथी का एडहॉक प्रमोशन किये जाने के लिए प्राथी के नाम पर सीनीयोरिटी के अनुसार विचार किया जावे व तब तक प्राथी को रिवर्ट नहीं किया जावे। अप्राथी के अनुसार नियमानुसार जे.सी.एम.ए. पद के लिए लिखित परीक्षा ली गई जिसमें प्राथी भी सम्मिलित हुआ। प्राथी मौखिक परीक्षा में फेल हो गया जिससे उसे पैनल पर नहीं रखा गया। प्राथी का यह कथन है कि देवीलाल व कैलाश वसन्दानी उससे जूनियर है गलत है बल्कि प्राथी देवीलाल व कैलाश वसन्दानी से जूनियर है। अप्राथी की ओर से एनेक्सचर-4 पत्र दिनांक 17-8-96 प्रस्तुत किया गया है जिसमें जे.सी.एम.ए. पद के लिए लिखित परीक्षा में उत्तीर्ण विभिन्न कर्मचारियों को साक्षात्कार हेतु उपस्थित होने के निर्देश दिये गये थे। प्राथी का नाम भी इसमें था इससे स्पष्ट है कि प्राथी ने लिखित परीक्षा में भाग लिया, लिखित परीक्षा व साक्षात्कार के पश्चात् ऋषभराज कल्ला व मदनलाल को जे.सी.एम.ए. के पैनल पर रखा गया अन्य कर्मचारियों को इस पैनल के उपयुक्त नहीं पाया गया। अप्राथी के गवाह एस.आर. वर्मा ने भी इन तथ्यों की पुष्टि की है व प्राथी ने ऐसा कोई तथ्य प्रस्तुत नहीं किया है जिससे अप्राथी द्वारा प्रस्तुत दस्तावेजों से अन्यथा कोई स्थिति बनना मानी जा सके। अप्राथी द्वारा एनेक्सचर-6 सीनीयोरिटी लिस्ट भी प्रस्तुत की गई है जिसमें देवीलाल ऋषभराज कल्ला व कैलाश वसन्दानी प्राथी से वरिष्ठ हैं।

7. प्राथी का यह कथन कि देवीलाल को जे.सी.एम.ए. के पद पर पूर्व में नहीं लगाया गया अतः वह उससे कनिष्ठ था, माने जाने योग्य नहीं है क्योंकि सीनीयोरिटी लिस्ट में देवीलाल उससे वरिष्ठ था व प्राथी के जे.सी.एम.ए. पद पर एडहॉक कार्य करने से पूर्व की वरिष्ठता परिवर्तित नहीं होती। इस प्रकार प्राथी के करीब 10-11 वर्ष जे.सी.एम.ए. के पद पर एडहॉक कार्य करने से उसे न तो अन्य कर्मचारियों से वरिष्ठ माना जा सकता है न ही जे.सी.एम.ए. के पद पर बने रहने का उसका कोई अधिकार बनना माना जा सकता है क्योंकि उसकी नियुक्ति विशुद्ध रूप से एडहॉक व अस्थाई रूप से की गई थी व नियुक्ति आदेश दिनांक 25-2-83 में ही यह स्पष्ट किया गया था

कि भविष्य में ऐसी पदोन्नति के लिए किसी प्रकार कोई अधिकार प्राप्त नहीं होगा।

8. प्रार्थी ने ए.आई.आर. 2000 एस.सी. 2808 के आधार पर यह तर्क लिया है कि इतने लम्बे समय तक कार्य करने से उसकी नियुक्ति एडहॉक नहीं मानी जा सकती। उक्त निर्णय में माननीय उच्चतम न्यायालय द्वारा देहली न्यायिक सेवा के तहत कार्यरत अधिकारियों के सम्बन्ध में उक्त सेवा नियमों का निर्वचन करते समय यह निर्णित किया था कि इस प्रकार की नियुक्तियाँ एडहॉक, स्टोपगेप नियुक्तियाँ मानी जा सकती हैं। इस प्रकरण की जो परिस्थिति है वह इस प्रकार की नहीं है कि प्रार्थी की नियुक्ति को एडहॉक नहीं माना जावे प्रार्थी के नियुक्ति आदेश में ही यह स्पष्ट है व प्रार्थी ने उस पर लागू सेवा नियमों का ऐसा कोई प्रावधान नहीं बताया है जिसके तहत इस प्रकार की एडहॉक नियुक्ति से उसें कोई अधिकार उत्पन्न होता हो। ऐसी परिस्थिति में प्रार्थी की जे.सी.एम.ए. पद पर आदेश दिनांक 25-2-83 द्वारा की गई नियुक्ति विशुद्ध रूप से एडहॉक व अस्थायी मानी जा सकती है एवं सेवा नियमों के तहत परीक्षा व साक्षात्कार के बाद इस पद के लिए चूँकि प्रार्थी चयनित नहीं हुआ अतः यह नहीं माना जा सकता कि प्रार्थी का इस पद पर बने रहने का अधिकार था। ऐसी स्थिति में आदेश दिनांक 27-7-1994 द्वारा प्रार्थी को रिवर्ट किये जाने में कोई अवैधानिकता प्रकट नहीं होती।

9. उपरोक्त विवेचन के अनुसार इस रेफरेन्स का उत्तर निम्न अवार्ड की टर्म्स में दिया जाता है।

10. प्रार्थी को अप्रार्थीगण द्वारा जे.सी.एम.ए. पद से दिनांक 27-7-94 से लेब सहायक के पद पर पदावनत किया जाना उचित एवं वैध था। प्रार्थी किसी अनुतोष का अधिकारी नहीं होगा।

11. यह अवार्ड आज दिनांक 20-9-2007 को खुले न्यायालय में सुनाया गया।

पुष्पेन्द्र सिंह हाड़ा, न्यायाधीश

नई दिल्ली, 27 दिसम्बर, 2007

का.आ. 125.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार नोर्दन रेलवे के प्रबंधन के संबंध में नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारियों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण जोधपुर के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 25/2002) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 27-12-07 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-41012/04/2002-आईआर (बी-1)]

अजय कुमार, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 27th December, 2007

S.O. 125.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 25/2002) of Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Jodhpur as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the management of Northern Railways and their workmen, received by the Central Government on 27-12-2007.

[No. L-41012/04/2002-IR (B-1)]

AJAY KUMAR, Desk Officer

अनुबंध

औद्योगिक विवाद अधिकरण एवं श्रम न्यायालय, जोधपुर
पीठासीन अधिकारी : श्री पुष्पेन्द्रसिंह हाड़ा, आर.एच.जे.एस.

औद्योगिक विवाद (केन्द्रीय) सं. : 25/2002

मोहन सिंह पुत्र श्री सुलतान सिंह द्वारा ललित शर्मा, संयुक्त मंत्री,
भारतीय मजदूर संघ, पाली मारबाड़ (राज.)

...प्रार्थी

बनाम

डिवीजनल रेलवे मैनेजर, उत्तर रेलवे, जोधपुर

...अप्रार्थी

रेफरेन्स अर्न्तगत धारा 10 औ. वि. अधिनियम, 1947

उपस्थिति :-

(1) श्री भुषणसिंह चारण प्रतिनिधि प्रार्थी

(2) श्री गिरीश तोखना प्रतिनिधि अप्रार्थी

अवार्ड

दिनांक 25-8-2007

1. भारत सरकार ने अपनी अधिसूचना क्रमांक एल 41012/4/2002/आई आर (बी-1) दिनांक 19-6-2002 द्वारा निम्न विवाद अन्तर्गत धारा 10 औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 के तहत इस न्यायालय को रेफर किया है :-

“क्या मण्डल रेल प्रबन्धक, उत्तर रेलवे, जोधपुर द्वारा कर्मकार श्री मोहन सिंह पुत्र श्री सुलतान सिंह कटिवाला “स” लूनी जंक्शन को उसके द्वारा केंजुअल लेबर के रूप में की गई सेवाओं के बदले ग्रेडेड स्केल नहीं दिया जाना उचित एवं वैध है ? यदि नहीं तो कर्मकार अपने नियोजक से क्या राहत पाने का अधिकारी है ?”

प्रार्थी ने अपना मांग-पत्र इस आशय का प्रस्तुत किया कि प्रार्थी की प्रारम्भिक नियुक्ति अप्रार्थी के समबडी केन्द्र पर पी.डब्ल्यू. आई. एवं ए.ई.एन. के अधीन मेन्टीनेन्स कार्य के लिए केंजुअल लेबर के रूप में दिनांक 18-5-1970 को हुई। अप्रार्थी द्वारा प्रार्थी से 18-5-70 से 19-8-74 तक लगातार केंजुअल लेबर के रूप में कार्य लिया। प्रार्थी द्वारा कार्य का विवरण भी मांग-पत्र में दिया गया है जिसके अनुसार वर्ष 1970 में 44 दिन, वर्ष 1971 में 47 दिन, वर्ष 1972 में 276 दिन व वर्ष 1973 में 142 दिन तथा वर्ष 1974 में 183 दिन कार्य किया। अप्रार्थी के नियमों के अनुसार ग्रेडेड स्कूल प्राप्त करने के लिए केंजुअल लेबर की न्यूनतम एवं आवश्यक कार्य करने की अवधि 120 दिन मेन्टीनेन्स वर्क के लिए व 180 दिन कन्स्ट्रक्शन वर्क के लिए है। प्रार्थी ने मेन्टीनेन्स का कार्य किया है। प्रार्थी द्वारा न्यूनतम कार्य अवधि 120 दिन की थी जो प्रार्थी द्वारा वर्ष 1972, वर्ष 1973 एवं वर्ष 1974 में की है, जिसका इन्ट्राज केंजुअल लेबर कार्ड एवं सेवा रिकॉर्ड में है। अप्रार्थी द्वारा प्रार्थी के साथ कार्य करने वाले अन्य कनिष्ठ कर्मचारियों को नियोजन में स्थायी कर ग्रेडेड स्केल दे दिया है लेकिन प्रार्थी को बावजूद निवेदन के उक्त ग्रेडेड स्केल नहीं

दिया है। प्रार्थी अप्रार्थी के अधीन 30 वर्ष से अधिक अवधि की सेवा पूर्ण कर चुका है। अतः प्रार्थी को केजुअल लेबर के रूप में की गई सेवा का लाभ देते हुए ग्रेडेड स्केल दिये जाने का आदेश पारित किया जावे व एरियर राशि ब्याज सहित प्रार्थी को दिलाये जाने का अवार्ड पारित किया जावे।

3. अप्रार्थी की ओर से जवाब में कहा गया है कि प्रार्थी को रेलवे में नेमेतिक श्रमिक के रूप में 18-5-70 को पी.डब्ल्यू.आई./ए. ई.एन. समदडी के अधीन लगाया गया। प्रार्थी ने जो कार्य दिवस मांग-पत्र में दर्शाये हैं उससे स्पष्ट है कि उसकी कार्य अवधि लगातार नहीं है, प्रार्थी को कोई भी कार्य अवधि 12-7-73 से पूर्व निरन्तर 180 दिन या इससे अधिक नहीं है। प्रार्थी द्वारा 12-7-73 के पश्चात् 120 दिन या इससे अधिक निरन्तर कार्य नहीं किया है। परिपत्र सं. 7850 जून 1981 में जारी किया गया, सन् 1981 के पूर्व ही प्रार्थी को 17-10-80 से रेगुलर कर दिया गया था अतः उक्त परिपत्र प्रार्थी के मामले में लागू नहीं होता, प्रार्थी का मामला परिपत्र सं. 1731 के अन्तर्गत आता है जिसके निर्देशानुसार 180 दिन/06 माह लगातार सेवा करने के उपरान्त नेमेतिक श्रमिक को ग्रेडेड स्कूल देय होता है। परिपत्र सं. 5949 रेलवे बोर्ड द्वारा दिनांक 12-7-73 को 180 दिन लगातार कार्य दिवसों को कम करते हुए 120 दिन लगातार कार्य दिवस की सेवा पूर्ण होने पर ग्रेडेड स्कूल देय होता है, प्रार्थी ने चूंकि 12-7-73 के पश्चात् भी 120 दिन लगातार सेवा नहीं की अतः उक्त परिपत्र के अनुसार भी प्रार्थी को ग्रेडेड स्कूल देय नहीं है। प्रार्थी ने किसी श्रमिक का नाम नहीं बताया है जिसे ग्रेडेड स्कूल दिया गया है। प्रार्थी द्वारा ग्रेडेड स्केल की पात्रता पूर्ण नहीं की अतः उसे ग्रेडेड स्कूल प्रदान नहीं किया गया। प्रार्थी को 17-10-80 को नियमित नियुक्ति प्रदान की गई तथा नियमित नियुक्ति तिथि से नियमित स्केल दे दी गई व अगली वार्षिक वेतन वृद्धियां भी प्रार्थी को समय समय पर दी गई तथा पदोन्नतियां भी दी गई, वर्तमान में प्रार्थी नियमित उटि वाले पद पर लूनी जेक्शन पर कार्यरत है। प्रार्थी किसी अनुतोष का अधिकारी नहीं है। प्रार्थी का मांग-पत्र सव्यय खारिज किया जावे।

4. मांग-पत्र के समर्थन में प्रार्थी ने स्वयं को शपथ-पत्र प्रस्तुत किया जिस पर अप्रार्थी प्रतिनिधी द्वारा जिरह की गई तथा अप्रार्थी की ओर से पी. सी. व्यास सहायक मंडल इंजीनियर का शपथ-पत्र प्रस्तुत किया जिस पर प्रार्थी प्रतिनिधी द्वारा जिरह की गई।

5. दोनों पक्षों के प्रतिनिधीगण की बहस सुनी गई। पत्रावली का अवलोकन किया।

6. प्रार्थी ने अपने मांग-पत्र के पैरा संख्या-4 में उसके द्वारा केजुअल लेबर के रूप में 18-5-70 से 19-8-74 तक किये गये कार्य दिवसों का विवरण दिया है। अप्रार्थी नियोजक द्वारा इसके जवाब में यह स्वीकार किया गया है कि प्रार्थी द्वारा जो कार्य दिवस मांग-पत्र के पैरा संख्या-4 में बताये गये हैं उसमें कोई विवाद नहीं है। इस प्रकार प्रार्थी द्वारा जो कार्य अवधि बताई गई है वह स्वीकृत तथ्य है।

7. प्रार्थी ने अपनी साक्ष्य में उक्त कार्य अवधि के आधार पर यह कहा है कि ग्रेडेड स्केल प्राप्त करने के लिए मेन्टीनेंस कार्य करने वाले केजुअल लेबर को 120 दिन व कन्स्ट्रक्शन कार्य वाले केजुअल लेबर

को 180 दिन पूरे करने पर ग्रेडेड स्केल रेलवे के नियमानुसार देय था जो उसे नहीं दिया गया, प्रार्थी 120 दिन पूरे कर चुका था।

8. इसके विपरीत अप्रार्थी नियोजक रेलवे की ओर से यह तर्क लिया गया है कि प्रार्थी ने अपने क्लेम ग्रहण को परिपत्र संख्या 7850 सं. 220ई/190-III ई-4 दिनांक 30-6-81 पर आधारित किया है जब कि प्रार्थी को इससे पूर्व 17-10-80 को ही रेगुलर कर दिया गया था अतः यह परिपत्र प्रार्थी के क्लेम में लागू नहीं किया जा सकता। प्रार्थी का मामला परिपत्र संख्या 1731 दिनांक 31-8-1962 के अन्तर्गत आता है जिसके अनुसार 180 दिन या 6 माह लगातार सेवा करने के उपरान्त केजुअल लेबर की ग्रेडेड स्केल देय होता है। प्रार्थी द्वारा उक्त अवधि में लगातार 180 दिन कार्य नहीं किया गया अतः उसे ग्रेडेड स्केल नहीं दिया गया। इस प्रकार 180 दिन की उक्त आवश्यकता को मुद्रित परिपत्र संख्या 5949 सर्कुलर नम्बर 220-ई/190 ईआईवी दिनांक 31-7-1973 से 180 दिन कम करते हुए 120 दिन की कार्य सेवा पूर्ण होने पर ग्रेडेड स्केल देय होना माना गया परन्तु प्रार्थी ने 12-7-73 के पश्चात् बिना ब्रेक के सेवा नहीं की थी अतः इस परिपत्र के अनुसार भी प्रार्थी को ग्रेडेड स्केल देय नहीं था।

9. केजुअल लेबर को रेलवे में ग्रेडेड स्केल देने या टेम्प्रेरी माने जाने के सम्बन्ध में जो परिपत्र अप्रार्थी विभाग द्वारा प्रस्तुत किया गया है उनमें सीरीयल नम्बर 1731 पर प्रकाशित परिपत्र संख्या 220-ई/190-1 (ई.आई.वी.) दिनांक 31-8-1962/1-9-1962 द्वारा छः महीने की लगातार सेवा केजुअल लेबर द्वारा किये जाने की स्थिति में टेम्प्रेरी (temporary) माने जाने के निर्देश दिये गये व इन निर्देशों में सीरीयल नम्बर 5949 पर प्रकाशित अन्य परिपत्र संख्या 220ई/190-III (ई. आई.वी.) दिनांक 31-7-1973 में रेलवे बोर्ड द्वारा संशोधन किया गया कि 180 दिन के बजाए चार महीने लगातार सेवा पर केजुअल लेबर को टेम्प्रेरी माना जाएगा। इस प्रकार 120 दिन लगातार कार्य दिवस होने की स्थिति में केजुअल लेबर को टेम्प्रेरी माने जाने का आदेश दिनांक 31-7-73 के परिपत्र से लागू हो चुका था। अतः अप्रार्थी नियोजक का यह तर्क उचित नहीं है कि इसके बाद के परिपत्र दिनांक 30-6-81 द्वारा इस सम्बन्ध में कोई संशोधन किया जाकर नई कोई व्यवस्था की गई हो बल्कि परिपत्र संख्या 7850 सं. 220ई/190-XII (ई-4) दिनांक 30-6-81 द्वारा पूर्व के परिपत्रों को कन्सोलीडेट किया जाकर पूर्व के परिपत्रों में क्या नियम है यही बताया गया।

10. इस प्रकार प्रार्थी का क्लेम परिपत्र सं. 5948 सर्कुलर नं. 743ई/39, (ई.आई.वी.) दिनांक 31-7-1973 से शासित होता है जिसके अनुसार 120 दिन या चार महीने की लगातार सेवा पर केजुअल लेबर को टेम्प्रेरी माने जाने के निर्देश दिये गये थे। प्रार्थी 31-7-73 को केजुअल लेबर के रूप में नियोजित था, जैसा मांग-पत्र के पैरा-4 में दिये गये विवरण से स्पष्ट है परन्तु 31-7-73 को या इसके बाद 19-8-74 तक उसके द्वारा जो कार्य दिवस बताये गये हैं उसमें सारी सेवा टुकड़ों में की गई है लगातार 120 दिन की सेवा किया जाना प्रार्थी स्वयं द्वारा दिये गये विवरण से ही प्रकट नहीं होता। रेलवे बोर्ड द्वारा जो परिपत्र जारी किये गये हैं उनमें स्पष्ट रूप से यह बताया गया है कि कौन सा सर्विस ब्रेक, ब्रेक नहीं माना जायेगा ऐसे में बीमारी के कारण अनुपस्थिति या स्वीकृति ली जाकर 20 दिन तक की अनुपस्थिति को ब्रेक नहीं माना गया (परिपत्र सं. 7850) परन्तु प्रार्थी की सेवा में

ब्रेक इन कारणों से हो न तो ऐसी साक्ष्य है व ब्रेक की जो अवधि है वह उक्त बताई गई अवधि से ज्यादा अवधि की है। ऐसी स्थिति में 120 दिन की लगातार सेवा नहीं होने के कारण उक्त परिपत्रों के तहत प्रार्थी ग्रेडेड स्केल की पात्रता नहीं रखता था। प्रार्थी ने यह तर्क भी लिया है कि उसके साथ कार्य कर रहे अन्य मजदूरों को यह स्केल दे दिया गया परन्तु इसका कोई विवरण प्रार्थी द्वारा नहीं दिया गया है जिससे यह पता नहीं चलता कि अन्य मजदूरों को जिन्हें ऐसा स्केल दिया गया या नहीं व उनके द्वारा किये गये कार्य दिवसों की संख्या या प्रवृत्ति क्या थी। अतः प्रार्थी का यह तर्क माने जाने योग्य है/ नहीं है।

11. यहाँ यह भी उल्लेखनीय है कि प्रार्थी को यदि ग्रेडेड स्कूल सन् 73 के बाद से ही देय था तो उसे शीघ्रता शीघ्र यह श्रम विवाद उठाना चाहिए था जबकि प्रार्थी द्वारा यह विवाद करीब 27 वर्ष बाद उठाया गया है, इतनी इनओर्डिनेट देरी के बाद इस प्रकार का विवाद उठाये जाने से रेफरेन्स किये जाते समय श्रम विवाद विद्यमान होना नहीं माना जा सकता। प्रार्थी इस आधार पर भी कोई अनुतोष पाने का अधिकारी नहीं है।

12. उक्त विवेचन के अनुसार इस रेफरेन्स का उत्तर इस अवार्ड की टर्म्स में निम्न प्रकार दिया जाता है:

13. अप्रार्थी नियोजक द्वारा प्रार्थी को उसके द्वारा केजुअल लेबर के रूप में की गई सेवाओं के बदले ग्रेडेड स्केल नहीं दिया जाना उचित एवं वैध था। प्रार्थी किसी अनुतोष का अधिकारी नहीं होगा।

14. यह अवार्ड आज दिनांक 25-8-2007 को खुले न्यायालय में सुनाया गया।

पुष्पेन्द्र सिंह हाड़ा, न्यायाधीश

नई दिल्ली, 27 दिसम्बर, 2007

का.आ. 126.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार स्टेट बैंक ऑफ इंडिया के प्रबंधन के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, जबलपुर के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 165/2000) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 27-12-07 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-12012/224/2000-आईआर (बी-1)]

अजय कुमार, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 27th December, 2007

S.O. 126.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the Award (Ref. No. 165/2000) of Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Jabalpur, as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the management of State Bank of India, and their workmen, received by the Central Government on 27-12-2007

[No. L-12012/224/2000-IR (B-I)]

AJAY KUMAR, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT, JABALPUR

NO. CGIT/LC/R/165/2000

Presiding Officer : Shri C. M. Singh

Shri Toaran Lal Deshmukh,
S/o Sh. Babulal Deshmukh,
Vill : Suregaon, PO: Suregaon,
Teh : Dondi-Lohara,
Durg (M.P.)

Workman

Versus

The Branch Manager,
State Bank of India,
Suregaon Branch,
Suregaon, Tehsil: Dondi-Lohara,
Durg (MP)

Management

AWARD

Passed on this 12th day of December, 2007

1. The Government of India, Ministry of Labour vide its Notification No. L-12012/224/2000/IR(B- I) dated 19-20/9/2000 has referred the following dispute for adjudication by this Tribunal:

"Whether refusal of employment to Shri Toaran Lal Deshmukh S/o Shri Babulal Deshmukh w.e.f. 11-6-95 by the management of State Bank of India is justified? If not, to what relief the workman is entitled?"

2. Vide order dated 9-1-06 passed on the ordersheet of this reference proceeding, the reference proceeded ex parte against the workman Shri Toaran Lal Deshmukh.

3. The case of the workman in brief is as follows:— That the workman was engaged as Messenger on daily wages w.e.f. 12-2-90 to 31-5-91 by the Management in place of the then Messenger Shri Laxman Prasad Yadav due to his ailment/sickness. Shri Laxman Prasad Yadav took voluntary retirement in the month of April 1991. From the month of June 1991, workman has been employed as canteen boy on monthly wages of Rs. 350 in Suregaon branch of the State Bank of India, Suregaon. Apart from the job of canteen boy, the workman was also performing duties of messenger as no messenger was appointed on retirement of Shri Laxman Prasad Yadav. That from 11-6-95, the workman has been discontinued from service without any reason at all. That from the date of his appointment w.e.f. 12-2-90, the workman has been working regularly and worked for more than 240 days every year. At the time of his retrenchment, the workman was not given one month's notice or salary in lieu thereof and thus the management has violated the mandatory provisions of Sec-25-F(a) & (b) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947. Therefore the action of the management in discontinuing the service of workman is illegal and void ab initio. The workman is entitled to reinstatement in service with back wages with all consequential benefits.

4. The management filed their Written Statement. Their case in brief is as follows: In January, 1990, the services of workman were taken intermittently for cleaning the bank premises and fetching drinking water. It was a part time job for which the workman was paid Rs. 5 per day. When the workman was required to fetch water from a distant place, he was paid Rs. 16 per day. This amount was as per the rate agreed by the workman to do the above job. It is wrong to say that the workman was engaged on daily wages continuously. That as a measure of welfare, the management provides canteen, recreation and library facilities to its employees. These facilities are not provided under any legal provision. The facility of canteen is being maintained by the Local Implementation Committee which is formed by the members of the officers as well as employees working in the Branch/office. The management has no control over the affairs of the said committee. The said committee from its own fund pays their remuneration to their employees. The Bank has no right of supervision of the employees of the Committee/Canteen. Thus there existed no employee-employer relationship between the workmen and the Bank and under the above facts and circumstances, the workman is not entitled to any relief.

5. As the case proceeded *ex parte* against the workman, no evidence has been adduced on behalf of workman. The management did not adduce any evidence because the workman failed to adduce any evidence for establishing his case.

6. I have heard Shri Vijay Tripathi, Advocate for the management.

7. It is a no evidence case. Thus the workman has failed to prove his case. Under the circumstances, the reference deserves to be decided in favour of management and against workman without any orders as to costs.

8. In view of the above, the reference is decided in favour of the management and against the workman without any orders as to costs holding that the refusal of employment to Shri Toaran Lal Deshmukh S/o Shri Babulal Deshmukh w.e.f. 11-6-95 by the management of State Bank of India is justified and consequently the workman is not entitled to any relief.

9. Let the copies of the award be sent to the Government of India, Ministry of Labour & Employment as per rules.

C. M. SINGH, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 31 दिसम्बर, 2007

का.आ. 127.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार आई ओ सी एल एवं लव कास्टिंग और फेब्रिकेशन प्रा. लि. के प्रबंधन के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण/भ्रम न्यायालय II, नई

दिल्ली, के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या आई डी सं. 58/2007) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 31-12-07 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-30011/1/2007-आईआर (एम)]

एन. एस. बोरा, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 31st December, 2007

S.O. 127.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the Award (Ref. No. I.D. No. 58/2007) of Central Government Industrial Tribunal-Cum-Labour Court II, New Delhi, now as shown in the Annexure in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of IOCL, & Love Castings & Fabricators Pvt. Ltd. and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 31-12-2007.

[No. L-30011/1/2007-IR (M)]

N. S. BORA, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE PRESIDING OFFICER: CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT-II, NEW DELHI

PRESIDING OFFICER: R. N. Rai

ID. No. 58/2007

In the matter of:

Shri Uma Mandal,
C/o The President,
Petroleum Mazdoor Union,
C/o CPWD Workers' Union,
Sector: IV, R.K. Puram,
New Delhi - 110022.

Versus

1. The Sr. Plant Manager,
IOCL, LPG Bottling Plant,
Madanpur Khadar,
New Delhi - 110076.

2. M/s. Love Castings & Fabricators Pvt. Ltd;
JA/12/8, Ashok Vihar,
New Delhi - 110052.

AWARD

The Ministry of Labour by its letter No. L-30011/12007-IR(M) Central Government Dt. 11-10-2007 has referred the following point for adjudication.

The point runs as hereunder:

"Whether the termination of the workman Sh. Uma Mandal on 09-05-2005 by M/s. Luv Castings & Fabricators Private Limited contractor of IOCL, LPG Bottling Plant, Madanpur Khadar, New Delhi is just and legal? If not, to what relief the workman is entitled and from which party (parties)."

It transpires from perusal of the order sheet that the reference was received on 17-10-2007. The workman was directed to file claim on 20-11-2007 and 04-12-2007. Last

Opportunity was given for filing claim statement but the workman applicant has not filed claim statement.

No dispute award is given.

Let copies of the Award be sent to the Ministry of Labour, Government of India for necessary action at their end.

Date : 18-12-2007

R. N. RAI, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 31 दिसम्बर, 2007

का.आ. 128.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार हरियाणा मिनरल लिमिटेड के प्रबंधन के संबद्ध मिनेजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण/श्रम न्यायालय II, नई दिल्ली, जोधपुर के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या आई डी सं. 31/2005) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 31-12-07 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-29012/5/2005-आईआर (एम)]

एन. एस. बोरा, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 31st December, 2007

S.O. 128.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the Award (Ref. No. I.D. No. 31/2005) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-Cum-Labour Court-II, New Delhi, as shown in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Haryana Minerals Limited, and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 31-12-2007

[F. No. L-29012/5/2005-IR(M)]

N. S. BORA, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE PRESIDING OFFICER: CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT-II, NEW DELHI

PRESIDING OFFICER: R. N. Rai

ID. No. 31/2005

IN THE MATTER OF:

Shri S.D. Dubey,
Sainipura, Taoru,
Gurgaon (Haryana).

VERSUS

1. State of Haryana, through Chief Secretary, Chandigarh.
2. The Commissioner, Gurgaon Division (as a Chairman, HML), Haryana.
3. The Managing Director, HML, Phase - 5, Udyog Vihar, HSIDC Complex, Gurgaon, Haryana.

4. The Chairman/MD, HSIDC, Vanijaya Nikunj, HSIDC Office Complex, Phase - V, Udyog Vihar, Gurgaon, Haryana.

AWARD

The Ministry of Labour by its letter No. L 29012/5/2005-IR(M) General Government Dt. 27-04-2005 has referred the following point for adjudication.

The point runs as hereunder:

“Whether the action of the management of Haryana Minerals Limited in terminating the services of Sh. S. D. Dubey without paying legal dues simultaneously w.e.f. 03-03-2003 is legal and just? If not, to what relief the workman is entitled to?”

The workman applicant has filed claim statement. In the claim statement it has been stated that workman was working under the respondent No.3 as a Dy. Mining Engineer. However, the nature of job which the workman was performing with the respondent no. 3 was of such nature that workman is well covered under the definition of workman as defined in section 2(s) of the ID Act, 1947. The designation of the workman as per the Mines Act, 1952 does not bring the workman into the ambit of Mines Manager. The workman was not carrying on any managerial and administrative functions independently.

That the respondent no.1, State of Haryana had decided to close down the respondent no. 3 department, which is the entity of state in the year 2002. Most of the staff of the respondent no. 3 department was retrenched and was paid compensation as per their salary etc. which is the matter of record. However, some of the employees like the present workman were not paid any retrenchment compensation at the time of their retrenchment by the respondent.

That the respondent no.1 is responsible for all acts and omissions of respondent no. 3 because respondent no. 3 is the entity of the state and is well covered under the definition of state as per the Constitution of India. The respondent no. 2 is a Divisional Commissioner of Gurgaon and is also Chairman of the respondent no. 3/HML. The respondent no. 4 has taken over all the assets and liabilities of the respondent no. 3 is responsible to pay all the dues etc. Which are due to the former employees including the workman.

That on 03-03-2003 respondent no. 3 retrenched the service of the present workman and paid no compensation to the workman. The HSIDC/respondent no. 4 had taken over all the assets and liabilities of the respondent no. 3. The respondent no. 4 had released the amount of Rs.1.68 crores to the respondent no.3 to meet out its needs etc. The respondent no. 3 and the other respondents are avoiding to make the appropriate payment to the workman and they are practicing and are discriminating between the workman and others. Because the respondent has paid the

compensation on the name of retrenchment etc. to some of the workman whose names are provided below:

1. Sh. Kuldeep Singh, Asstt. Manager (Quality Control). He was retrenched in December, 2000 and was paid retrenchment compensation.

2. Sh. Ghanshyam Singh, Supervisor.

3. Sh. Narishmanlu - Surveyor

4. Sh. Duli Chand Yadav - Technical Assistant.

That the respondents on the one hand had retrenched the services of most of the workers but had retained many selected workman and had allowed them to work in the different department. The list of such workman is given below.

(a) Sh. R.D. Sharma (Steno).

(b) Sh. Ajit Singh and Katar Singh (Account Assistant).

These three officials are working in the Mewat Development Authority at present.

(c). Sh. Zile Singh (PA), Sh. Suresh Chand (Driver), Sh. Sukhbir Singh (Driver). These three officials are working at Haryana Bhawan, Delhi. Sh. Jagdish is working in Marketing Department, at Narnaul, Smt. Darshna Devi is working at D.C. Office, Rohtak and Sh. P.K. Saxena (Production Manager) is working at Statistical Office, Chandigarh and Sh. Rajender Phogat (Secretary) is working at MDA, Nuh. Sh. V.S. Chhokar (Assistant Account Officer) - in the Statistical Office, Gurgaon, Sh. V.S. Dhiloo (Draughtsman), Sh. Katar Singh, Account Assistant is now, working at MDA Nuh. This all shows that these officials are provided alternate employment by the respondent in the various department. But on the other hand applicant is neither paid any due, retrenchment compensation nor being provided any alternate employment by the respondent. This is discriminatory practice on the part of the respondent. The copy of the complaint which was filed before the ALC on 16-07-2004 during the conciliation proceedings with all above details is annexed as Annexure A -1.

That on one hand the respondent no.1 had closed down the commercial business of respondent no. 3 and retrenched most of the employees but provided alternate job to some selected few workman without taking any prior permission for closure of respondent no. 3 from the appropriate Government i.e. Central Government. There were more than 600 workmen working in the respondent no. 3. The present workman was not paid any compensation due to him under the ID Act, 1947 at the time of his alleged retrenchment on 03-03-2003. The copy of the said order is annexed as Annexure A - 2.

That the workman filed one demand notice before ALC Centre, Faridabad under the ID Act in August, 2003. The respondent no. 3 and 4 appeared before the ALC during the conciliation proceedings and filed the reply. The said respondents denied all the averments made by the workman

and filed the false and fabricated reply before the ALC. The respondents stated in the reply the respondents are ready to consider the genuine demands raised by the workman provided the necessary documents are given to the respondents. But it is pertinent to mention here that the workman has provided all the documents to the respondents as notice were issued to them and all the documents were supplied. The copy of the demand notice dated 13-08-2003 along with annexure and the reply of the respondents are filed hereto as Annex - A - 3 & A - 4.

That the action of the management for non-payment of retrenchment compensation as per the ID Act and as per the other provisions of the law is illegal. On the other hand the discrimination for providing alternate employment to the applicant is also illegal on the part of the respondent. The respondent has also not paid the 33 days payment in lieu of excess/extra work in lieu of 2/4 Saturday and general holidays for the year 1999 to 2000 (for two years). It is pertinent to mention here that the Board of respondent no. 3 had taken the decision that the workmen were working on the operation duties like the present workman shall be paid 33 days payment in lieu of extra duties performed by them in lieu of 2/4 Saturdays and general holidays.

That the respondents are not law abiding persons. Because already one order under gratuity act for payment of Rs. 50, 359/- was passed with the 10% interest by the ALC/RLC on 06-04-2004 but in spite of execution proceedings filed against the respondent by the workman, the respondent are not paying the said due amount to him. Likewise the respondent are also not paid the due amount of the CPF to the tune of Rs. 24, 057/- in spite of order passed by APFC, Gurgaon on 28-06-2004.

That the workman is entitled to receive due T A and other permissible dues to the workman in spite of several representation and legal notices served on the respondents time to time. This shows the attitude of respondent no. 3 and 4 is discriminatory. Since the workman is not paid due retrenchment compensation and he is not providing with alternate employment as same is already provided to the similarly placed workmen, the action of respondents of retrenchment is illegal and malafide. The workman is liable to be reinstated with full back wages either in the respondent no. 3 or in any other department of respondent no.1 with alternate employment which is provided by the respondent to other persons/former HML employees.

In the above premises and in the interest of justice, equity and fair play most respectfully it is prayed that as the alleged retrenchment dated 03-03-2003 of the workman is illegal, the respondent may kindly be directed to reinstate the workman in the respondent department/respondent no. 3 or in any other appropriate department of the respondent no.1 with full back wages and interest @ 9% pa on said amounts from the date of workman's illegal retrenchment till payment of same to him with continuity of service.

The management has filed written statement. In the written statement it has been stated that the petitioner was in supervisory capacity and was performing managerial and administrative functions and was paid for the same hence not covered under the definition of workman, therefore the petition of the above said applicant is to be dismissed.

That the officer was in supervisory capacity as such was carrying managerial and administrative functions. His services are not covered under ID Act, 1947. Since due to shrinkage in business the post of the official fall surplus so his services were terminated in terms of his appointment letter by giving 3 months salary in lieu of notice period as such no retrenchment benefit is payable.

That being an officer in managerial capacity he was paid the dues, payable to him in term of his appointment letter. No due is payable to him at this stage. If there is any dues pending, then specific amount and head be given. The management is always ready to accede the legitimate demand.

That the defendant company is a incorporated under the companies act having separate legal entity and the liabilities of the promoters are limited. No one else in person who so ever he may be is liable to make payment of companies dues. No asset/liability of the company had been taken over by any after agency. Though the company has stopped all kind of activities, yet is handling its residual work itself.

That all the submissions made are wrong, misconceived, misleading and are denied. The plaintiff is neither workman nor any retrenchment benefit is payable to him. He was in supervisory capacity and his services being surplus have been terminated after giving him 3 months salary in lieu of notice period as per the conditions of appointment. No other agency have taken over any asset/liability of the company. The company itself is handling its residual work.

That the company has retrenched all its employees and no one has been retained. If any person have sought other employment some whereelse, then that is form his own efforts and company has nothing to say in this regard. Every person including the plaintiff are at liberty to seek alternate employment. Since he is not a workman so his services were terminated being surplus in view of terms and condition laid down in appointment documents.

That since the company has sustained heavy loss and was not in a position to pay even statutory dues, such as royalty, PF dues etc. So the management decided to close down the activities of the company. Further Supreme Court has also banned the mining activities along with Delhi Mathura highway.

That since the respondent company winded up its operations, so is not in a position to provide alternate employment. Since the plaintiff was in managerial capacity,

so his services were terminated in terms of appointment letter being surplus and he is not a worker covered under ID Act, 1947. Since the company is facing financial crisis so cannot afford any facility. The apex court has given its findings to this effect in plethora of cases.

That the plaintiff has no right to assess the defendants as not law abiding person. The point is strongly objected to. The process of law, which is available to other person is also available to respondents as well. In other words, the plaintiff wants to defame the respondent stating the version which have no relevancy to the present claim. The company has challenged both the issues before the higher statutory authorities and will be finalized in due course of time.

The workman applicant has filed rejoinder. In the rejoinder he has reiterated the averments of his claim statement and has denied most of the paras of the written statement. The management has also denied most of the paras of the claim statement.

Evidence of both the parties has been taken.

Heard argument from both the sides and perused the papers on the record.

It was submitted from the side of the applicant that he is a workman under Section 2(s) of the ID Act, 1947. The designation of the workman as per the Mining Act, 1952 does not bring the workman into the ambit of Mines Manager. He was not carrying on any managerial or administrative functions.

It was submitted from the side of the management that the applicant was performing duty in supervisory capacity. He is not covered u/s 2 (s) of the ID Act, 1947. He has been paid dues in view of his appointment letter.

It is the duty of the management to prove by cogent documentary evidence that the workman performed managerial or supervisory duty. The management should produce documents regarding the managerial and supervisory capacity.

The workman has been issued appointment letter on 20-7-1993. He has been given the designation of Dy. Mining Engineer and he has appointed in the Pay Scale of Rs. 2000-3200. His services have been terminated on 03-03-2003 in view of closure of mining operation due to surrender of Alipur Mines, State Government of Haryana as his services were found surplus.

He has been paid 3 months pay in terms of his appointment letter. It has been mentioned in the appointment letter that even after confirmation, his services may be terminated with 3 months notice either on other side or pay in lieu thereof.

It has not been pointed out as to what managerial duty, the workman performed. No duty chart has been filed assigning to the workman duty in managerial or supervisory capacity. The duty of Dy. Mining Engineer is

operational. He may incidentally perform managerial duties. In the Mines Act, the office of the Dy. Mining Engineer has not been defined as managerial or supervisory.

The management has to prove that the workman was competent to watch over the work of the juniors and submit reports regarding their work in managerial or administrative office. There must be some sub-ordinate employees as the administrator has the power to inspect the work of those subordinate and submit confidential reports to the higher authorities. It is for the management to prove that the workman has been assigned managerial duty. The workman cannot be said to be a manager or administrator in view of the nomenclature given to him. The work of a Engineer is always operational.

The real tests for ascertaining the status and function of employee are the primary, basic or dominant nature of duties. The words managerial or supervisory have to be understood in their proper connotation and there mere use cannot be detracted from the truth.

In (1985) 3 SCC 371 it has been held that the nature of the work of a workman is to be ascertained from the dominant nature of duties performed by him and not by nomenclature. In view of this judgment of the Hon'ble Apex Court the claimant is a workman. This point is decided accordingly.

The applicant in the instant case is a workman and he is entitled to get 15 days wages for every completed year. He is not entitled to get any other relief.

The reference is replied thus:

The action of the management of Haryana Minerals Limited in terminating the services of Sh. S.D. Dubey without paying legal dues simultaneously w.e.f. 03-03-2003 is neither legal nor just. The workman is entitled to 15 days wages (last drawn) for every completed year of service in view of Section 25 F of the ID Act, 1947 within two months from the date of the publication of the award.

The award is given accordingly.

R. N. RAI, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 31 दिसम्बर, 2007

का.आ. 129.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार आई. ओ. सी. एल. नई दिल्ली के प्रबंधन के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण/ग्राम न्यायालय II, नई दिल्ली, के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या आई. ओ. सी. 59/2003) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 31-12-07 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[फा. सं. एल-30011/100/2002-आईआर (एम)]

एन. एस. बोर, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 31st December, 2007

S.O. 129.—[In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the Award (Ref. No. I.D. No. 59/2003) of Central Government Industrial Tribunal-Cum-Labour Court, II, New Delhi, now as shown in the industrial dispute between the management of IOCL, New Delhi, and their workmen, received by the Central Government on 31-12-2007

[No. L-30011/100/2002-IR (M)]

N. S. BORA, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE PRESIDING OFFICER: CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT-II NEW DELHI

PRESIDING OFFICER: R. N. RAI ID. No. 59/2003

IN THE MATTER OF:

Shri Prakash & 8 Others,
S/o. Shri Ramcharan,
R/o. C/B - 328, Anna Nagar,
Tilak Bridge, New Delhi - 110 002.

VERSUS

Indian Oil Corporation Limited,
Through Chairman-cum-Managing Director,
Scope Complex, Core - II,
Lodhi Road,
New Delhi.

AWARD

The Ministry of Labour by its letter No. L-30011/100/2002-IR(M) Central Government Dt. 07-04-2003 has referred the following point for adjudication.

The point runs as hereunder:

"Whether the action of the management of IOCL, New Delhi in not regularizing the services of concerned 16 workmen from the date of their initial appointment is just, fair and legal? If not, to what relief the concerned workmen are entitled and from which date?"

The petitioners have filed claim petition. In the claim petition they have stated that they were engaged and employed as contract labour employees in the office/units of the respondent in the category of the Cleaner, Helper, Electrician, Lineman-tele-technician, Supervisor, Plumber and Cook under contractors who changed from time to time. That these persons have been employed by respondent on contract basis through contractors who keep changing and have in fact changed many times in past as contract with a particular contractor is for a limited period, but in view of the fact that the nature of the work being rendered by the petitioners are permanent, their services have been continued all through irrespective of the change of the contractor.

The detail of the petitioners including their status the initial year of working and the contractors with whom they were employed lastly are set out hereinbelow:—

| Name | Initial Yr. of Emp. & Nature of Work | Name of contractor |
|---------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Sushil Kr. | 1988 (Telephone Lineman Helper) | M/s. Cosmo Const. Co. |
| Ramesh | 1984 (Safaikaramchari) | M/s. Sirohi Engg. |
| Prakash | 1987 (Safaikaramchari) | M/s. Sirohi Engg. |
| Harcharan | 1990 (Safaikaramchari) | M/s. Sirohi Engg. |
| Rajesh | 1990 (Helper-Elect.) | M/s. Cosmo Const. Co. |
| Ramesh | 1988 (Helper-Elect.) | M/s. Cosmo Con. Co. |
| Gulam Hussain | | |
| Khan | 1988 (Plumber) | M/s. Cosmo Con. Co. |
| Amit | 1993 (Supervisor-Cleaning) | M/s. Sirohi Engg. |
| Arumugam | 1988 (Cook) | Mr. Vipin Agarwal |

It is submitted that the respondent being the Principal employer has got ultimate control over the employees who were recruited through or at the behest of the labour contractor. It is not the contractor but the principal employers under whose guidelines and supervision the contract labour employees performed their respective jobs.

That the Central Government *vide* notification No.S.O.779(E) dated 09-12-1976 has abolished the contract labour for sweeping, cleaning, dusting and watching of buildings owned and occupied by the establishments in respect of which the appropriate government is Central Government.

That the engagement of the petitioners on contract basis for the work of respondent which is of perennial nature is perverse, illegal, mala fide and arbitrary and in contravention of the notification dated 09-12-1976 prohibiting engagement of contract labour for sweeping, cleaning and dusting etc. The petitioners shall invite attention of this Hon'ble Tribunal/ Court to the provisions of Section 10 of the CLRA Act, 1970.

That the petitioners submits that the object of the aforementioned act is to prohibit exploitation of contract labour at the behest of the employer/management by adopting such method and devices to over reach the various provisions of the labour and other related Acts.

That the respondent by employing the workmen/petitioner through contractors have deprived the workmen not only the right pay and emoluments which they are legally entitled to, but also the right of security of service. The petitioners employed through contractors have been deprived of various benefits like leave, paid holidays, HRA, Cycle allowance and various other allowances, like loan facilities, promotional avenues, timely payment of salaries

etc. It is high time that the contract labour system is completely abolished, prohibited and eliminated and the petitioners employed as contract labourers should be deemed to be regular employees of the respondent with all rights and facilities as is available to their counterpart employees employed directly by the principal employers on permanent basis.

That the petitioners submit that the work against which they are employed is of permanent nature and is incidental to the day to day main work of the respondent. It is also submitted that the nature of work in which the petitioners are employed is of perennial nature and is available throughout the year. It is also submitted that the work in which the petitioners are employed are in existence since the establishments of the respondent and there was no occasion when such work was not required by the respondent. It is submitted that for identical jobs which the petitioners are doing, the respondent have employed directly and are paid regular pay scales with all other facilities admissible to regular employees. There is no justification on the part of the respondent to employ the petitioners as contract labour. By keeping the petitioners as contract labour instead of treating them their regular employees the respondent is perpetuating unfair labour practice.

That a representation was made on behalf of the petitioner by their union to the respondent from 12-09-1997 to abolish the contract labour system in respect of the jobs in which the petitioners are working and to treat them as regularly employed persons. The respondents have to taken any action on the said representation till date.

That the petitioners submit that the respondent after the petitioners have moved the judicial machinery for their regularization etc. have adopted mala fide tactics to throw the petitioner out of job on the account of expiry of some contracts. The petitioners have been kept in dark regarding such expiry of contract. It is submitted that the petitioners were told that they should be prepared to face the consequences of unemployment as they had approached the courts for their regularization.

That the action of the respondent in not regularizing the services of the petitioners, nor in abolishing the employment through the contractors and ultimately dispensing with the services of the petitioners are unconstitutional, arbitrary, illegal, opposed to the public policy and against the public interest, violative of Articles 14, 21, 38, 41 and 51 A of the Constitution of India, contrary of the letters and spirit of CLRA Act, 1970, amounts to unfair labour practice and bad in law.

That the respondent by not considering the representation of the petitioners dated 12-09-1997 for abolition and prohibition of contract labour in the jobs of lineman-tele-technician, supervisor, plumber and cook through contractor is arbitrary, contrary to the scheme of Act.

That the respondent is not justified in law in treating the cleaner, helper-electrician, in which job the petitioners were employed as contract labour in view of the notification of the appropriate Government dated 09-12-1976.

That there is no justification in law in not prohibiting the employment of contract labour in the employment of cleaner, helper-electrician, lineman-tele-technician, supervisor, plumber and cook by the respondent.

That the respondent has no justification in its act of termination and in not treating the petitioners as their regularly employed employees and to pay them the pay and emoluments and other benefits which are admissible to regularly employed employees in the respective jobs.

That the petitioners have various documents and evidences in their possession to show that they are entitled to be regularized in the services of the respondent.

That the petitioners also would file and reply on the various orders of the courts, authorities in various proceedings suggesting that the petitioners are entitled to the relief sought in the present proceedings.

It is submitted that the facts pleaded in the present petition as well as the documents and the evidence, the orders of the court which are being relied and which would be filed during the course of the present proceedings would definitely entitle the petitioner to the relief sought in this proceeding.

That there is no reason as to why the petitioner should not be granted the relief as prayed in this application. The respondent would suffer no harm or prejudice nor it would be contrary to their interest.

The management has filed written statement. In the written statement it has been stated that the IOCL is engaged in core business activity of production distribution and marketing of essential petroleum products in the country and is a controlled industry under the ID Act, 1947. The Corporation has mainly three divisions namely Refineries, Marketing and Pipelines Division.

That some of the offices of the Pipelines Division of the Corporation and the Maintenance and Inspection Department of Refineries Division were located at New Delhi House till October, 2001. During its occupancy at New Delhi House, Pipelines Division of IOCL had lined up contracts for the services of general Maintenance/House Keeping.

That the Corporation entered into three different legal contracts on specific terms and conditions with M/s. Sirohi Engineering and Contractors, M/s. Cosmo Construction Company and Contractor Vipin Aggarwal to provide general Maintenance/House Keeping services which were operative till October, 2001 at New Delhi House.

That the contractors M/s. Sirohi Engineering and Contractors Company engaged the present claimant Nos. 2, 3, 4 & 8 as cleaners, Contractors M/s. Cosmo

Construction Company deployed claimant Nos. 1, 5, 6 & 7 as Electric/Telephone line helpers and plumber and similarly, Contractor Vipin Aggarwal engaged claimant No. 9 as Plumber for the purpose of execution of the contract at New Delhi House.

That as per the terms of the contracts, the above mentioned Maintenance/House Keeping contracts were in operation till October, 2001 after which the contracts have ceased to operate. By October, 2001, the Corporation shifted its offices from the premises of New Delhi House, to its own building in Noida.

That the claimants were employees of M/s. Sirohi Engineering and Contractors, M/s. Cosmo Construction and Contractor Vipin Aggarwal. The Corporation is not the principal employer of the claimants after October, 2001 and there exists no relationship of any kind whatsoever between the corporation and the claimants.

That the Contractors M/s. Sirohi Engineering and Contractors Company employed the workmen Nos 2 to 4 & 8. Claimant Nos. 1, 5 to 7 were employed by the Contractor M/s. Cosmo Construction Company. Similarly, claimant No. 9 was employed by contractor Vipin Aggarwal. These claimants were engaged by the abovementioned contractors for the purpose of execution of the House Keeping/Maintenance contracts in the premises of New Delhi House occupied by the Corporation till October, 2001. As it was decided to shift the office of IOCL from the rented premises of New Delhi House to the Corporation's own office at Noida, there was no question of renewal of contract or lining up of new contract for maintenance services at New Delhi House.

That the claimants are contract labour is not disputed but rather has been admitted by the claimants. There being no relationship of employer and employee between the parties and the cause of the labourers not having been espoused by the direct employees, the provisions of ID Act, 1947 be invoked to refer the dispute to the Industrial Tribunal.

The claimants being employees of the contractors entered into various agreements in the capacity of employees with their employers (contractors) from time to time on terms of employment such as salaries, allowances, bonus, PF and ESI Schemes, Employment Cards, Holidays and Festivals, Uniform, Nature of Duties, Arrears etc.

That in the light of these legal agreements wherein the terms of employment were expressly settled between the claimants and their respective employers, the contention of the claimants that the claimants have been working under the control and supervision of the management of IOCL is misleading.

That as is evident from the above mentioned agreements the rights and liabilities of the employers and service conditions of the employees were mutually agreed between the claimant and their employers (contractors). The contention that the service condition and the working

The contention that the service condition and the working of the workmen was controlled and supervised by the corporation and the Contractor was only to deprive the workers of their legitimate rights is self contradictory therefore wrong.

That it is sole discretion of the contractors to engage their workmen as per their requirement on mutually agreed terms of employment. In this context, it is submitted even if the contract labour were continuously engaged for a number of years in the premises of the Corporation, it does not create any right to regularization/absorption in the services of the Corporation. Rather, being the regular employees of the contractors, any legal and valid claim may be raised against the contractors being their employers and not against the Corporation. Therefore, their claim for regularization on the grounds submitted in the statement of claim do not sustain against the Corporation.

That as per the documents submitted by the claimants themselves during conciliation proceedings and enclosed as above, and as per the rationale of SAIL Judgment, the claimants are under express control and supervision of their respective employers and they were guided by the mutually agreed terms of employment.

That in view of the above admission by the claimants, it is submitted that engagement of the claimants by various contractors who were their employers cannot give rise to a right to regularization in the employment of the Corporation.

That the claimants also admit that the contractors disbursed the wages of the claimants. In the light of this admission and in accordance with the agreements entered between the claimants and the contractors as to the terms and conditions of services, it is reaffirmed that the Corporation does not control the service conditions of the claimants.

The contract was not for perennial and permanent nature of work, and the fact is that after the period of contract was over w.e.f. October, 2001, no other contract has been lined up and the claimants have not been engaged under any similar contract.

That as is evident from these agreements the terms and conditions such as salaries, allowances, bonus, PF & ESI Schemes, Employment Cards, Holidays and Festivals, Uniforms, Nature of Duties, Arrears etc, are settled between the concerned employer and the claimants. Thus it also substantiates the stand of the Corporation that it has no employer and employee relationship with the claimants. This further clarifies that employee relationship with the claimants. This further clarified that employer-employee relationship exists between the contractors and the claimants with whom they have settled the terms and conditions of their employment through collective bargainings.

That with this factual position and admitted position of the claimants, it is submitted that IOCL is not the employer of any of these claimants and therefore they cannot raise Industrial Dispute against the corporation under the ID Act, 1947.

It is stated that there is no dispute to fact that the claimants are engaged and employed as contract labour by the respective contractors in the premises of IOCL. However, it is denied that the contractors change from time to time.

That the nature of work rendered by the claimants is not permanent in nature. As mentioned in the background, the claimants were engaged by the contractors for the purpose of execution of the House Keeping/Maintenance contracts in the premises of New Delhi House for a limited period till October, 2001. Thereafter, no other contract has been lined up by the corporation for the said services. The list submitted by the claimants is denied as baseless and concocted.

It is denied that the respondent being the principal employer has got ultimate control over the employees who were recruited through or at the behest of the labour contractor. There is no control by the corporation, either express or implied over the claimants. The claimants were under direct supervision and control of their respective employers/ contractors.

It is denied that the claimants are deprived of the pay and implements which they are legally entitled to. As submitted earlier, the claimants being employees of the contractors entered into various agreements in the capacity of employees with their employers (contractors) from time to time on terms of employment such as salaries, allowances, bonus, PF and ESI schemes, Employment Cards, Holidays and festivals, Uniform, Nature of Duties, Arrears etc. and were governed by such agreements with their respective employers. The corporation is neither a party to such agreements nor has any say in the terms and conditions of the said agreements.

That the services provided by the contractor for which the claimants were engaged is not perennial in nature. No other contract was lined up after the expiry of the said contract for which claimants were engaged.

The workmen applicants have filed rejoinder. In the rejoinder they have reiterated the averments of their claim statement and have denied most of the paras of the written statement. The management has also denied most of the paras of the claim statement.

Evidence of both the parties has been taken.

Heard argument from both the sides and perused the papers on the record.

It was submitted from the side of the workmen that the workmen have been employed as contract labour employees in the office/units of the respondents in the category of cleaner, helper, electrician, line man, technician,

supervisor, plumber and cook. The contractors changed from time to time but in view of the nature of the work the management retained the services of these workmen continuously all through irrespective of the change of the contractor. The respondents being the principal employer got control over the employees who were recruited through at the behest of the labour contractor.

It was further submitted that the Central Government has abolished the contract labour system for sweeping, cleaning, dusting and watching of the building owned/occupied by the establishment in respect of which the appropriate is the Central Government. The work is of perennial nature. Contract Labours cannot be engaged for a work which is of perennial nature and of sufficient duration. The workman is of permanent nature and is incidental to the day to day men work of the respondents.

It was submitted from the side of the management that the IOCL is engaged in core business activity of production distribution and marketing of essential petroleum products in the country and is a controlled industry under the ID Act, 1947. The Corporation has mainly three divisions namely Refineries, Marketing and Pipelines Division.

It was further submitted that some of the offices of the Pipelines Division of the Corporation and the Maintenance & Inspection Department of Refinery Division was located at New Delhi House till October, 2001. During its occupancy at New Delhi House, Pipelines Division of IOCL had lined up contracts for the services of general Maintenance/House Keeping.

It was further submitted that the Corporation entered into three different legal contracts on specific terms and conditions with M/s. Sirohi Engineering and Contractors, M/s. Cosmo Construction Company and Contractor Vipin Aggarwal to provide general Maintenance/House Keeping services which were operative till October, 2001 at New Delhi House.

It was further submitted that the contractors were in operation till October, 2001 after which the contractors have ceased to operate by October, 2001. The Corporation shifted its office from the premises of New Delhi House to its own building in Noida. The contracts of erstwhile contractors were not renewed as the corporation left the rented premises of New Delhi House and shifted to the Corporation's own office at Noida. No new contractors have been engaged in the owned building at Noida.

It was further submitted that the workmen may be contractor's workmen but they worked under the control and supervision of the contractors. The contractors assigned the duties to them and the working of the workmen was controlled and supervised by the contractors. The contract was not for permanent and perennial nature of work and after the period of contract w.e.f. October, 2001 the work ceased and no workmen have been engaged under any contractor.

The workmen have filed photocopies documents. The documents B - 13 & B - 14 are on the plain papers. These documents are not on the letter head of the management and there is no seal and signature of the management over these documents. These documents may be get typed by anyone. Document B - 52 is the similar documents but it also does not contain any seal or signature of the management.

The workmen have filed photocopies Paper No. B-111 to B-113. these documents also do not bear seal and signature of the management.

The management has filed papers regarding contract agreement and the case of the management is that the workmen may have been engaged through different contractors.

The contract labour will not automatically become the employee of the principal employer until he establishes that he worked under the control and supervision of the management. In the instant case there is no document showing the control and supervision of the management. Payment to the workmen have been made by the contractors. The management has entered into agreement for various types of work.

The substantial question is whether the workmen worked under the control and supervision of the management and the work is perennial in nature.

The workmen have filed WW1/2. It is regarding implementation of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court dated 17-01-1986. WW1/3 is a circular dated 05-09-1986. The workmen have not filed any document to show that they worked under the control and supervision of the management. Every contract worker cannot be treated to be the employee of the Principal Employer, in case he is engaged by a contractor.

The Hon'ble Supreme Court has also emphasized that the Courts/Tribunals in their sympathy for the handful adhoc/casual employees before it cannot ignore the claims for equal opportunity for the teeming millions of the country who are also seeking employment. In such case, the Courts/Tribunals should adhere to the Constitutional norms and should not water down constitutional requirement in any way.

In Pollock Law of Torts a servant and an independent contractor has been defined as under:—

The distinction between a servant and a independence contractor has been the subject matter of a large volume of case-law from which the text-book writers on torts have attempted to lay down some general tests. For example, in Pollock's Low of Torts, (Pages 62 & 63 of Pollock on Torts, 15th Edn.) the distinction has thus been brought out.

A master is one who not only prescribes to the workman the end of his work, but directs or at any moment

may direct the means also, or, as it has been put, retains the power of controlling the work, a servant is a person subject to the command of his master as to the manner in which he shall do his work.... An independent contractor is one who undertakes to produce a given result but so that in the actual execution of the work is not under the order or control of the person for whom he does it, and may use his own discretion in things not specified beforehand...."

In Salmond's Treatise on the Law of Torts the distinction between a servant and independent contractor has been indicated as under: —

"What then, is the test of this distinction between a servant and an independent contractor? The test is the existence of a right of control over the agent in respect of the manner in which his work is to be done. A servant is an agent who works under the supervision and direction of his employer; an independent contractor is one who is his own master. A servant is a person engaged to obey his employer's orders from time to time; an independent contractor is a person engaged to do certain work, but to exercise his own discretion as to the mode and time of doing it—he is bound by his contract, but not by his employer's orders."

The test regarding independent contractor and intermediaries have been laid down in Hussainabhai, Calicut V. the Alath Factory Thezhilali Union kozhikode [AIR 1978 SC 1410 (3 judges)] the true test may, with brevity, be indicated once again. Where a worker or group of workers labours to produce goods or services and these goods or services are for the business of another, that other is, in fact, the employer. He has economic control over the workers subsistence, skill, and continued employment.

My attention was drawn to the Constitution Bench Judgment in Scale (2006) 4 Scale. It has been held in this case as under:—

"A. Public employment in a sovereign socialist secular democratic republic has to be as set down by the Constitution and the laws made there under. Our constitutional scheme envisages employment. by the Government and its instrumentalities on the basis of a procedure established in that behalf. Equality of opportunity is the hallmark and the Constitution has provided also for affirmative action to ensure that unequals are not treated equals. Thus, any public employment has to be in terms of the constitutional scheme.

B. A sovereign government, considering the economic situation in the country and the work to be got done, is not precluded from making temporary appointments or engaging workers on daily wages. Going by a law newly enacted, the National Rural Employment Guarantee Act, 2005, the object is to give employment to at least one member of a family for hundred days in an year, on paying wages as fixed under that Act. But, a regular process of recruitment or appointment has to be resorted

to, when regular vacancies in posts, at a particular point of time, are to be filled up and the filling up of those vacancies cannot be done in a haphazard manner or based on patronage or other considerations. Regular appointment must be the rule.

My attention was drawn to another Constitution Bench Judgment - Steel Authority of India. It has been held as under:

"Where a workman is hired in or in connection with the work of an establishment, by the principal employer through a contractor, he merely acts as an agent so there will be master and servant relationship between the principal employer and the workmen. But where a workman is hired in or in connection with the work of an establishment by a contractor, either because he has undertaken to produce a given result for the establishment or because he supplies workmen for any work of the establishment, a question may arise whether the contract is a mere camouflage as in Hussainabhai Calicut's case (supra) and in Indian Petrochemicals Corporation's case (supra) etc; if the answer is in the affirmative, the workmen will be in fact an employee of the principal employer, but if the answer is in the negative, the workmen will be a contract labourer."

The workmen have been engaged through contractors. The burden of proving that they worked under the control and supervision of the management is on the workmen. They have to discharge the initial burden. They have not filed any cogent documentary evidence to establish that the work was assigned to the workmen by the management and they worked under the control and guidance of the management. They have filed affidavit regarding the fact no doubt but their affidavits are self serving.

The management has denied that they worked under the control and guidance of the management. The workmen in the circumstances have to prove by cogent documentary evidence that the management was their master and the management decided what is to be done and how it is to be done.

The workmen have been engaged by the contractors and the contractors have taken duties from them.

In view of the Constitution Bench Judgment referred to above contractual workmen cannot be regularized. There is no master and servant relationship in view of the criteria laid down in the judgments referred to above.

In the instant case the workmen have been engaged through the various contractors for the work of the contractor that too till October, 2001 in the New Delhi House. When the Corporation shifted its office to Noida, no contract agreement was renewed.

From perusal of the documents on record it also transpires that the work is not of perennial nature. It was submitted from the side of the management that contracts were not in operation after October, 2001 and after that the

rented building was left by the management and it shifted its office from the premises of New Delhi House to its own building at Noida.

It was also submitted by the management that at present no contractors have been engaged. The work which was performed by the contractor's men in rented building has ceased to be in existence and that is why no contractor has been engaged for discharge of the duties performed by the contractors workmen in their own premises at Noida.

The workmen have filed rejoinder but in their rejoinder they have not stated that the contractual workmen are still working in the management's office at its own Noida Building. WWI has stated that he has no knowledge whether contractor's workmen are still engaged. It becomes quite vivid from the statement of the WWI that at present contractor's workmen are not being engaged by the management as such the work which the contractor's workmen performed in the rented premises, New Delhi House does not exist at present. In case the work is not continuing, there is no meaning of regularization or reinstatement. In view of this fact also there is no question of regularization of the workmen. The workmen have filed photocopies documents. The originals are in the possession of the management. It is not expected that the workmen will file the originals but all the photocopies filed by the workmen are on blank papers. They do not bear any seal or signature of the management, such type of documents may be prepared. The workmen have not filed any proof that they have been working since 1988. Even photocopies documents do not establish that the workmen have been engaged since 1988.

It was submitted from the side of the management that even if it is found proved that the contractor's workmen have worked for a long duration, they are not entitled to regularization. Section 10 of CLRA Act, 1970 provides that contract labours cannot be engaged for a work of regular nature or for a work of sufficient duration. As such the engagement of contractor's workmen for regular nature of work and work of sufficient duration will become illegal. The management cannot be permitted to perpetrate illegality.

However, the workman, Sh. Sushil Kumar has filed gate passes B - 87 to B - 99. These gate passes have been issued on the letter head of the management. The gate passes bear seal of Sh. M. Prabhakaran, Administrative Officer. These gate passes are from 1990 to 1997. These gate passes have been signed by Sh. Rakesh Jain, SPE also. These gate passes establish that the workman has been assigned duties by the management.

In ID cases sometimes photocopy documents become admissible in evidence. The management will invariably conceal the originals. The workman in the circumstances is constrained to file the photocopies documents only. These photocopies documents have not

been denied by the management. These photocopies documents bear the signature and seal of the management. The management has not anywhere stated that the signatures of Mr. Prabhakaran, Rakesh Jain etc. are forged. These gate passes establish that the workman has worked for almost 11 years under the control and supervision of the management.

In case the principal employer is the master the workman is to be treated as the servant of the master. The workman Sh. Sushil Kumar has worked under the control and supervision of the management. The management has decided what is to be done and how it is to be done. In the circumstances there is master and servant relationship between the management and Sh. Sushil Kumar.

It has been held in Uma Devi's case that in case a workman works for 10 years without the orders of the court, the management should consider the feasibility of regularization. This workman has worked for 11 to 12 years under the control and supervision of the management. Contract in his case is sham and ruse. The contractors are only name lenders as the workman has worked under the control and supervision of the management.

It has been further held in Steel Authority of India's Case of the Hon'ble, Supreme Court that if a contractor engages workmen for the work of management such workman will become an employee of the principal employer.

As already discussed it is settled law that the workmen have to prove that they acted under the control and supervision of the management. Economic control and supervision is the sole test for holding contractor's employees to be the employees of the principal employer. In the instant case payment has been made to the workmen by the contractor, so they worked under the economic control of the contractor. All the workmen other than Sh. Sushil Kumar have filed photocopy documents which are not on the letter head of the management and they do not bear any seal and signature of any of the officer of the management. So it cannot be said that these photocopies have nexus to the original documents. The work cannot be said to be continuous and of perennial nature as the management has shifted its office to its own building at Noida and no contract workers have been engaged therein. So the workmen other than Sh. Sushil Kumar are not entitled to get any relief as prayed for.

So far as the case of Sh. Sushil Kumar is concerned he has amply proved that he worked under the control and supervision of the management by filing photocopy documents on the letter head of the management and bearing the signature and seal of the management. These photocopy documents have not been denied by the management. In the circumstances the photocopy documents are admissible in evidence. This workman has worked for 11 to 12 years and he deserves to be reinstated and regularized.

He is out of employment as his services have been illegally terminated by the management but he is a manual worker and he must be doing some sort of work off and on, so he is entitled to be reinstated with 25% back wages. The management should consider his case for regularization within two months from the date of the publication of the award.

In view of the long tenure the management should consider the feasibility of regularization of the workman Sh. Sushil Kumar.

The reference is replied thus:

The action of the management of IOCL, New Delhi in not regularizing the services of concerned 8 workmen from the date of their initial appointment is just, fair and legal. However, the management should reinstate the workman Sh. Sushil Kumar along with 25% back wages and consider the feasibility of his regularization within two months from the date of the publication of the award.

The award is given accordingly.

Lt copies of the Award be sent to the Ministry of Labour, Government of India for necessary action at their end.

Date: 17-12-2007 R. N. RAI, Presiding Officer
नई दिल्ली, 31 दिसम्बर, 2007

का.आ. 130.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार मुम्बई पोर्ट ट्रस्ट के प्रबंधन के संबंध में नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण/श्रम न्यायालय II, मुम्बई, के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या सी जी आई टी- 2/166/1999) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 31-12-2007 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-31012/15/1999-आईआर (एम)]

एन. एस. बोरा, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 31st December, 2007

S.O. 130.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the Award (Ref. No. CGIT-2/166/1999) of Central Government Industrial Tribunal/Labour Court, II, Mumbai now as shown in the Annexure in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Mumbai Port Trust, and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 31-12-2007.

[No. L-31012/15/1999-IR (M)]

N. S. BORA, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT
INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL No. 2, AT MUMBAI

PRESENT

A. A. LAD

PRESIDING OFFICE

Reference No. CGIT-2/166 of 1999

EMPLOYERS IN RELATION TO THE MANAGEMENT OF MUMBAI PORT TRUST

The Chairman
Mumbai Port Trust
Mumbai-400 038.

AND

THEIR WORKMAN

The Secretary
Mumbai Port Trust Workers Union
Shrikant Hadkar Marg
Near Kalachowki Police Station
Mumbai-400 033.

APPEARANCE:

FOR THE EMPLOYER

Mr. Umesh Nabar,
Advocate.

FOR THE WORKMAN

Ms. Kunda Samant,
Advocate.

Mumbai, the 7th November, 2007

AWARD PART-II

1. The Government of India, Ministry of Labour by its Order No. L-31012/15/99/IR(M) dated 06-08-1999 in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section(1) and sub-section 2(A) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 have referred the following dispute to this Tribunal for adjudication:

"Whether the action of the management of Mumbai Port Trust in dismissing the services of Shri Tukarak Shadashiv Mane is justified? if not, to what relief the workman is entitled to?"

2. On the basis of the said reference notices were issued under clause (d) of sub-section 2 (A) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

3. To prove the claim made in the reference, the Union by Statement of claim filed at Exhibit-6, contended that the second party viz. The Mumbai Port Trust Workers' Union is the oldest registered Trade Union not only in Mumbai Port Trust but also in India. The said union is registered in 1928 and functioning since then for the welfare of the workers of the Mumbai Port Trust. It has considerable members of the employees of the Mumbai Port Trust. It was known as Bombay Port Trust Railway Men's Union till 20th February, 1998.

4. The Enquiry was conducted by the first party by issuing charge sheet dated 2nd January 1995 alleging that 42 wagons were received at 10.00 a.m. on 13th June 1994 at Station BPT "G" and placement of these wagons for delivery is shown as 16 wagons on 16th June 1994, 19 wagons on 25th June 1994 and 7 wagons on 9th July 1994. It was alleged that 7 wagons were received at 7.30 hrs. on 9th June 1994 and its placement is shown as 3 wagons on 17th June 1994, 4 wagons on 18-6-1994, 21 wagons were received on 14th June 1994 at 23.00 hrs. and their placement

is shown as 5 wagons on 16-6-1994 at 23.00 hrs., 11 wagons on 17-6-1994 and 5 wagons on 18th June 1994. By all this it is alleged that there is delay in placement of wagons from 3 days to 27 days which caused inconvenience to the Railway authorities and traffic of that area and caused loss of revenue to the Trunk Railways in several lakhs. It was alleged that said placement was not done carefully and taking care to avoid such revenue loss and it was alleged that it is workman T.S. Mane who is responsible for all that. In the reply filed by the workman to the charge sheet made out case that he alone is not responsible for the said loss. In fact it was the duty of other staff members for the placement of the wagons. He is overall controlling the placement of the wagons and alone is not responsible for the loss suffered by the first party. Second party workman was supposed to work 90% as per the orders received from his superiors and has no discretion to take any independent decision as Station Master. Assistant Station Master works under him to supervise the work of Operative Staff Class III and Class IV and in that capacity he is directly responsible for yard position, receipt of up trains and their sorting and that wagons are not stable at level crossing to hold up vehicular traffic of that area. It is contended that he is the workman and comes under the category of class III and has no individual power to take any decision. He is the member of the union, he is covered under wage-settlements which took place between union and employers. He has no power to write confidential reports or sanction leave of any staff. According to him, he is equivalent to Assistant Superintendents' position working in other departments of the first party and comes in the scale of Rs.2,600-100-120-9940. According to Union, the second party workman T.S. Mane was doing his routine work of operating section and was senior almost amongst the staff. He being the senior workman used to solve the problems in consultation with all in case of any difficulty arises. If any problem arises regarding booking or traffic jam of goods, ASM of the particular shift has to make report of the errant employee and has to recommend action to be taken against such errant workman. However, T.S. Mane has no power to take decision in that respect. He has no power to pull up any employee or initiate any enquiry against him. Since he is not writing confidential reports of any of the staff and has no power to sanction leave he cannot cross his status from the cadre of the workman to officer though he is called Station Master. Even he has no power to grant earned leave or any other relief. He was getting over time and as such his status, fall under the definition of 'workman' as defined under Section 2 of Industrial Disputes Act.

5. It is further contended that he was promoted on 24th July 1984 and since then working as Station Master which is of Grade II. During the period 30-9-1994 he was overall in charge of the Ground Department from 24-4-1990 to 30-8-1994, used to attend various circulars received from Railway Manager. According to Union, workman T.S. Mane

is not responsible for the delay in the placement of the wagons from 3 to 27 days as alleged and responsible for the alleged loss in lakhs of rupees caused to the first party. According to the union, placement of wagons or rakes are in the Depots which is done by the Senior Trains Clerk under the direct supervision of Station Master i.e. workman. According to the union, each employee is performing his specific duties. The workman cannot be held responsible for it. As placement of wagons is to be provided by ASM for each shift and for which workman T.S. Mane cannot be held responsible for the alleged delay caused. It is further contended that, holding enquiry is farce by appointing Mr. D.M. Daithankar and advocate as an Enquiry Officer who generally and regularly conducts such departmental enquiries of the employees of the Mumbai Port Trust. The workman was represented by the Secretary of the Union by name Mr. Pagare. The evidence brought on record by the first party of Mr. Warelikar, Mendhale, Toreskar and Jaiswal wherein they have stated similar things stereo type against the workman and it does not help actually to the Inquiry Officer to give finding against the workman. Besides first party was represented by law graduate or the practicing advocate and against that the workman was represented by the union representative. It is alleged that, the Inquiry Officer shown favour towards the Management. He allowed the re-examination of the Mehendale, ASM who was supervising Class III and IV staff in the Yard. The said witness is not expected to stable the wagons at level crossing to hold up the vehicular traffic. However, placement was given to the workman and as such for that, workman T.S. Mane cannot be held responsible. According to him, Senior Train Clerk is responsible for the placement of the wagons and responsible for the loss caused to the first party. By allowing re-examination of Mr. Mehendale Inquiry Officer supported the first party in concluding like that. In the inquiry, said ASM categorically admitted that, he did not experience any delay at any time for placement of the wagons. The witness examined by the first party did not give any proof for this type of advice from the workman regarding placement of wagons and that he is responsible for it. Even Mr. Warelikar who was examined by the first party says that, wagons were placed by operative staff as per placement shown by them in the respective registers. It is stated that, the placement time is shown by them in the respective demurrage registers and accordingly it is charged. Said Mr. Warelikar clearly bifurcate the duties and responsibilities of 2 Sections i.e. of operational and commercial but it was ignored by the Inquiry Officer. It is further contended that Mr. R.R. Jaiswal recorded statements of witnesses in the presence of Presiding Officer. The said witness fairly admits that he is responsible for the commercial work of staff or the said Railway. In the deposition made before the Inquiry Officer, workman has categorically denied regarding delay in placement of wagons and stated that ASM was responsible for it. He also alleges that, demurrage was charged erroneously and

said work was done by the Commercial Department. He also alleges that placement of wagons was done by the Trunk Clerk under the supervision of ASM. The evidence led by the workman of Mr. Parshoram supports his case. The said witness was not cross examined by the first party. It is alleged that, there is no delay in placement of wagons as alleged. If at all there was any loss it cannot be attributed to the workman. The duties of the ASM are fixed where it is not stated anywhere that, working of workman and that nowhere it is stated regarding the duties of the Station Master. According to him enquiry was farce and report was perverse. Since he was not happy with the finding submitted by the Inquiry Officer, he preferred an appeal on 18th July, 1996 to the Chairman of the Bombay Port Trust. Even he approached the Chairman when his grievances were not considered by the Appellate Authority still decision was taken of termination. According to him he was victimized by the first party with the help of enquiry and its report. So dispute is raised by the Union of workman T.S.Mane who is the member of the Union and pray to declare dismissal order of workman T.S.Mane illegal and unjust and arbitrary and was taken by way of victimization. It is also prayed to declare chargesheet as perverse. It is submitted that 31 years of long service rendered by the workman T.S.Mane be considered which was unblemished and without any spot and as such it is prayed that the workman T.S.Mane be reinstated with benefits of back wages and continuity of service.

6. This claim is disputed by the first party by filing written statement at Exhibit-7 stating and contending that, the second party is not the workman. He was working as Station Master. He was also supervising work of his subordinates. He was overall incharge of the Station. He was also entrusted with the duties and with the responsibilities of careless and slack working amongst the staff. He had power and authority to sanctioned casual leave. According to first party, workman was responsible for the day-to-day working of the staff of the first party working under him and he is not workman as defined under Section 2 of the Industrial Disputes Act. It is further contended that the charges levelled against the workman were proved before the Enquiry Committee which was appointed. The Enquiry Committee conducted the enquiry fairly and properly and offered full opportunity to the workman and his representative. They enjoyed it and took part in the enquiry. Charges levelled against the workman were regarding placement of wagons which are proved beyond doubt. It is also proved that the loss was occurred in crores because of Mr. T. S. Mane who was involved in the charges. Considering the findings given by the Enquiry

Committee, action of dismissal was taken which is just and fair. It is denied that the work of the placement of wagons was of ASM and there is bifurcation between operative and periodical work. As the action was taken after giving full opportunity to the workman and since he is not workman, this Tribunal cannot interfere with the decision taken by the first party in dismissing the second party workman Mr. T.S.Mane. So it is prayed that, the reference be rejected.

7. In view of the above pleadings my learned predecessors framed issues at Ex-10. Out of them, issue of workman, issue of fairness of inquiry and perversity of findings were decided by passing part-I Award dated 7-4-2006. Now issues framed on the latter part of the case pertaining to punishment and entitlement of the workman remains, which I answer as follows:

| Issues | Findings |
|---|-------------------|
| III. Whether the action of the management in dismissing the services of Shri Tukaram Sadashiv Mane is justified ? | Yes. |
| IV. If not, what relief the workman is entitled to? | Does not survive. |

REASONS

Issues 3 & 4:

8. On the basis of enquiry conducted against second party workman, and findings obtained from Inquiry Officer, where Inquiry Officer observed concerned workman guilty of the charges levelled against him. Even the findings given by Inquiry Officer is observed just proportionate to the facts placed before him. Said part-I award is not challenged by the second party workman. So the findings given while passing Part-I award holding enquiry proper and findings not perverse remains on record undisturbed.

9. On the basis of the said, second party workman was removed from the employment by dismissing from the services. The allegations against second party workman were that, when second party was employed as a Station Master who was overall incharge of the station, supervising all work done by commercial and operating staff at station. He was responsible for the events which take place in the station area. He was also entrusted duty to fix the responsibility for careless and slack working among the staff. The charge sheet was issued against second party workman dated 02-01-1995 for non-performance of duties by making delay in placements of wagons from 3 to 27 days. It is also alleged that, due to said delay in placement of wagons, first party suffered heavy financial loss. The explanation given by second party on it was of failure in performance of duty of Sr. Trains Clerk admits that such a loss occurred and indirectly he was responsible for it. And so action of termination was taken as loss was in lakhs. After holding enquiry in which concerned workman was represented by the representative in reply, has not denied

occurrence of loss. Even he did not challenge the charge levelled against workman in the reply. His representative cross examined the witnesses. Documents were made available to him. Even he did not challenge the enquiry during its process and progress. After examining the witnesses, Inquiry Officer observed second party guilty of the charges. It is matter of record that, loss was in lakhs and it occurred in the jurisdiction of the second party. On the basis of the said order of termination was issued as a punishment.

10. Second party challenged the said order saying that, it is not proportionate to the charges proved against him. Whereas case of the first party is that, punishment awarded on second party of dismissal is just and proper.

11. Charge of receiving loss of Rs. 40 lakhs to the BPT was levelled against concerned workman. However said charge was denied by the second party saying that, that loss cannot be of Rs. 40 lakhs but may be upto Rs. 25 lakhs and that too not to BPT Railway but to Trunk Railway. The arguments advance on this point by the learned Advocate for the second party by submitting it in written at Ex-44 that, said statement cannot be treated as confessional statement but it was opinion of the second party on it which I unable to digest. I fail to understand by referring that, what second party workman's advocate want to point out? When case of the first party was that, loss was upto Rs. 40 lakhs which was denied saying that, it was not upto Rs. 40 lakhs but it may be upto Rs. 25 lakhs. When that admission is given it is presumed that he admits there was loss of Rs. 25 lakhs and not of Rs. 40 lakhs. In this situation question arises, whether it cannot be confession or it is opinion? There is no separate status in Evidence Act to the meaning of opinion. Evidence Act only recognizes admission, confession. Opinion can be taken from the experts and the case made out by second party about loss occurred to BPT denying loss of Rs. 40 lakhs and saying it may be Rs. 25 lakhs reveals that, there was a loss which may vary in amount. All these reveal that, there was loss. When there was such a loss it is not a meagre one and it was in lakhs and that too in the year 1995.

12. So if we consider this coupled with the charges proved against the second party workman, in my considered view, punishment awarded of dismissal cannot be observed inadequate or excessive one. Learned Advocate for the second party tried to place reliance on Regulations of BPT Employees and tried to point out that there are two types of penalties one is of "minor" penalties and other is of "major" penalties. Major penalty of dismissal is taken against second party workman. According to second party's advocate, there are lesser types of major penalties also like reduction to a lower stage in a time-scale of pay for a specified period, or for forever. There is also lesser type of punishment of compulsory retirement and reducing the employee's seniority when charge of such type is proved and punishment of compulsory retirement

vis-a-vis dismissal from service is also regulated in the rules. In my considered view discretion used by first party in penalizing the employee of this type cannot be challenged without any reason. Besides it place reliance on citation published in 2002 (3) LLN page 1009 where it is observed that, in a criminal case if employee is acquitted definitely, it will help employee in making out case of lesser punishment but in my considered view facts of that case since are different from the facts of this case, ratio led by Karnataka High Court cannot be made applicable here. Another referred citation published in 2006 III LLJ 133 where Hon'ble Bombay High Court observed that, in case of the theft case, entire responsibility cannot be put on workman. In that case lesser punishment can be awarded. But that was a case of theft which cannot be compared with the case of negligence. So I am of the opinion that, even ratio of Bombay High Court cannot be applied here to show lenience to this workman.

13. Against that learned advocate for first party referred citation published in 1996 I CLR page 389 where it is observed that, judicial review is not an appeal from a decision but review of the manner in which decision is made. It was tried to distinguish what is power of judicial review and meaning of appeal. By this learned Advocate for the first party wants to point out that, Tribunal cannot sit as a appellant court on the decision given by the management on the punishment given by it in case of proved misconduct. In the instant case charge was proved and when charge is proved first party has liberty to exercise the option of giving punishment and that exactly is done by management. I also agree with this view since charge was proved which was of very serious nature, management exercised option of awarding punishment which has logic behind it. Citation referred published in 2002 (III) CLR page 293 reveals, what powers are given to Tribunal under Section 11 A of Industrial Disputes Act. Another referred citation published in 2003 (98) FLR page 1170 also of the same point regarding power of Tribunal under Section 11 A of the Industrial Disputes Act. Citation referred published in 1997 I LLJ page 186 reveals that punishment awarded by management was not shockingly disproportionate, which is not required by the Tribunal to interfere in it. Citation published in 2005 I CLR 959 projects on jurisdiction of Tribunal under Section 11-A of the Industrial Disputes Act.

14. So if we consider all these coupled with case made out by both, I conclude that, punishment awarded to second party workman Mane does not require to interfere since I find it is proportionate to the charges levelled and proved. In the circumstances, second party is not entitled to get any relief. So I answer the above issues to that effect and pass the following order:

ORDER

Reference is rejected.

No order as to cost.

Date : 7-11-2008

A. A. LAD, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 31 दिसम्बर, 2007

का.आ. 131.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार एम. पी. स्टेट माईनिंग का. लि. के प्रबंधन के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण/श्रम न्यायालय जबलपुर के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या सी जी आई टी/एलसी/आर/199/1995) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 31-12-07 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-29011/19/1995-आईआर (एम)]

एन. एस. बोरा, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 31st December, 2007

S.O. 131.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the Award (Ref. No. CGIT/LC/R/199/1995) of Central Government Industrial Tribunal/Labour Court, Jabalpur now as shown in the Annexure in industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of M. P. State Mining Corp. Ltd. and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 31-12-2007.

[No. L-29011/19/1995-IR (M)]

N. S. BORA, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT, JABALPUR

NO. CGIT/LC/R/199/95

PRESIDING OFFICER: SHR. C. M. SINGH

The Secretary,
Chhattisgarh Mines Shramik Sangh,
Baraduar,
Distt. Bilaspur,

Workman/Union

Versus

The Asstt. General Manager,
M.P. State Mining Corporation Ltd.,
Sub Office: Baraduar,
Distt. Bilaspur

Management

AWARD

Passed on this 13th day of December, 2007

1. The Government of India, Ministry of Labour vide its Notification No. L-290 11/19/95-IR(M) dated 3-11-95 has referred the following dispute for adjudication by this Tribunal:—

“Whether the demand of Chhattisgarh Mines Shramik Sangh for payment of full wages to 127 wagon loaders (as per list) by the management of

M.P. State Mining Corporation Ltd., Baraduar, Distt. Bilaspur for the period from 10-4-93 to 26-4-93 is justified? If so, to what relief the concerned workers are entitled to?”

2. Vide order dated 4-1-06 passed on the ordersheet of this reference proceeding, the reference proceeded exparte against the workmen/Union and the management was provided with an opportunity to file Written Statement on 28-4-06. On 28-4-06 the date fixed in the case, no body responded for the parties, therefore, the management was given an opportunity to file exparte Written Statement on 17-8-06. Again on 17-8-06, no body responded for the parties and the management was provided with another opportunity of filing exparte Written Statement on 27-10-06. On 27-10-06, Shri R.C. Shrivastava, Advocate appeared and submitted that he will file his vakalatnama for management on the next date. Therefore 22-1-07 was fixed for filing vakalatnama and exparte Written Statement by the management. Thereafter 23-4-07, 7-8-07 & 12-12-07 were the dates fixed in the case but on the said dates, no body responded for the parties and thus the tribunal was left with no option but to close the reference for award and consequently the reference was closed for award.

3. It appears from the above that the parties have no interest in the reference. Therefore no dispute award is passed without any orders as to costs.

4. Let the copies of the award be sent to the Government of India, Ministry of Labour & Employment as per rules.

C. M. SINGH, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 2 जनवरी, 2008

का.आ. 132.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार पंजाब नेशनल बैंक के प्रबंधन के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण/श्रम न्यायालय, जबलपुर के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 231/1999) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 24-12-07 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-12012/25/1999-आईआर (बी-II)]

राजिन्द्र कुमार, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 2nd January, 2008

S.O. 132.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the Award (Ref. No. 231/1999) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-Cum-Labour Court, Jabalpur as shown in the Annexure in the industrial dispute between the management of Punjab National Bank and their workmen, received by the Central Government on 24-12-2007.

[No. L-12012/25/1999-IR (B-II)]

RAJINDER KUMAR, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT
INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT,
JABALPUR

NO. CGIT/LC/R/231/99

PRESIDING OFFICER: SHRI C. M. SINGH

The Secretary,
Punjab National Bank Empls. Assn.,
Central Office,
11 Prince Yeshwant Road,
Indore.

Workman/Union

Versus

The Regional Manager,
Punjab National Bank,
Regional Office,
20, Sneh Nagar, Indore

Management

AWARD

Passed on this 14th day of December, 2007

1. The Government of India, Ministry of Labour vide its Notification No.L-12012/25/99/IR(B-II) dated 31-5-99/11-6-99 has referred the following dispute for adjudication by this tribunal:

“Whether the action of the management of Regional Manager, Punjab National Bank in not absorbing Sh.Gopal Kamle & Sh.Santosh Kalal permanently in Bank's service is justified? If not, to what relief the workmen are entitled for?”

2. Vide order dated 9-2-07 passed on the ordersheet of this proceeding, the reference proceeded ex parte against the workmen/Union and the management was given an opportunity to file their statement of claim, if any, for which 23rd of April-07 was fixed. But on this date, no body responded for the parties. The management was given an opportunity to file their statement of claim, if any, on 7-8-07. The ordersheet discloses that on this date, no one responded for the parties and 12-12-07 was fixed for filing statement of claim, if any, by the management. On 12-12-07, no one responded for the parties. Under the above circumstances, this tribunal was left with no option but to close the reference for award and thus the reference was closed for award.

3. It appears from the above that the parties have no interest in this reference proceeding. In view of this fact, no dispute award should be passed.

4. Considering the above, no dispute award is passed without any orders as to costs.

5. Let the copies of the award be sent to the Government of India, Ministry of Labour & Employment as per rules.

C. M. SINGH, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 7 जनवरी, 2008

का. आ. 133.—कर्मचारी राज्य बीमा अधिनियम, 1948 (1948 का 34) की धारा-1 की उप धारा-(3) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्वारा 01 फरवरी, 2008 को उस तारीख के रूप में नियत करती है, जिसको उक्त अधिनियम के अध्याय-4 (44 व 45 धारा के सिवाय जो पहले ही प्रवृत्त हो चुकी है) अध्याय-5 और 6 (धारा-76 की उप धारा (1) और धारा-77,78,79 और 81 के सिवाय जो पहले ही प्रवृत्त की जा चुकी है) के उपबन्ध तमिलनाडू राज्य के निम्नलिखित क्षेत्रों में प्रवृत्त होंगे, अर्थात्,

“तिरुनेलवेल्ली जिले के पुलियनकुडी नगरपालिका में पुलियनकुडी, टी. एन. पुदुकुडी, सिवगिरी तालुक में चिंतामणी, तेन्कासी तालुक में चोक्कम्पट्टी के अन्तर्गत आने वाले राजस्व गांव”

[सं. एस-38013/01/2008-एस. एस.-1]

एस. दो. जेवियर, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 7th January, 2008

S. O. 133.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (3) of Section 1 of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948) the Central Government hereby appoints the 1st February, 2008 as the date on which the provisions of Chapter-IV (except Sections 44 and 45 which have already been brought into force) and Chapter-V and VI (except Sub-Section (I) of Section 76 and Sections 77, 78, 79 and 81 which have already been brought into force) of the said Act shall come into force in the following areas in the State of Tamilnadu namely:—

| Centre Name | Areas comprising the revenue villages of |
|--|--|
| Puliyankudi Municipality in Tirunelveli District | 1. Puliyankudi |
| | 2. T. N. Pudukudi |
| | 3. Chinthamani in Sivagiri Taluk |
| | 4. Chokkampatti in Tenkasi Taluk |

[No. S-38013/01/2008-S.S.-1]

S. D. XAVIER, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 7 जनवरी, 2008

का. आ. 134.—कर्मचारी राज्य बीमा अधिनियम, 1948 (1948 का 34) की धारा-1 की उप धारा-(3) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्वारा 01 फरवरी, 2008 को उस तारीख के रूप में नियत करती है, जिसको उक्त अधिनियम के अध्याय-4 (44 व 45 धारा के सिवाय जो पहले ही प्रवृत्त हो चुकी है) अध्याय-5 और 6 (धारा-76 की उप धारा (1) और धारा-77,78,79 और 81 के सिवाय जो पहले ही प्रवृत्त की जा चुकी है) के उपबन्ध तमिलनाडू राज्य के निम्नलिखित क्षेत्रों में प्रवृत्त होंगे, अर्थात् :—

केन्द्र

दिन्दुकल जिला में पशनी परिधि के क्षेत्र 1. दिन्दुकल जिला में पशनी के पुलियमपट्टी के अंतर्गत आने वाले राजस्व गांव ।

[सं. एस-38013/03/2008-एस. एस. -1]

एस. दो. जेवियर, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 7th January, 2008

S. O. 134.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (3) of Section I of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948) the Central Government hereby appoints the 1st February, 2008 as the date on which the provisions of Chapter-IV (except Sections 44 and 45 which have already been brought into force) and Chapter-V and VI (except sub-section (1) of Section 76 and Sections 77, 78, 79 and 81 which have already been brought into force) of the said Act shall come into force in the following areas in the State of Tamilnadu namely:—

| Centre | Areas comprising the revenue villages of |
|---|---|
| Peripheral areas of Palani in Dindigul District | 1. Puliampatti of Palani Taluk in Dindigul District |

[No. S-38013/03/2008-S.S.-I]

S. D. XAVIER, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 7 जनवरी, 2008

का. आ. 135.—कर्मचारी राज्य बीमा अधिनियम, 1948 (1948 का 34) की धारा 1 की उपधारा (3) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्वारा 01 फरवरी, 2008 को उस तारीख के रूप में नियत करती है, जिसको उक्त अधिनियम के अध्याय-4 (44 व 45 धारा के सिवाय जो पहले से प्रवृत्त हो चुकी है) अध्याय-5 और 6 (धारा 76 की उपधारा (1) और धारा 77, 78, 79 और 81 के सिवाय जो पहले ही प्रवृत्त की जा चुकी है) के उपबन्ध हरियाणा के निम्नलिखित क्षेत्रों में प्रवृत्त होंगे, अर्थात्,

| क्र. सं. | राजस्व गांव | हदबस्त संख्या | जिला |
|----------|-------------|---------------|--------|
| 1. | साहा | 93 | अंबाला |
| 2. | तेपला | 133 | अंबाला |
| 3. | मीठापुर | 96 | अंबाला |

[सं. एस-38013/04/2008-एस. एस.-1]

एस. दो. जेवियर, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 7th January, 2008

S. O. 135.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (3) of Section 1 of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948) the Central Government

hereby appoints the 1st February, 2008 as the date on which the provisions of Chapter IV (except Sections 44 and 45 which have already been brought into force) and Chapter-V and VI (except sub-section (1) of Section 76 and Sections 77, 78, 79 and 81 which have already been brought into force) of the said Act shall come into force in the following areas in the State of Haryana namely:—

| S. No. | Revenue Village | Had Bast No. | District |
|--------|-----------------|--------------|----------|
| 1. | Saha | 93 | Ambala |
| 2. | Tepla | 133 | Ambala |
| 3. | Mithapur | 96 | Ambala |

[No. S-38013/04/2008-S.S.-I]

S. D. XAVIER, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 7 जनवरी, 2008

का. आ. 136.—कर्मचारी राज्य बीमा अधिनियम, 1948 (1948 का 34) की धारा 1 की उपधारा (3) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्वारा 01 फरवरी, 2008 को उस तारीख के रूप में नियत करती है, जिसको उक्त अधिनियम के अध्याय-4 (44 व 45 धारा के सिवाय जो पहले ही प्रवृत्त हो चुकी है) अध्याय-5 और 6 (धारा 76 की उपधारा (1) और धारा 77, 78, 79 और 81 के सिवाय जो पहले ही प्रवृत्त की जा चुकी है) के उपबन्ध गुजरात राज्य के निम्नलिखित क्षेत्रों में प्रवृत्त होंगे, अर्थात्,

अहमदाबाद नगर निगम की विस्तारित नगर सीमाओं में निम्नलिखित नगर पालिकाओं एवं ग्राम पंचायतों (गांव) की संपूर्ण राजस्व एवं पंचायत सीमाएं जो क्रमांक (1) एवं (2) पर अंकित हैं तथा क्रम सं. -3 पर अंकित विनियोजित सर्वेक्षण सं. शामिल हैं ।

(1) नगर पालिका बोडकदेव, मकरबा, नवा ओढव, वस्त्रापुर, नवानरोडा, थलतेज, वेजलपुर, सरखेज-ओकफ, जोधपुर, मेमनगर, घाटलोडिया, चांदलोडिया, वस्त्राल, निकोल, राणिप, काली एवं रामोल ।

(2) ग्राम पंचायत शाहवाडी, कमोड, ग्यासपुर, त्रागड़, छारोडी, जगतपुर, चैनपुर, गोता, हेबतपुर, आमली, सैजपुर-गोपाल पुर, पीपलज, लक्ष्मीपुरा, वनजर, फतेवाडी, ओगणज, सोला, सोलज, नयावेटवा, बाकरोल, बदराबाद (विसलपुर) भाडज, नयाअसारवा, मकतमपुरा, निर्णयनगर, इन्दिरा नगर, नरिमानपुरा, हंसपुरा, लांभा एवं विंजोल

(3) असलाली:- (सर्वे संख्या 154 पार्ट 1626, 1659)

रोपडा (सर्वे संख्या 8 से 16)

बागे फिरदोश (सर्वे संख्या 271, से 278, 297)

रुखियाल (सर्वे संख्या 297, 362, 363, 495, 515)

खोखरा-महेमदाबाद (सर्वे संख्या 340, 341)

मुठिया (ब्लाक संख्या 71/पी, 90/पी, 222 पार्ट, 223 पार्ट, 227 पार्ट, 228 पार्ट, 230 पार्ट)

हाथियान (सर्वे संख्या 256, 612, 615, 617, 619, 620)

[संख्या एस-38013/05/2008-एस. एस. -1]

एस. दो. जेवियर, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 7th January, 2008

S. O. 136.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (3) of Section 1 of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948) the Central Government hereby appoints the 1st February, 2008 as the date on which the provisions of Chapter IV (except Sections 44 and 45 which have already been brought into force) and Chapter-V and VI (except sub-section (1) of Section 76 and Sections 77, 78, 79 and 81 which have already been brought into force) of the said Act shall come into force in the following areas in the State of Gujarat namely:—

Extended Municipal Limits of Ahmedabad Municipal Corporation comprising the Entire Revenue & Panchayat Limits of the following Municipalities and Grampanchayats (Villages) mentioned at (i) & (ii) below as well as at certain survey Numbers mentioned at (iii):

- (i) Municipality- Bodakdev, Makarba, Nava Odhav, Vastropur, Nava Naroda, Thaltej, Vejalpur, Sarkhej-Okaf, Jodhpur, Memnagar, Ghatlodia, Chahdodia, Vastrol, Nikol, Ranip, Kali & Ramol.
- (ii) Gram Panchayats- Shahvadi, Kamod, Gyaspur, Tragad, Chharodi, Jagatpur, Chenpur, Gota, Hebatpur, Aml, Saijpur-Gopalpur, Piplaj, Laxmipura, Vanjar, Fatevadi, Ognaj, Sola, Shilaj, Nava Vatva, Bakrol, Badarabad (Visalpur), Bhada, Nava Asarwa, Maktampura, Nirnaynagar, Indiranagar, Narimanpura, Hanspura, Lambha & Vinzol.
- (iii) Aslali (Survey Number 154 Part, 1626, 1659)
Ropda (Survey No. 8 to 16)
Bage- Firdosh (Survey No. 271 to 278, 297)
Rakhal (Survey No. 297, 362, 363, 495, 515)
Khokhra-Mehmedabad (Survey No. 340, 341)
Muthiya (Block No. 71/P, 90/P, 222 Part, 223 Part, 227 Part, 228 Part, 230 Part).
Hathijan- (Survey No. 256, 612, 615, 617, 619, 620).

[No. S-38013/05/2008-S.S.I.]

S.D. XAVIER, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 8 जनवरी, 2008

का. आ. 137.—कर्मचारी राज्य बीमा अधिनियम, 1948 (1948 का 34) की धारा 1 की उप धारा (3) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्द्वारा 01 फरवरी, 2008 को उस तारीख के रूप में नियत करती है, जिसको उक्त अधिनियम के अध्याय-4 (44 व 45 धारा के सिवाय जो पहले ही प्रवृत्त हो

चुकी है) अध्याय-5 और 6 (धारा 76 की उपधारा (1) और धारा-77, 78, 79 और 81 के सिवाय जो पहले ही प्रवृत्त की जा चुकी है) के उपबन्ध तमिलनाडु, राज्य के निम्नलिखित क्षेत्रों में प्रवृत्त होंगे, अर्थात् :—

“तिरुनेलवेली जिला में तेन्कासी तालुक के कडयनल्लूर नगर पालिका परिसीमा के कडयनल्लूर, कम्बनेरी पुदुकुडी के भाग- I व II के अन्तर्गत आने वाले राजस्व गांव”

[संख्या: एस-38013/02/2008/एस. एस. -1]

एस. दो. जेवियर, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 8th January, 2008

S. O. 137.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (3) of Section 1 of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948) the Central Government hereby appoints the 1st February, 2008 as the date on which the provisions of Chapter IV (except Sections 44 and 45 which have already been brought into force) and Chapter-V and VI (except sub-section (1) of Section 76 and Sections 77, 78, 79 and 81 which have already been brought into force) of the said Act shall come into force in the following areas in the State of Tamilnadu namely:—

| Centre Name | Areas comprising the revenue villages of |
|--|---|
| Kadayanallur Municipal Limits, Tenkasi Taluk in Tirunelveli District | 01. Kadayannallur 02. Kambaneri Pudukudi Part I & II |
| | [No. S-38013/02/2008-S.S.I.] S. D. XAVIER, Under Secy. |

नई दिल्ली, 11 जनवरी, 2008

का. आ. 138.—कर्मचारी राज्य बीमा अधिनियम, 1948 (1948 का 34) की धारा 1 की उप धारा (3) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्द्वारा 01 फरवरी, 2008 को उस तारीख के रूप में नियत करती है, जिसको उक्त अधिनियम के अध्याय-4 (44 व 45 धारा के सिवाय जो पहले ही प्रवृत्त हो चुकी है) अध्याय-5 और 6 (धारा-76 की उपधारा (1) और धारा-77, 78, 79 और 81 के सिवाय जो पहले ही प्रवृत्त की जा चुकी है) के उपबन्ध तमिलनाडु राज्य के निम्नलिखित क्षेत्रों में प्रवृत्त होंगे, अर्थात् :—

| | |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| केन्द्र का नाम | विरूधनगर जिला के निम्नलिखित राजस्व गांव के व्याप्त क्षेत्र |
| विरूधनगर जिला में कारियापट्टी क्षेत्र | विरूधनगर जिला में कारियापट्टी तालुक के कलकुरिची के राजस्व गांव |
| कारियापट्टी क्षेत्र | |

[संख्या एस-38013/09/2008-एस. एस. -1]

एस. दो. जेवियर, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 11th January, 2008

S. O. 138.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (3) of Section 1 of the Employees' State

Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948) the Central Government hereby appoints the 1st February, 2008 as the date on which the provisions of Chapter IV (except Sections 44 and 45 which have already been brought into force) and Chapter-V and VI (except sub-Section (1) of Section 76 and Sections 77, 78, 79 and 81 which have already been brought into force) of the said Act shall come into force in the following areas in the State of Tamilnadu namely:-

| Centre | Areas comprising the following area revenue village of |
|--|--|
| Virudhunagar District. | |
| Kariapatti area in Virudhunagar District | (1) Revenue village of Kalkurichi of Kariapatti Taluk in Virudhunagar District |

[No. S-38013/09/2008-S.S.I]

S.D. XAVIER, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 11 जनवरी, 2008

का. आ. 139.—कर्मचारी राज्य बीमा अधिनियम, 1948 (1948 का 34) की धारा-1 की उप धारा-(3) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्वारा 01 फरवरी, 2008 को उस तारीख के रूप में नियत करती है, जिसको उक्त अधिनियम के अध्याय-4 (44 व 45 धारा के सिवाय जो पहले ही प्रवृत्त हो चुकी है) अध्याय-5 और 6(धारा-76 की उप धारा (1) और धारा-77, 78, 79 और 81 के सिवाय जो पहले ही प्रवृत्त की जा चुकी है) के उपबन्ध तमिलनाडु राज्य के निम्नलिखित क्षेत्रों में प्रवृत्त होंगे, अर्थात्,

केन्द्र

तिरुनेलवेली जिला में

तिरुनेलवेली नगरपालिका

1. विजयराघव मुदलियार चत्रम
2. पालयमकोट्टे तालुक में पणयंकुलम
3. तिरुनेलवेली तालुक में रामायणपट्टी

आदि के अंतर्गत आने वाले राजस्व गाँव.....

[संख्या एस-38013/08/2008-एस. एस. -1]

एस. दो. जेवियर, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 11th January, 2008

S. O. 139.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (3) of Section 1 of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948) the Central Government hereby appoints the 1st February, 2008 as the date on which the provisions of Chapter IV (except Section 44 and 45 which have already been brought into force) and Chapter-V and VI (except sub-Section (1) of Section 76 and Sections 77, 78, 79 and 81 which have already been brought into force) of the said Act shall come into force in the following areas in the State of Tamilnadu namely:—

| Centre | Areas comprising the revenue villages of |
|--|--|
| Tirunelveli Municipality in Tirunelveli District | 01. Vijayaraghava Mudaliar Chatram 02. Panayankulam in Palayamkottai Taluk 03. Ramayanpatti in Tirunelveli Taluk |

[No. S-38013/08/2008-S.S.I]

S.D. XAVIER, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 11 जनवरी, 2008

का. आ. 140.—कर्मचारी राज्य बीमा अधिनियम, 1948 (1948 का 34) की धारा-1 की उप धारा-(3) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्वारा 01 फरवरी, 2008 को उस तारीख के रूप में नियत करती है, जिसको उक्त अधिनियम के अध्याय-4 (44 व 45 धारा के सिवाय जो पहले से प्रवृत्त हो चुकी है) अध्याय-5 और 6(धारा-76 की उप धारा (1) और धारा-77, 78, 79 और 81 के सिवाय जो पहले ही प्रवृत्त की जा चुकी है) के उपबन्ध कर्नाटक के निम्नलिखित क्षेत्रों में प्रवृत्त होंगे, अर्थात्,

| क्र. राजस्व ग्राम का नाम सं. व नगरपालिका सीमाएँ | होबली | तालुक | जिला |
|--|-------|-------|------|
|--|-------|-------|------|

1. मेडेहल्ली, जी.आर.हल्ली कसाबा चित्रदुर्गा चित्रदुर्गा
इंगलाडालू, कुंचीगनाहालू

[सं. एस-38013/10/2008-एस. एस. -1]

एस. दो. जेवियर, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 11th January, 2008

S. O. 140.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (3) of Section 1 of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948) the Central Government hereby appoints the 1st February, 2008 as the date on which the provisions of Chapter IV (except Sections 44 and 45 which have already been brought into force) and Chapter-V and VI (except Sub-Section (1) of Section 76 and Section 77, 78, 79 and 81 which have already been brought into force) of the said Act shall come into force in the following areas in the State of Karnataka namely:—

| Sl. No. | Name of the Revenue Village or Municipal Limits | Hobli | Taluk | District |
|---------|---|--------|-------------|-------------|
| 1. | Medehalli, G. R. Halli, Ingaladalu & Kunchiganahalu | Kasaba | Chitradurga | Chitradurga |

[No. S-38013/10/2008-S.S.-I]

S. D. XAVIER, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 11 जनवरी, 2008

का. आ. 141.—कर्मचारी राज्य बीमा अधिनियम, 1948 (1948 का 34) की धारा-1 की उप धारा-(3) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्वारा 01 फरवरी, 2008 को

उस तारीख के रूप में नियत करती है, जिसको उक्त अधिनियम के अध्याय-4 (44 व 45 धारा के सिवाय जो पहले ही प्रवृत्त हो चुकी है) अध्याय-5 और 6 (धारा-76 की उप धारा (1) और धारा-77, 78, 79 और 81 के सिवाय जो पहले ही प्रवृत्त की जा चुकी है) के उपबन्ध तमिलनाडू राज्य के निम्नलिखित क्षेत्रों में प्रवृत्त होंगे, अर्थात्,

"तमिलनाडू राज्य के जिला कोयम्बटूर में अविनासी के आसन्न क्षेत्र में तिरुपुर तालुक में एट्टीवीरमपालयम तथा पेरुमनल्लूर के अधीन आने वाले क्षेत्र।"

[सं. एस-38013/07/2008-एस. एस.-1]

एस. दो. जेवियर, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 11th January, 2008

S. O. 141.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (3) of Section 1 of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948) the Central Government hereby appoints the 1st February, 2008 as the date on which the provisions of Chapter-IV (except Sections 44 and 45 which have already been brought into force) and Chapter-V and VI (except Sub-Section (1) of Section 76 and Section 77, 78, 79 and 81 which have already been brought into force) of the said Act shall come into force in the following areas in the State of Tamilnadu namely:—

| Centre | Areas comprising the revenue villages of |
|--|--|
| Avinashi Peripherals, Tirupur Taluk, Coimbatore District | 1. Ettiveerampalayam 2. Perumanallur |

[No. S-38013/07/2008-S.S.I.]

S.D. XAVIER, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 11 जनवरी, 2008

का. आ. 142.—कर्मचारी राज्य बीमा अधिनियम, 1948 (1948 का 34) की धारा-1 की उप धारा-(3) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्वारा 01 फरवरी, 2008 को उस तारीख के रूप में नियत करती है, जिसको उक्त अधिनियम के अध्याय-4 (44 व 45 धारा के सिवाय जो पहले ही प्रवृत्त हो चुकी है) अध्याय-5 और 6 (धारा-76 की उप धारा (1) और धारा-77, 78, 79 और 81 के सिवाय जो पहले ही प्रवृत्त की जा चुकी है) के उपबन्ध तमिलनाडू राज्य के निम्नलिखित क्षेत्रों में प्रवृत्त होंगे, अर्थात्,

| केन्द्र का नाम | निम्नलिखित क्षेत्र के व्याप्त क्षेत्र शिवांगै जिला के राजस्व गाँव |
|-----------------------------|--|
| शिवांगै जिला में देवाकोट्टई | 1. देवाकोट्टई नगरपालिका सीमा के देवाकोट्टई क्षेत्र 2. शिवांगै जिला के देवाकोट्टई तालुक के मेलेचेमपोनमारी के राजस्व गाँव |

[सं. एस-38013/06/2008-एस. एस.-1]

एस. दो. जेवियर, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 11th January, 2008

S. O. 142.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (3) of Section 1 of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948) the Central Government hereby appoints the 1st February, 2008 as the date on which the provisions of Chapter-IV (except Sections 44 and 45 which have already been brought into force) and Chapter-V and VI (except Sub-Section (1) of Section 76 and Section 77, 78, 79 and 81 which have already been brought into force) of the said Act shall come into force in the following areas in the State of Tamilnadu namely:—

| Centre | Areas comprising the following area revenue village of Sivagangai District |
|--|---|
| Devakottai area in Sivagangai District | 01. Devakottai municipal limits of Devakottai Taluk and 02. Revenue village of Melachemponmari of Devakottai Taluk of Sivagangai District. |

[No. S-38013/06/2008-S.S.I.]

S. D. XAVIER, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 15 जनवरी, 2008

का. आ. 143.—केन्द्रीय सरकार संतुष्ट है कि लोकहित में ऐसा अपेक्षित है कि सिक्युरिटी पेपर मिल, होशंगाबाद में सेवाओं को जिसे औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947, (1947 का 14) की प्रथम अनुसूची की प्रविष्टि 21 के अन्तर्गत निर्दिष्ट किया गया है, उक्त अधिनियम के प्रयोजनों के लिए लोक उपयोगी सेवाएं घोषित किया जाना चाहिए।

अतः अब, औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947, (1947 का 14) की धारा 2 के खण्ड (द) के उप-खण्ड (6) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त उद्योग को उक्त अधिनियम के प्रयोजनों के लिए तत्काल प्रभाव से छः मास की कालावधि के लिए लोक उपयोगी सेवा घोषित करती है।

[फा. संख्या एस-11017/16/97-आई. आर. (पी. एल.)]

एस. कृष्णन, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 15th January, 2008

S. O. 143.—Whether the Central Government is satisfied that the public interest requires that the services in the Security paper Mill, Hoshangabad which is covered by item 21 of the First Schedule to the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), should be declared to be a Public Utility Service for the purposes of the said Act.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-clause (vi) of clause (n) of Section 2 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Central Government hereby declares with immediate effect the said industry to be a Public Utility Service for the purpose of the said Act for a period of six months.

[No. S-11017/16/97-IR (PL)]

S. KRISHNAN, Addl. Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 15 जनवरी, 2008

का. आ. 144.—केन्द्रीय सरकार संतुष्ट हो जाने पर कि लोकहित में ऐसा करना अपेक्षित था, औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 2 के खण्ड (द) के उप-खण्ड (vi) के उपबन्धों के अनुसरण में भारत सरकार के श्रम और रोजगार मंत्रालय की अधिसूचना संख्या का. आ. दिनांक 19-6-2007 द्वारा खनिज तेल (कच्चा तेल), मोटर और विमानन स्प्रिट, डीजल तेल, मिट्टी का तेल, ईंधन तेल, विविधा हाईड्रोकार्बन तेल और उनके मिश्रण जिनमें सिंथेटिक तेल और इसी प्रकार के तेल शामिल हैं के निर्माण या उत्पादन में लगे उद्योग में सेवाओं में हैं, जोकि औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की प्रथम अनुसूची की प्रविष्टि 26 में शामिल हैं; को उक्त अधिनियम के प्रयोजनों के लिए दिनांक 16-7-2007 से छः मास की कालावधि के लिए लोक उपयोगी सेवा घोषित किया था;

और केन्द्रीय सरकार की राय है कि लोकहित में उक्त कालावधि को छः मास की और कालावधि के लिए बढ़ाया जाना अपेक्षित है;

अतः अब, औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947, (1947 का 14), की धारा 2 के खण्ड (द) के उप-खण्ड (vi) के परन्तुक द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त उद्योग को उक्त अधिनियम के प्रयोजनों के लिए दिनांक 16-1-2008 से छः मास की कालावधि के लिए लोक उपयोगी सेवा घोषित करती है।

[फा. संख्या एस-11017/6/97-आई. आर. (पी. एल.)]

एस. कृष्णन, अपर सचिव

New Delhi, the 15th January, 2008

S. O. 144.—Whereas the Central Government having been satisfied that the public interest so requires that in pursuance of the provisions of sub-clause (vi) of the clause(n) of Section 2 of the Industrial Dispute Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), declared by the Notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour, dated 19-6-2007 the services in Industry engaged in manufacture or production of mineral oil (crude oil) motor and aviation spirit, diesel oil, kerosene oil, fuel oil, diverse hydrocarbon oils and their blends including synthetic fuels, Lubricating oils and the like which is covered by item 26 of the First Schedule to the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) to be a Public Utility Service for the purpose of the said Act, for a period of six months from the 16th July 2007.

And whereas, the Central Government is of opinion that public interest requires the extension of the said period by a further period of six months.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by proviso to sub-clause (vi) of clause (n) of Section 2 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Central Government hereby declares the said industry to be a public Utility Service for the purpose of the said Act, for a period of six months from the 16th January 2008.

[F.No.S-11017/6/97-IR (PL)]

S. KRISHNAN, Addl. Secy.